IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

JAPAN DISPLAY, INC., PANASONIC LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY CO., LTD.,	§	
Plaintiff,	\$\pi\$	Case No. 2:20-cv-00283-JRG
v.	§	LEAD CASE
TIANMA MICROELECTRONICS CO. LTD.	& &	
Defendant.	& &	
JAPAN DISPLAY, INC.,	§ §	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	% %	Case No. 2:20-cv-00284-JRG Case No. 2:20-cv-00285-JRG
TIANMA MICROELECTRONICS CO. LTD.	% %	
Defendant.	\$ \$ \$	
	8	

ORDER

Before the Court is the Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings (the "Motion") filed by Plaintiffs Japan Display Inc. and Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display Co., Ltd. and Defendant Tianma Microelectronics Co. Ltd. (Dkt. No. 52). In the Motion, the parties seek to consolidate the above-captioned cases pending before the Court.

Having considered the Motion, and noting its joint nature, the Court finds that it should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. The above-captioned cases are hereby **ORDERED** to be **CONSOLIDATED** for all pretrial issues with the LEAD CASE, Case No. 2:20-cv-00283. All



parties are instructed to file any future filings in the LEAD CASE. Individual cases remain active

for trial.

The Clerk is instructed to add only the Lead and Local Counsel of the consolidated parties

into the Lead Case. Additional counsel may file a Notice of Appearance in the Lead Case if they

wish to continue as counsel of record in the lead consolidated action. Counsel who has appeared

pro hac vice in any member case may file a Notice of Appearance in the Lead Case without filing

an additional application to appear pro hac vice in the Lead Case. Counsel who have not appeared

in a member case at the point when it is consolidated into the Lead Case should file a Notice of

Appearance only in the Lead Case, and such Notice should state the relevant member case.

So Ordered this

Apr 20, 2021

RODNEY GILSTRAP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE