

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Playtika Ltd. and Playtika Holding Corp.,  
Petitioners,

v.

NexRF Corp.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2021-00951  
Patent 8,747,229

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
EXHIBIT LIST .....	v
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	1
II. KERR’S CLAIMED INVENTION .....	4
III. THE UNADULTERATED DISCLOSURE OF JOSHI .....	7
IV. THE ’229 PROSECUTION HISTORY DISTINGUISHED THE CLAIMED INVENTION OVER WALKER.....	9
V. ARGUMENT.....	14
A. Ground 1 – Joshi Does Not Disclose the Claimed “ <i>paytable module associated with the centralized gaming server</i> ” .....	15
B. Ground 2 – The Combination of Joshi and Finlayson Does Not Disclose the Claimed “ <i>paytable module associated with the centralized gaming server</i> ” .....	19
C. Grounds 1 and 2 – Joshi Does Not Disclose, Teach, or Suggest the ’229 Patent’s Claimed Combination of Structures and Functions Involving the “ <i>centralized gaming server</i> ” .....	23
1. Joshi Teaches a Gaming System of Physically Connected Slot Machines .....	23
2. Joshi Does Not Disclose, Teach, or Suggest the Claimed Combination of Structures and Functions Associated with the “ <i>centralized gaming server</i> ” .....	26
VI. OTHER GROUNDS FOR DENIAL OF INSTITUTION .....	29
A. Playtika Is Collaterally Estopped from Commencing IPR Proceedings .....	29
B. Inter Partes Review Is Unconstitutional.....	32
VII. CONCLUSION.....	34

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*Adaptics Ltd. v. Perfect Co.*,  
IPR2018-01596, Paper 20 (PTAB March 6, 2019) .....29

*Apple Inc. v. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.*,  
IPR2017-02202, 2018 WL 2065016 (PTAB May 1, 2018) .....16

*B&B Hardware, Inc. v. Hargis Indus., Inc.*,  
575 U.S. 13848 (2015) .....30

*Celgene Corp. v. Peter*,  
931 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....33

*Celgene Corp. v. Peter*,  
No. 19-1074, 2020 WL 3405867 (U.S. June 22, 2020) .....33

*DaVincia, LLC v. Enco Sys., Inc.*,  
IPR2020-00690, 2020 WL 5551710 (PTAB Sept. 16, 2020) .....16

*Envtl. Designs, Ltd. v. Union Oil Co.*,  
713 F.2d 693 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....21

*Enzo Life Sciences, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.*,  
2020 WL 1479888 (U.S. Oct. 30, 2019) .....33

*Exacq Techs., Inc. v. JDS Techs., Inc.*,  
IPR2016-00568, Paper 7 (PTAB June 14, 2016) .....22

*In re DBC*,  
545 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....32

*In re Freeman*,  
30 F.3d 1459 (Fed. Cir. 1994) ..... 30, 31

*Micro-Tech Co., Ltd. v. Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc.*,  
IPR2020-00185, Paper 11 (PTAB May 4, 2020) .....22

*NEXRF Corp. v. Playtika Ltd.*,  
No. 3:20-cv-00603-MMD-CLB, 2021 WL 2874114  
(D. Nev. July 7, 2021) .....29

<i>Rudolph Techs., Inc. v. Camtek Ltd.</i> , 2016 WL 8668504 (D. Minn. Aug. 8, 2016).....	31
<i>Ruiz v. A.B. Chance Co.</i> , 357 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	21
<i>Sanofi-Synthelabo v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 550 F.3d 1075 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	4
<i>SSIH Equip. S.A. v. U.S. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 718 F.2d 365 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....	30
<i>United States v. 103 Elec. Gambling Devices</i> , 223 F.3d 1091 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	5
<i>W.L. Gore &amp; Assoc., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.</i> , 469 U.S. 851 (1984).....	26
<i>W.L. Gore &amp; Assoc., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.</i> , 721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....	26
<i>XpertUniverse, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-03848-RS, 2018 WL 2585436 (N.D. Cal. May 8, 2018).....	31
<b><u>Statutes</u></b>	
25 U.S.C. § 2701 .....	4
25 U.S.C. § 2721 .....	4
35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	1, 10, 21
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	29
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	29
<b><u>Other Authorities</u></b>	
MPEP 2141.02.V .....	26
<b><u>Regulations</u></b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	29

37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....22

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.