Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

50 (197 to 200)

200

97

- 1 attributes that are key drivers of marketplace
- 2 performance, that clinical data showed that Eylea
- 3 was noninferior and clinically equivalent but not
- 4 superior to ranibizumab?
- 5 MR. MARX: Objection. Outside the scope
- 6 of Mr. Hofmann's expertise, lack of foundation.
- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A I don't know if you're reading from
- 9 Paragraph 58, but I can't find or I certainly
- 10 didn't follow what you were saying relative to any
- 11 particular language in Paragraph 58.

12 BY MR. CAINE:

- 13 Q Well, you offered an opinion in 58 that
- 14 was a critique of Dr. Manning, that Dr. Manning
- 15 didn't consider attributes such as safety and
- 16 efficacy that explained, I think, in your view
- 17 Eylea's marketplace performance; is that right?
- 18 MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 19 the document.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- 21 A I think you're paraphrasing but I think
- 22 paraphrasing in a way that I can live with.

- 1 know how to interpret it, and I don't know you
 - 2 know, I think these are far better questions for
 - 3 Drs. Gerritsen and Albini if these are things they
 - 4 reviewed. I don't recall seeing references to
 - 5 them one way or the other in their declarations,
 - 6 but I don't know that I can respond to your
 - question as asked.
 - 8 BY MR. CAINE:
 - 9 Q Did you review Dr. Do's declaration?
 - 10 A I did.
 - 11 Q Did you review her discussion of
 - 12 Exhibit 1018?
 - 13 A I don't remember.
 - 14 Q Did you ask to see Exhibit 1018 after
 - 15 reviewing Dr. Do's declaration?
 - 16 A I don't remember one way or the other.
 - 17 Q Do you understand there to be a difference
 - 18 between efficacy of treatment and the duration at
 - 19 which that efficacy is maintained?
 - 20 MR. MARX: Objection. Outside the scope.
 - 21 And further, this is completely improper. It's
 - 22 seeking a legal conclusion with respect to the

- 1 BY MR. CAINE:
- 2 Q In this exhibit that we're looking at --
- 3 A Among other things.
- 4 Q In this exhibit that we're looking at,
- 5 Exhibit 1018, the results that are being reported
- 6 are that aflibercept was noninferior and
- 7 clinically equivalent to monthly ranibizumab, not
- 8 that it was superior, right?
- 9 MR. MARX: Objection. Outside the scope.
- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A I don't feel comfortable commenting on
- 12 Exhibit 1018. I haven't reviewed it. I'm not a
- 13 scientist. I'm not a POSA. I've relied in
- 14 developing my opinions in Paragraph 58 as well as
- 15 the entirety of my report and the relevant
- 16 sections contained therein.
- 17 I've referenced the technical experts and 18 their opinions that helped shape and form my
- 19 opinions on technical issues as well as making
- 20 sure that they were consistent with what I saw in
- 21 the documents that I saw.
- 22 I haven't seen this document. I don't

- 1 pending claim construction argument that Regeneron
 - 2 is trying to make.

- 3 BY THE WITNESS:
- 4 A I just I don't have the scientific
- 5 expertise to answer that question.
- 6 BY MR. CAINE:
- 7 Q You didn't have that scientific expertise
- 8 when you formed the opinions that are set forth in
- 9 your declaration, right?
- 10 MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 11 the witness testimony.
- 12 BY THE WITNESS:
- 13 A No. What I'm saying is I had sufficient
- 14 basis to form all the opinions in my report, and
- 15 as is normally done by economists who are dealing
- 16 with complex technical issues is I relied on
- 17 technical experts.
- 18 I reviewed other documents to make sure
- 19 that there wasn't anything that kind of stood out
- 20 or didn't seem to make sense in my ability to
- 21 interpret as an economist, not as a scientist, not
- 22 as a POSA, and based on what I reviewed and

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

51 (201 to 204)

203

20

1 explain and cite to in my report was supported.

