#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC., Petitioner

v.

RFCyber Corp.

U.S. Patent No. 9,240,009

IPR2021-00956

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTR	TRODUCTION		
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES			
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest	8	
	B.	Related Matters	8	
	C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	9	
III.	GRO	UNDS FOR STANDING	10	
IV.	BAC	SACKGROUND ON SMART CARDS FOR MOBILE DEVICES10		
V.	THE '009 PATENT			
	A.	Summary of the '009 Patent	10	
	B.	Prosecution History of the '009 Patent	12	
VI.	LEVI	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13	
VII.	. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION			
	A.	"secure element" of "SE"	13	
	B.	"an interface to receive a secure element"	14	
VIII.	RELI	EF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR	15	
IX.	IDEN	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES1		
X.	THE	THE BOARD SHOULD INSTITUTE INTER PARTES REVIEW		
	A.	This petition is not cumulative to the prosecution history	16	
	B.	The Fintiv factors favor institution	16	
		1. Factor 1 is neutral (Possibility of a Stay)	17	



		2.	Factor 2 is neutral (proximity of trial date to final written decision)	. 17
		3.	Factor 3 favors institution (investment in parallel proceeding)	. 18
		4.	Factor 4 favors institution (overlap in issues)	. 20
		5.	Factor 5 is neutral (overlap in parties).	. 21
		6.	Factor 6 favors institution (other circumstances)	. 21
XI.	IDEN	NTIFIC	CATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	21
	A.		and 1: Claims 1-6 and 13-17 are obvious over Staib, Wentker, Holtmanns.	. 21
		1.	Summary of Staib	. 21
		2.	Summary of Wentker	. 22
		3.	Summary of Holtmanns	. 23
		4.	Reasons to Combine Staib and Wentker	. 23
		5.	Reasons to Combine Staib, Wentker, and Holtmanns	. 26
		6.	Claim 1	. 28
		7.	Claim 2	. 41
		8.	Claim 3	. 42
		9.	Claim 4	. 43
		10.	Claim 5	. 45
		11.	Claim 6	. 46
		12.	Claim 13	. 51



		13.	Claim 14	53
		14.	Claim 15	55
		15.	Claim 16	56
		16.	Claim 17	57
	B.		nd 2: Claims 7-12 are obvious over Staib, Wentker, nanns, and Pesonen.	59
		1.	Summary of Pesonen	59
		2.	Reasons to combine Staib, Wentker, Holtmanns, and Pesonen	59
		3.	Claim 7	61
		4.	Claim 8	70
		5.	Claim 9	71
		6.	Claim 10	72
		7.	Claim 11	74
		8.	Claim 12	75
XII.	CON	CLUS]	ION	76
CER'	TIFIC	ATE O	F WORD COUNT	77
CER'	TIFICA	ATE O	F SERVICE	78



# **Petitioner's Exhibit List**

GOOG-1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,240,009
GOOG-1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 9,240,009
GOOG-1003	Declaration of Stephen Gray under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
GOOG-1004	Curriculum Vitae of Stephen Gray
GOOG-1005	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2005/0222961 by Staib ("Staib")
GOOG-1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,628,322 to Holtmanns ("Holtmanns")
GOOG-1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,481,632 to Wentker ("Wentker")
GOOG-1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,005,942 to Chan et al. ("Chan")
GOOG-1009	U.S. Patent No. 7,699,233 to Pesonen ("Pesonen")
GOOG-1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,367,011 to Lee et al. ("Lee")
GOOG-1011	Wolfgang Rankl & Wolfgang Effing, <i>Smart Card Handbook</i> (Kenneth Cox trans., John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 3d ed. 2002) ("Smart Card Handbook")
GOOG-1012	Complaint for Patent Infringement, <i>RFCyber Corp. v. Google LLC et al.</i> , 2:20-cv-00274 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 21, 2020)
GOOG-1013	Affidavits of Service in <i>RFCyber Corp. v. Google LLC et al.</i> , 2:20-cv-00274 (E.D. Tex.)
GOOG-1014	Sample Docket Control Order
GOOG-1015	Email from RFCyber's attorney requesting an extension of the due date for infringement contentions
GOOG-1016	Motion for extension of deadlines filed in the district court case on April 27, 2021
GOOG-1017	Junko Yoshida, "Chip Makers Still Uncertain of Plunge into NFC," <i>Electronic Engineering Times</i> 6 (Nov. 15, 2004)



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

