

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Playtika Ltd. and Playtika Holding Corp.,
Petitioners

v.

NexRF Corp.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00951
Patent 8,747,229

EXPERT DECLARATION OF NEIL SPENCER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	4
I. Background and Qualifications.....	4
II. Information Considered	13
III. Legal Standard	14
A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	14
B. Obviousness	16
IV. State of the Art.....	19
A. Gaming Systems in 2001	19
B. Overview of the Technology of the '229 Patent.....	26
C. Overview of the Prior Art	31
1. Joshi	31
a. Mr. Friedman's Figure 1	40
b. The technical difficulties associated with modifying Joshi	43
2. Finlayson.....	68
V. Non-Obviousness of the Challenged Claims	69
A. Joshi does not disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed centralized gaming server configured to access the memory module and communicate the plurality of images associated with at least one random game outcome in claims 1, 9 and 17.....	69
B. A Note on Nielson and Wiltshire.....	74
C. Joshi does not enable the claimed "centralized gaming server" in claims 1, 9, and 17	75
D. Joshi fails to disclose, teach, or suggest "a payable module associated with the centralized gaming server" in claims 1, 9, and 17	79
1. Joshi Does Not Disclose the Paytable Module as Applied to Claims 9 and 17	83

E.	Ground 2 – Joshi Combined With Finlayson.....	85
1.	A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Joshi and Finlayson.....	85
F.	Grounds 3-8 Rely on Grounds 1-2, and Are Inadequate for the Same Reasons	87
VI.	Conclusion	88

INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Haug Partners LLP on behalf of Patent Owner NexRF as an independent expert in connection with the above-captioned matter. I have been asked to analyze and opine on technical topics concerning U.S. Patent No. 8,747,229. My opinions, expressed in this declaration, concern issues related to patentability, such as the state of the art, the scope and content of the prior art, similarities and differences between the '229 patent and the prior art, and the knowledge of a hypothetical person of skill in the art. My opinions are based on my personal and professional experience, and my understanding as an expert in the field. My qualifications and credentials are presented in Section I, below.

2. I am being compensated for the services I provide at an hourly rate of \$350 per hour. This compensation is not contingent upon my performance, the outcome of this *inter partes* review or any other proceeding, or any issues involved in or related to this *inter partes* review.

I. Background and Qualifications

3. I am an internationally recognized expert and advisor to gaming operators and regulators with more than 33 years of experience in gaming machines and systems, gaming technology, technical standards, legislation and regulations, and gaming business operations in jurisdictions including Australia, USA, Canada, New Zealand, Macau, and the United Kingdom.

4. I am the founder and managing director of Gaming Consultants International, a gaming industry consulting company established in 1988, and located in Sandringham, Victoria, Australia.

5. I have a Bachelor's Degree in Applied Science (Physics and Computer Science) from the Caulfield Institute of Technology (now Monash University). The degree was awarded in 1979.

6. I have been involved in the gaming industry for some 33 years. Prior to that, I had nine years of experience in the Aerospace & Defense industry associated with large scale systems development and integration projects.

7. My professional career in gaming is elaborated in following paragraphs, however in summary my expertise and experience includes more than fifteen years of experience in gaming machine hardware specification and design, more than twenty years of experience in gaming machine game design, specification and development, more than thirteen years of experience in the development and implementation of gaming machine communication protocols and networked gaming systems, more than four years of experience in on-line gaming systems and legislation, and more than twenty five years of experience in technical standards and regulation for gaming machines, games and networked gaming systems.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.