- 2 BY MR. CAINE:
- 3 Q What did you do from an economic
- 4 perspective to differentiate between the impact of
- 5 efficacy and the impact of duration?
- 6 MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it
- 7 seeks a legal conclusion and form.
- 8 BY THE WITNESS:
- 9 A I think I have to go on the attack here a 10 bit with Manning. He didn't do anything.

11 BY MR. CAINE:

- 12 Q I'm asking, sir, what you did for the
- 13 purposes of your declaration to differentiate
- 14 between efficacy and duration for the purposes of
- 15 offering opinions on Eylea's marketplace
- 16 performance?
- 17 MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it
- 18 seeks a legal conclusion, form and
- 19 mischaracterizes the witness testimony.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- 21 A That's inherent in my role here is to
- 22 respond and rebut the opinions expressed in the
- 1 Manning declaration, and so for you to say you're
- 2 not asking what he did, that's the role I played
- 3 here. I looked at what he did. He did nothing.
- 4 So then I looked at what Drs. Gerritsen
- 5 and Dr. Albini did and expressed and explained my
- 6 understanding from what they did. I reviewed and
- 7 considered other documents and information, and I 7
- 8 explain that, I think, in pretty good detail in my
- 9 declaration.
- 10 So it is it is a defect and a flaw that
- 11 Manning didn't address any of this, and I'm
- 12 highlighting so he didn't address any of it. And
- 13 from what I've seen in the record, there's
- 14 evidence that these are attributes that are
- 15 attributable to the aflibercept molecule, as I
- 16 explained, and have references to their
- 17 declarations. And I'm just I'm not sure what
- 18 more to say about that.
- 19 BY MR. CAINE:
- 20 Q Dr. Manning is not here to defend himself.
- 21 I'm sure he would have a response. But I'm really
- 22 asking about what you did as part of your critique

- 1 to differentiate between efficacy and duration for
- 2 the purpose of offering opinions on marketplace
- performance.
- 4 Did you consider those two to be different
- 5 attributes?
- 6 MR. MARX: Objection. Asked and answered,
- outside the scope of Mr. Hofmann's expertise.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- 9 A I'm not a scientist and I'm not a POSA. I 10 was afforded the luxury of having Dr. Manning's
- 11 deposition transcript and Dr. Manning's
- 12 declaration before I issued my declaration. He
- 13 didn't do anything with this. I was rebutting
- 14 him. So what I did was I explained what I
- 15 observed in his failures. Whether or not he's
- 16 here to defend himself, I think the record is
- 17 pretty clear from his deposition he didn't do
- 18 anything with respect to this. And so we have
- 19 that in sworn testimony because I think he was 20 asked about that.
- 21 I think that what we have here is the
- 22 situation where I so absent him doing anything

202

1 to address how much we would look at the efficacy

- 2 and safety as flowing from the aflibercept
- 3 molecule, I looked to what I saw from technical
- 4 experts. I'm not a scientist or a POSA, so that's
 - the place I go to first.
 - And then I reviewed the rest of the
 - record, and everything I saw was consistent with
- 8 what I was looking at in the documents and
- 9 information that were produced that suggest that
- 10 it's not the '338 patent. It's, in fact, things
- 11 that were associated with prior blocking patents,
- 12 things that were known in the prior art, among
- 13 them being efficacy and safety associated with the
- 14 aflibercept molecule, as I explain in detail in my
- 15 report.

