

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

PARKERVISION, INC.,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

TCL INDUSTRIES HOLDINGS CO., LTD.,  
TCL ELECTRONICS HOLDINGS LTD.,  
SHENZHEN TCL NEW TECHNOLOGY  
CO., LTD., TCL KING ELECTRICAL  
APPLIANCES (HUIZHOU) CO., LTD., TCL  
MOKA INT’L LTD., and TCL MOKA  
MANUFACTURING S.A. DE C.V.,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 6:20-cv-945-ADA

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**


**AMENDED DISCOVERY ORDER**

Before the Court is the parties’ dispute jointly submitted via email. The Court held a hearing in front of Magistrate Judge Derek T. Gilliland on May 17, 2022, on this issue. The Court heard this dispute in conjunction with a related case, *ParkerVision v. Hisense Co., Ltd. et al.*, Case No. 6:20-CV-00870.

Plaintiff ParkerVision, Inc. (“ParkerVision”) complains that Defendants violated the Protective Order in this case by using Plaintiff’s infringement contentions (which were designated as Confidential) in an *inter partes review* proceedings (the “IPRs”) in front of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the “PTAB”). The PTAB ordered ParkerVision to produce the contentions in the IPRs. ParkerVision notes, however, that the PTAB did not require Defendants to use the contentions in any filings.

The Court finds that Defendants did not violate the Protective Order in this case. Defendants, however, should not be allowed to use the contentions in the IPRs because they were designated as Confidential in this case. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendants are prohibited from using the infringement contentions in this case in any filings in any related IPR proceedings (including IPR2021-00990 and IPR2021-00985).

**SIGNED** this 24th day of May, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Derek T. Gilliland", written over a horizontal line.

DEREK T. GILLILAND  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE