

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Before the Honorable Cameron R. Elliot
Administrative Law Judge

In the Matter of

CERTAIN WEARABLE ELECTRONIC
DEVICES WITH ECG FUNCTIONALITY
AND COMPONENTS THEREOF

Inv. No. 337-TA-1266

APPLE'S REBUTTAL *MARKMAN* BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	ARGUMENT	1
A.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	1
B.	Preambles of Claims 1 and 11 (’499 patent)	3
C.	“alerting said first user to sense an electrocardiogram” (’499 patent claim 1) / “alert” (’499 patent claim 11)”	5
D.	“heart rate sensor” (’499 patent claim 1 & 11).....	8
E.	Order of steps (’499 patent claim 1)	10
F.	“confirm the presence of the arrhythmia based on the ECG data” (’731 patent claim 1 & 15) and “confirming the presence of the arrhythmia based on the ECG data” (’731 patent, claim 17)	12
G.	Order of steps (’731 patent, claim 17)	14
H.	“to confirm a presence of arrhythmia” (’941 patent, claim 1) / “to confirm the presence of arrhythmia” (’941 patent, claim 12)	15
I.	“when the activity level is resting” (’941 patent, claim 1 / “when the activity level value is resting” (’941 patent, claim 12).....	17
J.	“discordance” (’941 patent, claims 1 & 12)	19
K.	Order of steps (’941 patent, claim 1)	21
III.	CONCLUSION	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Amazon.com, Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com, Inc.</i> , 239 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	21
<i>Bicon Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	4
<i>Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedia, Inc. v. Schering-Plough Corp.</i> , 320 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	4
<i>CreAgri v. Pinnaclelife</i> , 2013 WL 1663611 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 16, 2013)	3
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Pharms. Int’l GmbH</i> , 8 F.4th 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	5
<i>Funai Elec. Co., v. Daewoo Elecs. Corp.</i> , 616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>Intell. Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , 902 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	18
<i>Jansen v. Rexall Sundown, Inc.</i> , 342 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	5
<i>Oxygenator Water Technologies, Inc. v. Tennant Co.</i> , 2021 WL 3661587 (D. Minn. 2021).....	21
<i>Pacing Technologies v. Garmin International</i> , 778 F.3d 1021, 1024 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	3, 4
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	5
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	13
<i>TQ Delta, LLC v. 2wire, Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 4062617 (D. Del. Aug. 24, 2018).....	3
<i>Trustees in Bankr. of N. Am. Rubber Thread Co. v. United States</i> , 593 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	21

Zimmer Surgical, Inc. v. Stryker Corp.,
2018 WL 3038515 (D. Del. June 19, 2018)4

Table of Exhibits

<u>Respondent's Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
<u>A.</u>	<u>LinkedIn Profile of David Albert, M.D.</u>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.