16 BY MR. CAINE:

- 17 Q In forming your critiques, did you do
- 18 anything to differentiate between efficacy on the
- 19 one hand and duration on the other as a basis for
- 20 Eylea's marketplace performance?
- 21 MR. MARX: Objection. Asked and answered,
- 22 outside the expert's expertise. And furthermore,

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

52 (205 to 208)

207

205

206

- 1 to the extent this relates to the pending claim
- 2 construction issue, it seeks a legal conclusion.
- 3 It's an improper line of questioning.
- 4 BY THE WITNESS:
- 5 A It is you know, my opinions are laid
- 6 out in, I think, copious detail in my declaration,
- 7 and I explain the things that I considered, relied
- 8 upon, reviewed. Among them were the opinions of
- 9 technical experts where those finer points, if
- 10 their issues were part of what I considered
- 11 because I considered the entirety of their
- 12 opinions and declarations. But I'm not a
- 13 scientist. I'm not a POSA. I'm not weighing in
- 14 on any of that affirmatively one way or the other.
 15 BY MR. CAINE:
- 16 Q Let me ask the question one more time 17 because I don't believe you've yet answered it.
- 18 In forming your critiques, did you do
- 19 anything to differentiate between efficacy on the
- 20 one hand and duration on the other as a basis for
- 21 Eylea's marketplace performance?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 1 Objection. It seeks a legal conclusion as it
- 2 relates to the pending claim construction issue
- and further outside the scope of Mr. Hofmann's
- 4 expertise.
- 5 BY THE WITNESS:
- 6 A I'm not a scientist. I'm not a POSA. I'm
- 7 not a patent lawyer. I'm taking what was, I
- 8 think, failure by Dr. Manning in addressing the
- 9 things that were known in the prior art and the
- 10 important role that efficacy and safety played
- 11 with respect to the aflibercept molecule.
- 12 And then I relied on technical experts
- 13 with confirmatory review through my review of
- 14 documents and information that were provided by 15 Regeneron in forming my opinions.
- 16 It's all laid out in my report, and I 17 don't really have anything to add beyond that. 18 BY MR. CAINE:
- 19 Q As part of your analysis and your review 20 of the declarations of Dr. Albini and
- 21 Dr. Gerritsen, you did not -- you did not go into
- 22 detail on Exhibit 1018, which we've looked at, or

- 1 Exhibit -- just so I have it right -- 2086,
- 2 correct?
- 3 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.
- 4 BY THE WITNESS:
- 5 A Nor would it be anywhere near normal for
- 6 an economist to do so. I'm not getting into the
- weeds of the technical issues and arguments
- 8 because I'm not a POSA. I'm not a scientist. I'm
- 9 relying on their opinions, as I've referenced and
- 10 explained, having reviewed their declarations.
- 11 They've considered all this stuff, and that stuff,
- 12 you know, is something they considered in forming
- 13 the opinions on which I ultimately rely.
- 14 I'm not going to reasonably replicate what
- 15 a scientist who is a skilled clinician, who is a 16 skilled microbiologist does in their review of
- 10 skined incrobiologist does in their review of
- 17 scientific articles. We just have differing
- 18 expertise. I rely on their expertise, and then I 19 do a check by looking at other documents and
- 20 information that are provided in this case by
- 21 December and I didn't are conditionally
- 21 Regeneron, and I didn't see anything that
- 22 suggested otherwise to the conclusions that they

1 reached, and so, I think, reasonably relied on

- 2 that information collectively, as I explain in
- 3 detail in my declaration.
- 4 Q You talked about relying on the technical
- 5 experts with confirmatory review through your
- 6 review of documents and information that were
- 7 provided by Regeneron. And I'm asking did that
- 8 confirmatory review and your review of documents
- 9 include Exhibits 1018 or 2086?
- 10 A I don't remember whether I specifically
- 11 looked at those documents. I mean, I looked at
- 12 the Do report. I looked at the technical experts.
- 13 I don't have these documents listed in my table as
- 14 something that I separately reviewed, so I don't
- 15 know if I've seen these before. I don't believe I
- 16 have.
- 17 But either way, like, I think what you're,
- 18 I guess, suggesting is that I needed to check or 19 double-check what the POSAs and scientists viewed
- 20 with respect to information like these articles.
- 21 That isn't what an economist would do.
- 22 I'm relying on their expertise for their lane that

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

53 (209 to 212)

