UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC, Petitioners,

V.

NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC, Patent Owner,

Case IPR2021-01041 Patent 8,095,879

REMOTE PROCEEDING

DEPOSITION OF: DR. JACOB O. WOBBROCK
TAKEN BY: PARHAM HENDIFAR, ESQUIRE
Commencing: 9:01 A.M.
Location: Seattle, Washington 98195
Day, Date: Friday, March 25, 2022
Reported by: JOLYNE K. ROBERTS, CSR NO. 10823



Page 2	Page 3
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1 I-N-D-E-X
2 3 FOR THE PATENT OWNER:	2
4 LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX, LLP	3 WITNESS: PAGE
1880 Century Park East	4 DR. JACOB O. WOBBROCK
5 Suite 815 Los Angeles, California 90067	5 EXAMINATION BY MR. HENDIFAR 4
6 310/307-4510	6
BY: PARHAM HENDIFAR, ESQUIRE hendifar@lowensteinweatherwax.com	7 8 EXHIBITS
8	8 EXHIBITS 9 (None offered)
9 FOR THE PETITIONERS:	10
10 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 271 17th Street, NW	11 INFORMATION REQUESTED
11 Suite 1400	12 (None)
Atlanta, Georgia 30363	13
12 404/653-6484 BY: KEVIN D. RODKEY, ESQUIRE	14 QUESTIONS NOT ANSWERED
13 kevin.rodkey@finnegan.com	15 (None)
YI YU, Ph.D.	16
14 yi.yu@finnegan.com 15	17
16	18
17 18	19
19	20
20	21 22
21 22	22 23
23	23 24
24	25
25	25
Page 4	Page 5
1 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, FRIDAY, MARCH 25, 2022	1 A Sounds fine.
2 9:01 A.M.	2 Q The only thing that's going to be recorded is
3 -O0O-	3 verbal communication, so nods will not be recorded. So
4	4 it's important that you provide audible responses such as
5 DR. JACOB O. WOBBROCK,	5 yes or no.
the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn/affirmed, was deposed and testified as follows:	6 You're not permitted by the rules to speak to 7 your attorney during the course of the deposition about
sworm annined, was deposed and testified as follows.	8 any issue relating to this deposition.
9 EXAMINATION	9 Do you understand that?
10 BY MR. HENDIFAR:	10 A I understand.
11 Q Good morning. Would you please spell your full	11 Q Now, if at any point you would like a break,
12 name for the record.	please let me know. We ordinarily take breaks every hour
13 A My name is Jacob Otto Wobbrock. J-a-c-o-b, last	or so, but we can make it more or less depending on what
14 name is W-o-b-r-o-c-k.	14 you and Counsel would prefer.
15 Q Thank you, Dr. Wobbrock. And you understand	About objections, your counsel will make short
16 that you're testifying under oath today, correct?	objections, but unless he specifically instructs you not
17 A Yes.	to answer a question, you should still answer my
18 Q And because the questions and answers are being	18 question.
19 recorded, it is important that we do not speak over each 20 other. So I will wait for you to complete your answers,	19 Additionally, because the objections that
20 other. So I will wait for you to complete your answers, 21 and I request the same courtesy.	20 Counsel makes cannot be speaking objections, if you have any questions about, for example, whether a word is
21 and I request the same courtesy. 22 If I do inadvertently ask a question before you	21 any questions about, for example, whether a word is 22 ambiguous, you need additional information for
have completed your answer, please let me know, and I	23 hypothetical or anything else of that nature, please feel
24 would be happy to wait until you complete your response.	24 free to let me know, and I will be happy to rephrase the
25 Is that okay?	25 question.
	1

2 (Pages 2 to 5)



	Page 6		Page 7
1	But if you do answer my question without any	1	Q Have you ever been deposed in an IPR?
2	clarification, I will assume that you have understood the	2	A I have been.
3	question.	3	Q How many times?
4	Is that fair?	4	A I don't recall exactly, but I think three or
5	A That sounds fair.	5	four times.
6	Q Great. Have you ever been deposed before?	6	Q And do you recall the parties on whose behalf
7	A I have been.	7	you testified in IPR proceedings?
8	Q And how many times?	8	A I don't recall from memory.
9	A I think about six times prior.	9	Q When was the last time you were deposed in an
10	Q And do you recall the date of those depositions?	10	IPR proceeding?
11	A I don't recall all of their dates.	11	A I don't recall precisely. It would have been
12	Q When was the last time you were deposed?	12	within the last three years or so.
13	A I was deposed in January of 2022.	13	Q Okay. And do you recall the technology of the
14	Q So just a few months ago?	14	last IPR where you testified as an expert?
15	A That's right.	15	A I don't remember.
16	Q And what was that case where you were deposed in	16	Q And what was the technology of the district
17 18	January of 2022?	17 18	court case where you were deposed in January of 2022?
19	A I want to make sure I get the parties correct. It was Impact Engine vs. Google.	19	A Broadly, that had to do with the creation of
20	Q And who were you testifying for? Google, I	20	online advertising, user interfaces for tools that create online advertising.
21	assume?	21	Q And can you elaborate maybe just briefly in a
22	A Google.	22	few sentences what that entailed?
23	Q And was that a district court case or IPR case	23	A Generally the matter concerned authoring tools
24	or different type of case?	24	for online advertisements that are displayed, for
25	A That was a district court case.	25	example, on web pages or in other media.
			1 / 1 &
	D 0		
	Page 8		Page 9
1	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have	1	of searching and viewing search results.
2	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google?	2	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to
	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do.	2 3	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems?
2 3 4	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate?	2 3 4	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no.
2 3 4 5	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001	2 3 4 5	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to
2 3 4 5 6	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly	2 3 4 5 6	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces.	2 3 4 5 6 7	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the date of your hourly contractor work with Google?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to. Q Now, as an expert in the field, do you have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the date of your hourly contractor work with Google? A Yes, I was not a regular in-house employee; I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the date of your hourly contractor work with Google? A Yes, I was not a regular in-house employee; I was an hourly paid contractor from approximately February	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to. Q Now, as an expert in the field, do you have any opinion on why Google in the 2019 to 2002 time frame
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the date of your hourly contractor work with Google? A Yes, I was not a regular in-house employee; I was an hourly paid contractor from approximately February of 2001 to May of 2001.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to. Q Now, as an expert in the field, do you have any opinion on why Google in the 2019 to 2002 time frame would have focused on desktop browsers as opposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the date of your hourly contractor work with Google? A Yes, I was not a regular in-house employee; I was an hourly paid contractor from approximately February of 2001 to May of 2001. Q Okay. And what was the nature of your work at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to. Q Now, as an expert in the field, do you have any opinion on why Google in the 2019 to 2002 time frame would have focused on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile-type browsers for the display of search results? MR. RODKEY: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I didn't say the company focused
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the date of your hourly contractor work with Google? A Yes, I was not a regular in-house employee; I was an hourly paid contractor from approximately February of 2001 to May of 2001. Q Okay. And what was the nature of your work at Google? A I was focused on optimizing the user interface code for the search results page so that it would load in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to. Q Now, as an expert in the field, do you have any opinion on why Google in the 2019 to 2002 time frame would have focused on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile-type browsers for the display of search results? MR. RODKEY: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I didn't say the company focused on desktop browsers versus mobile browsers. I said that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Other than testifying as an expert, do you have any other current or past associations with Google? A I do. Q Would you kindly elaborate? A Sure. In May of sorry, in February of 2001 to 2000 to May of 2001, I worked as an hourly contractor for Google developing user interfaces. Q Could you state the date, please, of your employment at Google? A Yes, it was February MR. RODKEY: Misstates the testimony. He said hourly. MR. HENDIFAR: You're an hourly worker. I don't want you to I just need to understand your testimony. Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Would you please restate the date of your hourly contractor work with Google? A Yes, I was not a regular in-house employee; I was an hourly paid contractor from approximately February of 2001 to May of 2001. Q Okay. And what was the nature of your work at Google? A I was focused on optimizing the user interface	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of searching and viewing search results. Q Did your work at Google in any way relate to mobile operating systems? A Not specifically, no. Q And did your work at Google relate in any way to mobile user interfaces, mobile devices? A I was focused on coding the search results page with a focus towards the desktop browser. Q And why was there a focus toward a desktop browser as opposed to a mobile browser? A That was what I was charged with doing. Q And do you have any understanding of why you were asked to focus on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile browsers? A Not particularly, no. That would be a business decision that I was not privy to. Q Now, as an expert in the field, do you have any opinion on why Google in the 2019 to 2002 time frame would have focused on desktop browsers as opposed to mobile-type browsers for the display of search results? MR. RODKEY: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I didn't say the company focused

3 (Pages 6 to 9)



Page 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 11

Page 13

Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: And do you know if there were other members of the Google team that had parallel work as you did but only in connection with mobile browsers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And what aspect of the search results display that you worked on at Google related to -- strike that.

Can you elaborate on the aspect of your work at Google that related to the display portion of the search results as opposed to the optimization of the speed?

A My work was not changing how search results were displayed, but shrinking the number of bytes required to deliver the search results so that they would load as fast as possible in the browser.

Q Thank you for that.

Now, do you have any current or past association with the company Apple, Inc.?

A I have no --

MR. RODKEY: Objection. Relevance.

THE WITNESS: I have no association formally with Apple.

Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: How about informally?

A Not with the company. I know some colleagues in the research world that work for Apple, but that's just a professional relationship with those people, not with Apple the company.

A I don't know.

clarification. The same question for -- do you have any current or past associations with any of the various Samsung

Q Understood. And thank you for that

entities? MR. RODKEY: Objection. Relevance.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't have an association

Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Now, other than the hourly contractor work that you mentioned with Google in 2001 and 2002, have you had any other association with Google outside of the litigation?

A My contractor work, so the record is clear, was from February 2001 to May 2001. Other than that, I don't have any formal association with Google the company.

Q Have you received any research grants from Google?

A I have received three research awards from Google that are listed on my CV. These awards are essentially grants, but they're called awards.

Q And can you elaborate briefly on what were the projects that were the subject of the three research awards that you received from Google? And you can direct it to the point of your CV if that would be helpful.

A Yes, I'd like to open -- I know my CV is one of

Page 12

the exhibits. Would you permit me to open --

Q Yes.

A -- a clean copy of that exhibit?

Q And I apologize. Any document that you want to view, as long as it's a clean copy and it's of record in this case, please feel free to do so. You don't need my permission; just let me know that you're doing it.

A Okay. Thank you.

So I am opening a clean copy of Exhibit 1004, which is my curriculum vitae, CV, dated the 22nd of May 2021. The date is visible in the top left corner.

And I am moving to find the section on my funding. All right. So on page 17, one can see in looking in the left margin three indicators that say Google. Those are three separate research awards.

Google's research award process is such that they have an open call for academics to submit research proposals each year. One can submit a proposal for funding, and an internal panel at Google reviews those proposals and decides which ones they want to fund, and then the funding is sent to the university. And I've received three of those. I've applied for others that I did not receive. So I don't get them every time.

But your question, I believe, was about the various projects. We can see the titles of the project

on the CV. That's probably the best way to convey the subject matter. The most recent was awarded in 2020, and it was called, The Ability-Based Design Mobile Tool Kit Enabling Accessible Mobile Interactions through Advanced Sensing and Modeling.

The one prior to that was awarded in 2014, and what's called smart touch, Improving the Accessibility of Touch Screens on Android Tablets and Smartphones for People With Motor Impairments.

And the one prior to that was awarded in 2011 and was called, Cursor Mining in Web Search.

Q Thank you very much for that explanation. Going to the second award for Google Smart Touch, can you elaborate on the nature of that project?

