UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C.

Before the Honorable Cameron R. Elliot Administrative Law Judge

In the Matter of

CERTAIN WEARABLE ELECTRONIC DEVICES WITH EGG FUNCTIONALITY AND COMPONENTS THEREOF

Investigation No. 337-TA-1266

JOINT DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS

Pursuant to Ground Rule 7.1 and the Procedural Schedule (Order No. 6), Complainant and Respondent ("the Parties") identify the following proposed claim constructions for terms and phrases of the asserted claims of United States Patent Nos. 9,572,499 ("the '499 patent"), 10,595,731 ("the '731 patent"), and 10,638,941 ("the '941 patent"):



Term Relevance	Term	Proposed Constructions of Complainant	Proposed Constructions of Staff	Propose of
Top 10	Preambles ('499 Patent claims 1 and 11)	Preambles are not limiting.	The preambles of the asserted claims are limiting.	The pream 11 are limi
Top 10	"alerting said first user to sense an electrocardiogram" ('499 Patent claim 1) / "alert" ('499 Patent claim 11)	No construction required. Alternatively: "notifying said first user to sense an electrocardiogram" (claim 11) / "notify" (claim 11)	No construction necessary. However, if construed: "informing the first user to take an electrocardiogram" (claim 1) / "inform" (claim 11)	"informing take an ele (claim 1)/
Top 10	"heart rate sensor" ('499 Patent claims 1 & 11)	No construction necessary. Alternatively: "a sensor for measuring heart rate"	No construction necessary. However, if construed: "a sensor for measuring heart rate"	"A sensor measures h
Top 10	Order of steps ('499 Patent claim 1)	While some ordering is dictated by logic, the limitations of the claim may be performed in different order than recited.	While some ordering is dictated by logic, the limitations of the claim may be performed in different order than recited.	Should be order listed



	Term	Term	Proposed Constructions	Proposed Constructions of	Propos
	Relevance		of Complainant	Staff	of
	Top 10	"confirm the presence	No construction required.	No construction necessary.	"verify the
		of the arrhythmia based		These claims do not require	comparing
		on the ECG data" ('731	Alternatively: "identify[ing]	verifying an arrythmia by	data to the
		Patent claim 1 & 15)	the occurrence of the	comparing ECG sensor data	(Claims 1
			arrhythmia based on the ECG	to PPG sensor data.	
		"confirming the	data."		"verifying
		presence of the		If, however, these claims are	comparing
		arrhythmia based on the		construed, they mean:	data to the
		ECG data" ('731 Patent			(Claim 17)
		claim 17)		"verify the presence of the	
				arrythmia based on the ECG	
				data" (claims 1 & 15)	
				"verifying the presence of the	
				arrythmia based on the ECG	
				data" (claim 17)	
	Top 10	Order of steps ('731	While some ordering is	While some ordering is	Should be
		Patent claim 17)	dictated by logic, the	dictated by logic, the	order listed
			limitations of the claim	limitations of the claim may	
			may be performed in	be performed in different	
			different order than recited.	order than recited.	
L					



Term Relevance	Term	Proposed Constructions of Complainant	Proposed Constructions of Staff	Propos of
Top 10	"to confirm a presence of the arrhythmia" ('941 Patent claim 1) / "to confirm the presence of the arrhythmia" ('941 Patent claim 12)	No construction required. Alternatively, "to identify an occurrence of an arrhythmia."	No construction necessary. These claims do not require verifying an arrhythmia by comparing ECG sensor data to PPG sensor data. If, however, these claims are construed, they mean: "to verify a presence of the arrhythmia" (claims 1) / "to verify the presence of the arrhythmia" (claim 12)	"to verify comparing results to t results" (C
Top 10	"when the activity level is resting" ('941 Patent claim 1) / "when the activity level value is resting" ('941 Patent claim 12)	Not indefinite.	Not indefinite.	Indefinite.
Top 10	"discordance" ('941 Patent claims 1 & 12)	No construction required.	"inconsistency"	"inconsiste
Top 10	Order of steps ('941 Patent claim 1)	While some ordering is dictated by logic, the limitations of the claim may be performed in different order than recited.	While some ordering is dictated by logic, the limitations of the claim may be performed in different order than recited.	Should be order listed



Agreed Constructions

Further, the following claim constructions for terms and phrases of the asserted claims of the asserted patents are agreed amongst the Parties:

Agreed Construction
"a cardiac condition in which the electrical
activity of the heart is irregular or is faster or
slower than normal."

Date: September 13, 2021

/s/ S. Alex Lasher

S. Alex Lasher

Brian L. Saunders

Peter Benson

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &

SULLIVAN, LLP

1300 I Street NW, Suite 900

Washington D.C. 20005

Tel.: (202) 538-8000

Sean S. Pak

Andrew M. Holmes

Michelle A. Clark

Philip Ducker

John W. McCauley

Kevin Gu

Catherine R. Lacey

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &

SULLIVAN, LLP

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel.: (415) 875-6600

Fax: (415) 875-6700

Adam B. Wolfson

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &

SULLIVAN, LLP

865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Tel.: (213) 443-3000

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael Amon

Benjamin C. Elacqua

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2800

Houston, TX 77010

Telephone: (713) 654-5300

Facsimile: (713) 652-0109

Betty Chen

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

500 Arguello Street, Suite 500

Redwood, CA 94063

Telephone: (650) 839-5070

Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

Ruffin B. Cordell

Joseph V. Colaianni Jr.

Thomas S. Fusco

Taylor Burgener

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1000 Maine Avenue, SW, Suite 1000

Washington, D.C. 20024

Telephone: (202) 783-5070

Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

Michael Amon

Raisa Ahmad

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

12860 El Camino Real, Suite 400

San Diego, CA 92130

Telephone: (858) 678-5070

Facsimile: (858) 678-5099



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

