

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

CLOUDFLARE, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

SABLE NETWORKS, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2021-00909  
Patent No. 8,243,593

---

**PETITIONER'S UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS**

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,243,593 (the “’593 Patent”)
1002	Prosecution history of U.S. Application No. 11/022,599, which led to the issuance of the ’593 Patent (“File History”)
1003	Declaration of Kevin Jeffay, Ph.D. in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,243,593
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Kevin Jeffay
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,664,048 (“Yung”)
1006	“Four Steps to Application Performance Across the Network with Packeteer’s PacketShaper®,” archived by web.archive.org on March 17, 2003, with Affidavit of Elizabeth Rosenberg attached (“Four-Steps Whitepaper”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 7,185,368 (“Copeland”)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,295,516 (“Ye”)
1009	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0090923 (“Kan”)
1010	Gerber, A., et al., “P2P, the Gorilla in the Cable,” Proceedings of National Cable & Telecommunications Association, NCTA, 2003 (“Gerber”)
1011	U.S. Patent No. 7,225,271 (“DiBiasio”)
1012	U.S. Patent No. 7,561,515 (“Ross”)
1013	Ben-Nun, M., “Taming the Peer to Peer Monster Using Service Control,” Fall Technical Forum (2003) (“Ben-Nun”)
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,839,321 (“Chiruvolu”)
1015	U.S. Patent No. 7,088,678 (“Freed”)

Exhibit	Description
1016	“NetEnforcer™, QoS/SLA Enforcement for Service Providers,” Allot Communications (2001)
1017	“PacketShaper® Features for PacketWise 5.2,” Packeteer, Inc.
1018	U.S. Patent No. 7,366,101 (“Varier”)
1019	Andrikopoulos, I., Pavlou, G., “Supporting Differentiated Services in MPLS Networks,” 1999 Seventh International Workshop on Quality of Service, including Declaration from Rachel J. Watters, Librarian and Director of Wisconsin TechSearch (“Andrikopoulos”)
1020	U.S. Patent No. 7,385,924 (“Riddle-924”)
1021	U.S. Patent No. 7,660,248 (“Duffield”)
1022	Sen, S., et al., “Accurate, Scalable In-Network Identification of P2P Traffic Using Application Signatures,” Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on World Wide Web (2004) (“Sen”)
1023	U.S. Patent No. 7,313,100 (“Turner”)
1024	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0186661 (“Santiago”)
1025	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0118029 (“Maher”)
1026	U.S. Patent No. 7,296,288 (“Hill”)
1027	U.S. Patent No. 6,904,529 (“Swander”)
1028	U.S. Patent No. 6,385,170 (“Chiu”)
1029	U.S. Patent No. 6,934,256 (“Jacobson”)
1030	U.S. Patent No. 7,342,929 (“Bremner-Barr”)
1031	PacketShaper® System Datasheet
1032	Boniforti, C., “Securing a University’s Bandwidth with PacketShaper,” SANS Institute (2003) (“Boniforti”)

Exhibit	Description
1033	Braden, R., Postel, J., “RFC 1009 – Requirements for Internet Gateways” (1987) (“Braden”)
1034	Roughan, M., et al., “Class-of-Service Mapping for QoS: A Statistical Signature-based Approach to IP Traffic Classification,” Proceedings of the 4th ACM SIGCOMM Conference on Internet Measurement (2004) (“Roughan”)
1035	U.S. Patent No. 7,027,393 (“Cheriton”)
1036	U.S. Patent No. 7,433,304 (“Galloway”)
1037	U.S. Patent No. 6,115,357 (“Packer”)
1038	Szigeti, T., “QoS Best Practices,” Cisco Systems (2004) (“Szigeti”)
1039	U.S. Patent No. 6,412,000 to Riddle <i>et al.</i> (“Riddle-000”)
1040	Long L., et al., “Differential Congestion Notification: Taming the Elephants,” Proceedings of the 12th IEEE International Conference on Network Protocols (Oct. 2004) (“Long”)
1041	Parris M., et al., “Lightweight Active Router-Queue Management for Multimedia Networking,” Multimedia Computing and Networking” (Jan. 1999) (“Parris”)
1042	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0023168 (“Bass”)
1043	U.S. Application Publication No. 2002/0097719 (“Chaskar”)
1044	Bernaille, L., et al., “Traffic Classification on the Fly,” ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review (2006) (“Bernaille”)
1045	U.S. Patent No. 7,782,793 (“Olesinski”)
1046	U.S. Patent No. 8,693,348 (“Wei”)
1047	Karagiannis, T., et al., “Transport Layer Identification of P2P Traffic,” IMC 04: Proceedings of the 4th ACM SIGCOMM

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
	conference on Internet measurement, October 2004 (“Karagiannis”)
1048	Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas dated May 8, 2020
1049	Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas dated June 18, 2020
1050	Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas dated July 2, 2020
1051	Seventh Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas dated August 6, 2020
1052	Eighth Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas dated September 21, 2020
1053	Ninth Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas dated October 14, 2020
1054	Tenth Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas dated November 18, 2020
1055	Eleventh Supplemental Order Regarding Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by the COVID-19 Pandemic,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.