- 1 they're in, and I'm providing my perspective
- through an economic lens on the lane that I'm in.
- Q You didn't ask Dr. Albini or Dr. Gerritsen
- 4 to explain to you the difference between efficacy
- and duration; is that right?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Asked and answered.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- A I feel like we've talked about this -- I
- 9 don't know how many dozens of times, but Manning
- 10 did nothing. I'm rebutting --

11 BY MR. CAINE:

- 12 Q You didn't answer my question.
- 13 Did you ask Dr. Albini or Dr. Gerritsen to
- 14 explain to you the difference between efficacy and
- 15 duration?
- 16 MR. MARX: Objection. And I ask counsel
- 17 not to interrupt Mr. Hofmann while he's answering
- 18 questions.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I'm a rebuttal witness to Dr. Manning. He
- 21 did nothing. So if anything, I did more by doing
- 22 what I did and explaining what I found by looking

 - 1 A Okay. But maybe show me because I don't - you know, a 2009 study for American
 - 3 Society of Retina Specialists I don't know -

Q I'll represent to you that it's referenced

1 appears to be a document I haven't seen before

unfamiliar with. So are you going to - yes, I

have not reviewed any of the hundred pages.

Q You understand that Exhibit 2259 was

submitted in this proceeding by Regeneron? I'll

A I assume so based on the fact that it's

Q You'd recognize Exhibit 2259 as one that

A I don't remember if he did one way or the

Q You would agree with me that you've had an

17 opportunity to review Exhibit 2259 prior to the

19 A I mean, I guess show me where he

10 got an IPR Bates or whatever the exhibit

13 Dr. Manning cited in his declaration?

18 preparation of your declaration?

20 references it in his report.

represent to you that it was.

11 referencing scheme is.

15 other.

16

21

22 in his report.

from 2009. It's a hundred-page document that I'm

have something labeled 2259 in front of me, but I

- 4 that was long before the launch of Eylea, and I
- 5 just I don't recall this document. Maybe I
- 6 looked at it; maybe I didn't. But I'm unfamiliar
- 7 with it as I sit here right now given that it's a
- 8 hundred pages and there's a whole bunch of stuff
- 9 here.
- Q I'll represent to you that it was
- 11 referenced in Paragraph 89 of Dr. Manning's
- 12 report.
- 13 Do you agree?
- A Yeah. I mean, I see the reference. I
- 15 just I don't I don't recall -
- Q You are familiar --16
- **17** A - this as I sit here right now.
- O You are familiar with ASRS PAT surveys? 18
- 19 MR. MARX: Excuse me one second,
- 20 Mr. Hofmann. I'm just going to note for the
- 21 record that this section of the Manning report is
- 22 under heading "Eylea's Patented Dosing Regimen

- 1 at their declarations and looking at the documents
- 2 and information that was available to me in the
- 3 record.
- You already know the answer that I have
- 5 had no live discussions with Dr. Albini or
- 6 Dr. Gerritsen, so no, I didn't have discussions
- 7 with them, but I had more than adequate
- 8 information and above and beyond addressing of
- 9 this issue compared to Dr. Manning, who did 10 nothing.
- MR. CAINE: Can we see Exhibit 2259, 11
- 12 please.
- 13 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked 15 as Exhibit 2259.
- MR. MARX: No comment. The labels
- 17 are consistent with Exhibit 2259.
- 18 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Mr. Hofmann, do you have Exhibit 2259 in
- 20 front of you? Is that a yes, no? Do you have it
- 21 in front of you?
- A So I can answer that question, but this

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

54 (213 to 216)

