Paper No. \_\_\_\_ Filed: December 29, 2021

UNITED ST	ATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE T	HE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
TIAN	MA MICROELECTRONICS CO. LTD., Petitioner,
	v.
JAPAN DISPL	AY INC. and PANASONIC LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY CO., LTD., Patent Owner.
-	Case No. IPR2021-01028 U.S. Patent No. 9,793,299

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner hereby submits the following objections to certain exhibits submitted by Patent Owner. Petitioner's objections apply equally to Patent Owner's reliance on these exhibits in any subsequently filed documents. Petitioner objects to the following exhibits.

## Exhibit 2009

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2009 as lacking authentication under FRE 901 because sufficient evidence has not been provided to establish its authenticity, date, or public availability. Patent Owner has not submitted evidence to authenticate this exhibit or to otherwise establish that it is what Patent Owner claims it to be.

Petitioner also objects to Exhibit 2009 as irrelevant, prejudicial, confusing, and/or a waste of time under FRE 401, 402, and 403, as there is no admissible evidence establishing its public availability. Additionally, Patent Owner's Preliminary Response does not cite Ex. 2009 at all. At least the uncited portions are irrelevant, and even if some portions were deemed relevant, they are prejudicial, confusing, or a waste of time. FRE 401, 402, and 403.

Petitioner also objects to Exhibit 2009 under FRE 801, 802, and 805 as containing hearsay and/or hearsay within hearsay. To the extent Patent Owner relies on the content of this exhibit for the truth of the matter asserted, Petitioner objects to such content as inadmissible hearsay and/or hearsay within hearsay that does not fall under any exceptions.



U.S. Patent 9,793,299 IPR2021-01028

Exhibit 2010

Petitioner objects to paragraph 41 of Ex. 2010 as relying on unauthenticated

and hearsay evidence (e.g., Exhibit 2009). Patent Owner also objects to this

paragraph as irrelevant and more prejudicial than probative as being based on

inadmissible evidence under FRE 401, 402, 403. And until Patent Owner provides

the declarant for cross-examination, Exhibit 2010 is inadmissible hearsay. FRE 802.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 29, 2021

By: /Joshua L. Goldberg/

Joshua L. Goldberg, Reg. No. 59,369

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing **Petitioner's Objections to Patent Owner's Exhibits** was served on December 29, 2021, via email directed to counsel of record for Patent Owner at Tianma-JDIVETeam@velaw.com and the following:

Eric J. Klein (Reg. No. 51,888)

eklein@velaw.com

VINSON & ELKINS L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3900

Dallas, TX 75201

Tel: (214) 220-7700 Fax: (214) 220-7716 Abigail Lubow (Reg. No. 75,839)

alubow@velaw.com

VINSON & ELKINS L.L.P. 555 Mission Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: (415) 979-6963 Fax: (415) 358-5770

Jeffrey R. Swigart (Reg. No. 77,008)

jswigart@velaw.com

VINSON & ELKINS L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3900

Dallas, TX 75201-2975 Tel: (214) 220-7700

Fax: (214) 220-7716

Dated: December 29, 2021 By: /Valencia Daniel/

Valencia Daniel

Litigation Legal Assistant

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

