

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CLOUDFLARE, INC. AND SONICWALL INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SABLE NETWORKS, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00909
Patent 8,243,593

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
TO PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND	5
A. The '593 Patent.....	5
B. References Cited In The Petition.....	9
1. Yung	9
2. Copeland.....	11
3. Four-Steps Whitepaper.....	12
4. Ye	13
III. PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT ANY OF THE CLAIMS ARE DISCLOSED AND/OR RENDERED OBVIOUS (ALL GROUNDS, ALL CLAIMS).	14
A. The Petition Fails To Sufficiently Demonstrate That Storing The “Behavioral Statistics” For A Flow As Claimed Is Disclosed Or Made Obvious By Any Combination (All Grounds, Claims 1–44).....	14
B. The Petition Fails To Sufficiently Demonstrate That The Yung Combinations Disclose Use Of A “Single Router” In The Manner Claimed (Ground I, Claims 1, 2, 6-7; Ground IV, Claim 8).	16
1. Yung Is Not Shown To Teach The Single Router Limitations.....	18
2. Yung Teaches Away From Use Of A Single Router As Claimed.....	21
3. The Art Incorporated And Cited In Yung Also Does Not Disclose The Single Router Limitations.	26
4. The Petition Fails To Sufficiently Explain How Yung Could Or Would Be Modified To Perform The Claimed Invention.....	32

C. The Petition Fails To Sufficiently Demonstrate That The Yung Combinations Disclose Or Render Obvious The Calculation Of A Badness Factor As Claimed (Ground I, Claims 17-18, 37-38; Ground II, Claims 9-13, 19-24, 29-33, 39-44; Ground IV, Claims 14-16, 34-36).	34
1. Copeland Does Not Disclose The Claimed “Badness Factor” For Each Flow.....	34
2. The Petition Does Not Sufficiently Establish A Reason For The POSITA To Have Combined Yung And Copeland As Proposed.....	39
D. The Petition Fails To Demonstrate That Young And The Four-Steps Whitepaper Make Claim 3 Obvious (Ground III, Claim 3).	43
1. Petitioner Fails To Sufficiently Show The Four-Steps That Whitepaper Was Publicly Accessible Qualified Prior Art.....	43
2. The Proposed Combination Does Not Disclose A Field Containing Data Representing Behavioral Statistics About Dropped Packets.....	53
3. The Petition Does Not Show A Reason The POSITA Would Have Combined Yung And The Four-Steps Whitepaper As Proposed.	56
E. The Petition Fails To Sufficiently Demonstrate Its Combinations Enforce Penalties On A Flow As Claimed (Ground I, Claims 1-2, 4-7, 17-18, 25-27, 37-38; Ground II, Claims 12-13, 32-33; Ground III, Claim 3; Ground IV, Claims 8, 14-16, 28, 34-36).	59
F. The Petition Fails To Show That Ye Renders Obvious The Enforcement Of A Penalty When A “Congestion Condition” Is Encountered As Claimed (Ground IV, Claims 8, 14-16, 28, 34-36).	63
IV. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION UNDER § 314(a).	64
V. CONCLUSION	70

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
COURT DECISIONS	
<i>Blue Calypso, LLC v. Groupon, Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	45
<i>Cont’l Intermodal Grp.-Trucking LLC v. Sand Revolution LLC</i> , Case No. 7:18-cv-00147-ADA (W.D. Tex. Jul. 22, 2020).....	66
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	45
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs. v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	64
<i>Ecofactor, Inc. v. Vivint, Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-20-cv-00080 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 16, 2021)	68
<i>Google LLC v. Ecofactor, Inc.</i> , IPR2021-00454, Paper 9 (PTAB July 22, 2021)	68
<i>Kerr Mach. Co. d/b/a Kerr Pumps v. Vulcan Indus. Holdings, LLC</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00200-ADA (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2020).....	66
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i> , 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	44
<i>MiMedx Grp., Inc. v. Tissue Transplant Tech. Ltd.</i> , 2015 WL 11573771 (W.D. Tex. Jan 5, 2015)	66
<i>MultiMedia Content Mgmt LLC v. Dish Network L.L.C.</i> , Case No. 6:18-cv-00207-ADA (W.D. Tex. May 30, 2019)	66
<i>Suffolk Techs., LLC v. AOL Inc.</i> , 752 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	44

Telemac Cellular Corp. v. Topp Telecom, Inc., 247 F.3d 1316, 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....59

Voter Verified, Inc. v. Premier Election Sols., Inc.,
698 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....45

WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.,
829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....59

AGENCY DECISIONS

Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Grecia,
IPR2018-00418, Paper 7 (PTAB June 21, 2018).....48

Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Grecia,
IPR2018-00418, Paper 9 (PTAB Sept. 7, 2018)..... 47, 50

Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,
IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020)
(precedential)..... 65, 66

Celltrion, LLC v. Biogen, Inc.,
IPR2017-01230, Paper 10 (PTAB Oct 12, 2017)45

General Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha,
IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)
(precedential).....69

Google LLC v. IPA Techs. Inc.,
IPR2018-00384, Paper 11, 13–15 (PTAB July 3, 2018) 45, 47, 51

Gracernote, Inc. v. Iceberg Indus. LLC,
IPR2013-00551, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 28, 2014).....44

HTC Corp. v. Cellular Commc’ns Equip. LLC,
IPR2016-01503, Paper 7 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2017).....44

Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC,
IPR2018-01039, Paper 29 (PTAB Dec. 20, 2019)
(precedential).....43

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.