A Sure. Smart Touch was a research project where we were -- and I say we; I mean my Ph.D. students and myself -- were exploring how to make touch screens more accurate for people with motor impairments.

And why would a touch screen -- strike that. Why would a touch screen not be accurate for people with motor impairment? What's the problem?

A The challenge we were seeking to address was for people with motor impairments, for example, caused by muscular dystrophy or cerebral palsy or Parkinson's or ALS or any other variety of conditions that might result

4 (Pages 10 to 13)



Page 14

Page 15

Page 17

in people having a tremor or other kinds of motor challenges. It can be more difficult to operate a touch screen. For that matter, it can be more difficult to operate a computer in general, whether it's with a mouse or keyboard or touch screen because they essentially can't control their movements as accurately as those input devices often assume.

Q And then was there a particular type of --strike that.

Can you briefly elaborate on, if you can, what was generally the type of solution that your team devised? Was it a specific type of gesture or technology or some type of combination of the two?

MR. RODKEY: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: In brief, the solution that we found was essentially a pattern matching approach that allowed a user to train a recognizer to understand how they in particular touched the screen. And then when operating a touch screen, the system would recognize their form of touch and resolve accurate touch even in the presence of their tremor.

- Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: So was the idea that tremor would eventually generally have the same form for a given person?
 - A Well, the pattern matching approach would

essentially learn to recognize the form of the touch that a given person would have.

- Q And then was there a particular form of gesture, such as tapping or dragging, that you found was more suitable for people with impairments?
- A People with motor impairments generally have very individualized touch behaviors. There are high degrees of individual differences, which is why a trainable pattern matcher was an appropriate solution for this problem.

Q Thank you. I appreciate that.

In any of your -- have you ever received any research grant from Apple?

A I'm referring back to my CV, just to refresh my memory.

I don't recall that I have. I don't believe I have, and my CV is consistent with that.

Q Thank you for that.

About the second item from the bottom on your list of industry research gifts is for, quote, Support for Development of iPhone/iPod EdgeWrite.

Can you elaborate on what that is, please?

A Sure. That was a research gift, as the section above says, Industry Research Gifts. Just to clarify briefly, the term gift is a term specific to the

Page 16

university world in contrast to the term grant, which refers to a federal grant, for example, from a National Science Foundation.

A gift is essentially a grant or a research award, but if it comes from industry, it's called a gift. Just to clarify that term.

This particular award was from the Washington Research Foundation. That is a foundation that issues awards to support academic research at the University of Washington, and that particular project was to create the text entry method called EdgeWrite, which was the subject of my doctoral dissertation at Carnegie Mellon University, to create that EdgeWrite prototype on an iPhone or iPod device.

It had up until that point been created on a variety of other devices. That project was to essentially create a version of it for the iPhone or iPod, which was fairly new at the time. You can see the year was 2007.

Q Thank you very much for all the explanations. I really appreciate when you provide context as well. I didn't know that gifts are referred to as -- they used to call them grants.

What is EdgeWrite? Is it an application, essentially?

A It's a text entry method. I initially developed it on the Palm PDA line of devices. And it provides for a more accurate and stable method of inputting text, particularly for people with motor impairments. That was the subject of my doctoral dissertation.

Q I see. So it's a generic text entry method that can be used, for example, in e-mail application or other application that would have a keyboard, I'm assuming?

A What I --

MR. RODKEY: Objection. Mischaracterizes. THE WITNESS: What I would say -- I don't know that I would call it generic; it is a specific thing. But what I would say it is used for is as a replacement for the built-in text entry method initially on the Palm PDA. So if you loaded EdgeWrite onto the device, you could choose to use it as your text entry method of choice.

- Q BY MR. HENDIFAR: Thank you so much. Can you briefly explain what the difference is between EdgeWrite and a normal keyboard?
- A EdgeWrite is not a keyboard solution; it's a gestural, or what we might call a stroke input, text entry method solution. The Palm line of devices had the option to show a keyboard; it also had the option for a text entry method called Graffiti, which was built in,

5 (Pages 14 to 17)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