2 5

2.6

2.3

- 1 Addressed an Unmet Need For Longer Dose
- 2 Intervals," and Mr. Hofmann has offered no
- 3 opinions in this case concerning unmet need. So
- 4 outside the scope, this whole line of questioning
- and the use of this document.
- MR. CAINE: I disagree.
- BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Have you seen ASRS PAT surveys previously? 8
- A I don't recall as I sit here right now one 10 way or the other.
- Q Would you turn for me to Page 93 of
- 12 Exhibit 2259.
- A So do you mean Page 93 or Slide 93? 14 Because they seem to be one off.
- Q Page 93, which is Slide 92.
- 16 A Okav.
- 17 Q Do you understand this is a survey from 18 2009?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, 19
- 20 outside the scope of Mr. Hofmann's opinions in
- 21 this matter.
- 22 BY THE WITNESS:
 - A I mean, the footer says 2009. So that's
- 2 all I can say, is that's what it says in the
- 3 footer.
- 4 BY MR. CAINE:
- O Do you see that on this slide, there is a
- 6 question about "the current unmet need in the
- treatment of wet AMD today"?
- MR. MARX: Same objection. Outside the
- 9 scope of Mr. Hofmann's opinions in this matter.
- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A I mean, you can read the words that are on
- 12 here. I don't remember seeing this, and I don't
- 13 recall anywhere in my declaration I address unmet
- 14 need. That's usually something that's addressed
- 15 by clinicians, if there is an unmet need,
- 16 long-felt unmet need, but I don't if you read
- 17 words, I can tell you whether you've read them as 18 they appear.
- 19 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Do you see that for the response to that
- 21 question, 33.56 of respondents said "reduces
- 22 frequency of injections, maintains VA," meaning

- 1 visual acuity?
- 2 MR. MARX: Objection. Still to the use of
- this document, with respect to unmet need.
- Mr. Hofmann has offered no opinions in this case
- on unmet need. Further, I don't recall this page
- being cited by Dr. Manning.
- To the extent you're trying to elicit
- 8 technical expert testimony from Mr. Manning {sic},
- 9 he is not a technical expert, as he has stated
- 10 numerous times today.
- 11 BY THE WITNESS:
- 12 A I'm too unfamiliar with this document to
- 13 even know how to respond. How the study was
- 14 conducted, what the control questions were aren't 15 even listed, which usually is part of a survey.
- 16 Like, I don't know what to say. You can read
- 17 words from what's there.
- 18 I don't remember Dr. Manning citing to
- 19 this slide. I don't remember this being something
- 20 that was an area of focus for the purposes of my
- 21 opinions on commercial success.
- 22 BY MR. CAINE:

2 4 Q You see above it, it says -- you see the 1

- words "improves visual outcomes"?
- 3 MR. MARX: Same objection. Outside the
- 4 scope of Mr. Hofmann's opinions in this matter and
- seeking testimony -- scientific technical
- testimony from Mr. Hofmann which is not his
- 7 expertise.
- And I ll further note for the record that 8
- 9 this study, PAT study, lack of foundation. I do
- 10 not know who the respondents to this survey are.
- 11 My understanding of these PAT surveys is actually
- 12 anybody can go online and submit responses to
- 13 these surveys, not just a retina specialist. So
- 14 with those objections --
- 15 MR. CAINE: Mr. Marx, I ve only asked him 16 the question, first of all, whether he sees the
- 17 words on the page. And I think that objection is
- 18 improper. I think you are engaging in improper
- 19 speaking objections. So I would ask you again to 20 stop.
- 21 MR. MARX: I would ask you to stick to

22 Mr. Hofmann's opinions in this case.

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

55 (217 to 220)

