

IPR2021-00985  
U.S. Patent No. 7,292,835  
Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TCL INDUSTRIES HOLDINGS CO., LTD., HISENSE CO., LTD., and ZYXEL  
COMMUNICATIONS CORP.  
Petitioners,

v.

ParkerVision, Inc.  
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,292,835  
Issue Date: November 6, 2007  
Title: WIRELESS AND WIRED CABLE MODEM APPLICATIONS OF  
UNIVERSAL FREQUENCY TRANSLATION TECHNOLOGY

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2021-00985

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,292,835**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION AND DENY INSTITUTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)....2

A. Factor 1—The District Court Has Not Granted A Stay, And There Is No Evidence That The District Court Will Grant A Stay Even If A Proceeding Is Instituted .....4

B. Factor 2—Because The Texas Cases Will Be Tried Close To The Board’s Projected Statutory Deadline For A Final Written Decision, The Board Should Deny Institution .....6

C. Factor 3—The Parties And The Court Have Invested Significant Resources In The Texas Cases, Favoring Discretionary Denial.....6

D. Factor 4—Significant Overlap Between Issues Raised In The Petition And In The Texas Cases Supports Denial.....8

E. Factor 5—The Petitioners and The Defendants in The Parallel Proceedings Are the Same Party, Supporting Denial .....9

F. Factor 6—Other Circumstances That Impact The Board’s Exercise Of Discretion Show That Denial Is Appropriate At This Time .....10

III. CONCLUSION.....10

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) .....	2, 3, 7
<i>E-One, Inc. v. Oshkosh Corp.</i> , IPR2019-00161, Paper 16 (PTAB May 15, 2019) .....	7
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (PTAB May 13, 2020) .....	6, 7, 9
<i>Google LLC v. Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC</i> , IPR2020-00720, Paper 16 (PTAB Aug. 31, 2020).....	3
<i>Kerr Machine Co. d/b/a Kerr Pumps v. Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC</i> , 6:20-cv-00200 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2020) .....	5
<i>Multimedia Content Mgmt. LLC v. Dish Network Corp.</i> , No. 6:18-cv-00207-ADA, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 198875 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 10, 2019).....	5
<i>Next Caller, Inc. v. TrustID, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00961, Paper 10 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2019).....	9
<i>NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018).....	3
<i>Nine Energy Service Inc. v. MCS Multistage Inc.</i> , IPR2020-01615, Paper 18 (PTAB Mar. 24 2021) .....	8
<i>Vizio, Inc. v. Polaris PowerLED Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2020-00043, Paper 30 (PTAB May 4, 2020) .....	9
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 313 .....	1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	1, 2, 3

IPR2021-00985 (U.S. Patent No. 7,292,835)

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

35 U.S.C. § 316(b) .....3

**PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
2001	Complaint, <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Hisense Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00870 (WDTX)
2002	Complaint, <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00945 (WDTX)
2003	Scheduling Order, <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00945 (WDTX)
2004	Scheduling Order, <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Hisense Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00870 (WDTX)
2005	Published Interview of Judge Albright, <i>IAM</i> (Apr. 7, 2020)
2006	Docket Order, <i>Kerr Machine Co. d/b/a Kerr Pumps v. Vulcan Industrial Holdings, LLC</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00200 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2020)
2007	Relevant Excerpts of Defendant's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, filed in <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00945 (WDTX)
2008	Relevant Excerpts of Defendant's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, filed in <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Hisense Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00870 (WDTX)
2009	Hearing transcript from <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Intel Corp.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00108 (W.D. Texas, Sept. 2, 2020)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.