UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

——————

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

—————

APPLE, INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

ALIVECOR, INC.,

Patent Owner.

————

Case IPR2021-00970

Patent 9,572,499

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Pa</u>	ge
I.	INTI	RODUCTION	1
II.	THE	2 '499 PATENT	3
	A.	Overview	3
	B.	Background	4
	C.	Specification	6
	D.	Prosecution History	7
III.	LEV	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
IV.	THE	ASSERTED PRIOR ART	13
	A.	Shmueli	13
	B.	Osorio	14
	C.	Hu-1997	16
V.		BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION AND BY INSTITUTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	16
	A.	The ITC Will Not Stay The Investigation If IPR Is Instituted	17
	B.	The ITC's Investigation Will Conclude Before The Board's Final Written Decision	19
	C.	Significant Resources Will Be Invested In The ITC Investigation Before The Board Issues An Institution Decision	20
	D.	The Invalidity Issues Raised In The Petition Substantially Overlap With The ITC Investigation	23
	E.	The Parties Are Identical In The Parallel ITC Proceedings	27
	F.	Other Considerations Also Weigh In Favor Of The Board Exercising Its Discretion To Deny Institution	27



IPR 2021-00970 Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

VI.	APPLE FAILS TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT IT WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE OF THE			
	CHALLENGED CLAIMS			
	A.	The Asserted Motivation To Combine Shmueli and Osorio Is Conclusory, Unsupported, and Based On Impermissible Hindsight	31	
	B.	The Shmueli-Osorio Combination Does Not Disclose Key Elements Of The Independent Claims	40	
	C.	Hu-1997 Does Not Cure The Deficiencies Of Shmueli And Osorio	42	
VII	CON	CLUSION	43	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
3Shape A/S et al. v. Align Technology, Inc., IPR2020-00223, Paper 12 (May 26, 2020)	20
ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'n, Inc., 694 F.3d. 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	37
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (March 20, 2020)	passim
Arctic Cat Inc. v. Polaris Industries, Inc., 795 Fed. Appx. 827 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	36
Avant Tech, Inc. v. Anza Tech., Inc., IPR2018-00828, Paper 7 (Oct. 16, 2018)	37
Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC, 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	36
Canadian Solar Inc. v. The Solaria Corporation, IPR2021-00095, Paper 12 (May 26, 2021)	19
Cisco v. Ramot at Tel Aviv University, IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (May 15, 2020)	27
In re Deters, 515 F.2d 1152 (CCPA 1975)	12
DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc., 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	34
Eli Lilly and Company v. Zenith Goldline Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 471 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	32
Facebook v. USC IP Partnership, IPR2021-00033 Paper 13 (April 30, 2021)	25 26



Free-Flow Packaging Int'l v. Automated Packaging Systems, Inc., IPR2016-00350, Paper 7 (Jun. 27, 2016)	36
In re Fritch, 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	40
In re Giannelli, 739 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	37
Honda Motor Co., Ltd. v. Blitzsafe Texas, LLC, IPR2016-01473, Paper 9 (Jan.24, 2017)	37
HVLPO2, LLC v. Oxygen Frog, LLC, 949 F.3d 685, 689 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	12
Intel Corp. v. Hera Wireless S.A., IPR2018-01686, Paper No. 10 at 5-6 (Nov. 8, 2019)	13, 17
InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc., 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	36, 40
In re Kahn, 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	35
Kiosoft Techs., LLC v. Payrange, Inc., No. IPR2021-00086, Paper 12 (Mar. 22, 2021)	29
Nautilus Hyosung Inc. v. Diebold, Inc., IPR2016-00633, Paper 9 (Aug. 22, 2016)	39
Nintendo Co., Ltd. v. Gamevice, Inc., IPR2020-01197 Paper 13 (Jan. 12, 2021)	21, 22
<i>In re Nuvasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	37
PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc., 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	37
Philip Morris Products, S.A. v. RAI Strategic Holdings, Inc., IPR2020-00919, Paper 9 (Nov. 16, 2020)	20, 27



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