- MR. CAINE: I'm happily doing so.
- MR. MARX: I disagree. This is clearly 2
- 3 unmet need from Mr. Manning's declaration.
- Mr. Hofmann has offered no opinions on unmet need.
- 5 MR. CAINE: I disagree with you.
- 6 MR. MARX: You're free to disagree.
- You're free to ask questions you want. I'm free
- to object as outside the scope of Mr. Hofmann's
- 9 opinions. I'm doing so.
- 10 MR. CAINE: Absolutely. That's fine. If
- 11 you limit your objection to objection outside the
- 12 scope and don't include the speaking objection
- 13 about who can go online and fill out the surveys,
- 14 I'm fine with that. So that's what I would ask 15 you to do.
- MR. MARX: I'll take that under 16
- 17 advisement, but I'll object how I see fit. Thank
- 18 you.
- 19 MR. CAINE: I understand you're going to
- 20 object how you see fit. It's just going to make
- 21 the objection go more smoothly if you make your
- 22 objections and don't litter the record with
- 1 speaking objections about what people can and
- 2 can t respond -- which people can and can t
- 3 respond.
- 4 MR. MARX: I would ask that you stick to
- Mr. Hofmann's opinions in this matter, and I won't
- have to object so often.
- 17 MR. CAINE: I will happily do so.
- MR. MARX: Okay. Well, focus on unmet
- 9 need. Outside his expertise, outside his opinions 10 in this case.
- 11 But go ahead and ask your questions.
- 12 MR. CAINE: Thank you.
- 13 BY MR. CAINE:
- 14 Q Mr. Hofmann, do you see the words
- 15 "improves visual outcomes"?
- 16 A I see the words as they appear on that 17 slide. Again, I don't --
- Q Do you see that the response to both A
- 19 and B below reduces frequency of injections, 20 maintains VA, which is below improves visual
- 21 outcomes, is 62.73 percent?
- MR. MARX: Objection to this line of 22

- 1 questioning. Mr. Hofmann cannot confirm or deny
- these numbers, what they mean, what their import
- is. Outside the scope of his expertise, outside
- the scope of his opinions in this case.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- A I'm kind of at a loss here because I don't
- 7 recall Dr. Manning referencing this in his report.
- 8 I don't see in this survey document or purported
- 9 survey something that explains what the parameters
- 10 were for the survey itself and how it was
- 11 conducted, what the questions were, what the
- 12 control questions were, which is all stuff I said
- 13 before you guys started objecting to each other.
- I don't know what you expect me to do with 14 15 this. It seems like we could read the letters on
- 16 the page, but I don't know what to say beyond 17 that.

18 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q Do you agree that in 2009, both Lucentis
- 20 and Avastin were treatments that were being used
- 21 to treat eye disorders, right?
- MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it's
- 2 8
 - 1 outside the scope of Mr. Hofmann's expertise.
 - BY MR. CAINE:
 - 3 Q I'm asking you about the marketplace as it
 - 4 existed in 2009.
 - A I'm not a clinician, but from what I've
 - 6 reviewed, I think Avastin was off label to the
 - 7 extent it was being used in this space and
 - 8 Lucentis did have, and you're just making a very
 - 9 vague kind of eye disorders. There are specific
 - 10 labeled indications from my review of the labels.
 - Q Well, at least in 2009, both Avastin and
 - 12 Lucentis were being used in the treatment of
 - 13 wet AMD, correct?
 - MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it's
 - 15 outside Mr. Hofmann's expertise.
 - 16 BY THE WITNESS:
 - 17 A I'm not a clinician. I believe I don't
 - 18 have the label in front of me from 2009 for
 - 19 Lucentis, but I believe it was on label for
 - 20 Lucentis. I believe it was off label for Avastin
 - 21 at that point.
 - 22

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

56 (221 to 224)

223

224

1 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q Both were being used to treat wet AMD at
- 3 that point in time?
- 4 MR. MARX: Objection. Outside the scope
- 5 of Mr. Hofmann's expertise.
- 6 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I'm not a clinician, but from what I've -
- 8 and that's a better question for a clinician. I
- 9 don't know why you're asking me this, but I
- 10 believe that there are some documents that suggest | 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 that Avastin was being used off label for wet AMD, 11
- 12 and I believe Lucentis was on label. But I don't
- 13 have the Lucentis label in front of me.

