

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

ALIVECOR, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00971
Patent 10,595,731

**DECLARATION OF NOAH C. GRAUBART IN SUPPORT OF
PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE*
ADMISSION**

I, Noah C. Graubart, hereby declare to the following:

1. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of Georgia, the Supreme Court of Georgia, Court of Appeals of Georgia, the Supreme Court of the United States, the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Fifth, Ninth, Eleventh, and Federal Circuits, the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, the U.S. District Court for the Middle and Northern Districts of Georgia, and the U.S. District Court for the Eastern and Western Districts of Texas.

2. I have not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

3. I have never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied, subject to two exceptions. Specifically, in two instances, my application to appear *pro hac vice* in the Northern District of California was denied initially based on inadvertent omission of required information (i.e., a specific identification of “the highest court of another State” to which I am admitted). *Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Avaya Inc.*, No. 3:14-cv04309-WHA, Dkt. No. 16 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2014); *Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Avaya Inc.*, No. 3:16-cv-03459-WHA, Dkt. No. 19 (N.D. Cal. July 19, 2016). In both instances, my application to appear *pro hac vice* was subsequently granted after re-submission with the required information. *Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Avaya Inc.*, No. 3:14-cv-04309-WHA, Dkt. No. 20 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 31,

2014); *Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Avaya Inc.*, No. 3:16-cv-03459-WHA, Dkt. No. 23 (N.D. Cal. July 26, 2016).

4. No sanction or contempt citation has been imposed against me by any court or administrative body.

5. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

6. I will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

7. In the past three years, I have applied for and received admission *pro hac vice* in IPR2018-01250, IPR2018-01251, IPR2018-01280 and IPR2018-01281.

8. I am an experienced patent litigation attorney with over sixteen years of experience representing clients in cases involving smartphone software, audio and video processing, telecommunications solutions, wireless networking, optical networking, computer hardware interfaces, and semiconductor fabrication methods. I regularly litigate patent cases before the U.S. District Courts across the country, at the U.S. International Trade Commission, as well as arguing or briefing cases in the U.S. Courts of Appeals, including at the Federal Circuit.

9. I am intimately familiar with the issues and subject matter presented in this above-captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. For example, I have extensively reviewed the above identified patent, its prosecution history, the Petition for IPR (including the invalidity grounds therein, and the cited references), and all exhibits filed in this case.

10. My biography is attached hereto as Appendix A.

11. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 9, 2022

/Noah C. Graubart/
NOAH C. GRAUBART
Fish & Richardson P.C.
Email: Graubart@fr.com

APPENDIX A

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.