

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., )

)

)

Petitioner; )

)

)

-vs- )

Case IPR2021-00881

)

Pat. No. 9,254,338 B2

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC) )

)

)

Patent Owner. )

-----) )

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS from the

Teleconference taken by Paul W. O'Connor, a CSR within  
and for the State of Illinois, pursuant to the  
provisions of the Federal Code of Civil Procedure and  
Rules of the United State Patent and Trademark Office,  
commencing at 12:30 p.m. on September 8, 2021.



1 APPEARANCES:

2  
3  
4  
5 RAKOCZY MOLINO MAZZOCHI & SIWIK LLP

6 6 West Hubbard Street

7 Chicago, Illinois, 60654

8 By: MR. HEINZ J. SALMEN and

9 MR. NEIL McLAUGHLIN

10 Hsalmen@rmmslegal.com

11 Nmclaughlin@rmmslegal.com

12 Appearing on behalf of the Petitioner;

13  
14  
15  
16 ARNOLD & PORTER

17 3000 El Camino Real, #500

18 Palo Alto, California, 94304

19 By: MS. DEBORAH E. FISHMAN and

20 MS. AMANDA ANTONS and

21 MS. ALICE HO

22 Deborah.fishman@arnoldporter.com

23 Appeared on behalf of the Patent Owner.

I N D E X

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

WITNESS

PAGE

None

EXHIBITS :

None

OTHER :

Arguments on Inter Partes Review Petitions 4

1 JUDGE NEW: Please identify yourselves.

2 MR. SALMEN: Yes, your Honor. My name is Heinz  
3 Salmen from the law firm Rakoczy Molino Mazzochi Siwik on  
4 behalf of Petitioner Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. With me  
5 on the call is Neil McLaughlin also from the Rakoczy  
6 firm.

7 JUDGE NEW: Thank you. Welcome, Mr. Salmen.

8 MR. SALMEN: Thank you, your Honor.

9 MS. FISHMAN: This is Deborah Fishman of the law  
10 firm Arnold & Porter on behalf of patent owner Regeneron.  
11 And with me today on the call are my colleagues Amanda  
12 Antons and Alice Ho, also of the Arnold & Porter firm.

13 JUDGE NEW: Thank you very much. Do either party  
14 have a court reporter.

15 I believe we have three items to talk  
16 about today on our agenda according to the e-mail we  
17 received. The very first one concerns the -- there  
18 doesn't seem to be much dispute between the parties on  
19 this one. Particularly in light of the view, in view of  
20 the fact patent owner has confirmed it will not oppose  
21 Petitioner's motion to update its mandatory notice if  
22 needed. Do I understand that correctly?

23 MR. SALMEN: Yes.

24 MS. FISHMAN: Yep.

1 JUDGE NEW: So there's no issue there for us to  
2 resolve. With respect to the second issue, that is with  
3 respect to the word counts in the petition and in the  
4 preliminary response, because the word count is  
5 prescribed by 35 USC 324, I don't know that the parties  
6 can actually stipulate to increasing that so what I'd  
7 like to do is solicit from you now a request that you  
8 both be allowed to extend your briefs by the word count  
9 set forth in the e-mail, that is to say 128 words for the  
10 patent owner and I'm sorry, 128 words for the patent  
11 owner and 137 words in the patent owner response, and  
12 that's in 880 and 881.

13 Would patent owner care to make a request  
14 to that effect?

15 MS. FISHMAN: Certainly your Honor. In lieu of  
16 seeking dismissal, patent owner would request an  
17 additional 128 words in the 881 IPR for its POR should it  
18 be instituted. And an additional 137 words in the 880  
19 IPR for its POR should that IPR be instituted.

20 JUDGE NEW: Is there any objection to that on the  
21 part of Petitioner?

22 MR. SALMEN: Your Honor, I have no objection on  
23 behalf of Petitioner. However, I believe patent owner  
24 may have gotten the word number reversed.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.