14 BY MR. CAINE:

- 15 Q You have -- you said earlier that you
- 16 don't know whether you are familiar with ASRS
- 17 surveys; is that right?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 19 the witness testimony.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- A You asked me specifically whether I'm
- 22 familiar with ASRS PAT surveys. I look at this

1 reviewed every page, but that's what it's titled.

- Q Are you saying you didn't review every
- page prior to preparing and submitting your
- 4 declaration?
- 5 A No. I'm saying as I sit here right now, I
- 6 didn't do so.
- Q Did you review every page before
- submitting your declaration?
- MR. MARX: Asked and answered.

- A Yeah. So to the extent that I have it
- 12 labeled in my table on pages in the "Documents
- 13 Reviewed" section of my report, I would have
- 14 reviewed, yeah, every page prior to the issuance
- 15 of my declaration.

16 BY MR. CAINE:

- 17 Q Would you turn to Page 92. Do you have
- 18 it?
- 19 A Yeah. Just give me a second to take a 20 look and I think I'm there.
- 21 Okay. Yeah, I'm there.
- 22 Q You see it's titled "Wet AMD Dosing

- 1 and I don't know that I've seen one before. Maybe | 1 Update"?
- 2 I have. I've done other ocular products, but as I
- 3 sit here right now, I'm not I'm not remembering
- 4 them one way or the other.
- MR. CAINE: Well, why don't we do this.
- 6 We've been going for a little bit more than an
- 7 hour. Why don't we take a break and we'll come
- 8 back and keep going after.
- 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. We
- 10 are going off the record. The time is 2:46 p.m.
- (A recess was had.) 11
- 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
- 13 record. The time is 3:01 p.m.
- 14 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Mr. Hofmann, welcome back. Did you review
- 16 Exhibit 2176 for the purposes of preparing your
- 17 declaration?
- 18 A I did.
- Q I'm going to hand you Exhibit 2176. 19
- 20 Do you recognize Exhibit 2176 as a Q4 2020
- 21 performance update?
- A Yeah. I mean, it's 137 pages. I haven't

- A I do see that.
- Q Do you see that there is a line graph or a
- 4 series of line graphs for different treatments for
- 5 wet AMD?
- A I do see that.
- Q And the yellow line is the line graph for
- 8 Evlea?
- 9 A With the triangles as the points, yes.
- Q Do you see that for eight-week dosing, the
- 11 percentage of physicians that use eight-week
- 12 maintenance dosing to treat wet AMD with Eylea is
- 13
- 14 MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 15 the document, lack of foundation.
- 16 BY THE WITNESS:
- 17 A I mean, there's a lot of caveats and
- 18 footnotes and everything else in this. If you
- 19 look at it a little closer about what is what and
- 20 what can be precisely ascertained from this, but I
- 21 do see at least directionally Eylea being slightly
- 22 behind how do you pronounce it, brolucizumab?

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

Conducted on June 23, 2022				
225	227			
1 BY MR. CAINE:	at six weeks, but, yeah,			
2 Q Brolucizumab.	2 the highest for Eylea in week 8.			
3 A There we go.	3 Q And if we were to add the percentages for			
4 Q The percentage for Eylea in terms of	4 weeks 8, 9 through 11, 12 and 13, we would see			
5 dosing schedule, according to the asterisk, it	5 that over of physicians use maintenance			
6 says "ongoing following initiation of therapy" is	6 dosing regimen of eight weeks or longer to treat			
7 , right?	7 wet AMD with Eylea, right?			
8 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.	8 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,			
9 BY THE WITNESS:	9 mischaracterizes the document.			
10 A With many other caveats and probably other	10 BY THE WITNESS:			
11 information within this document that explain the	11 A There is a few things there that probably			
12 limited sampling that was done to source this.	12 need to be unpacked. One, I just don't think			
13 Q And just because my question may have been	13 mathematically it goes over			
14 imprecise, the refers to the eight-week	14 think that the footnotes are important that say			
15 dosing schedule using Eylea?	15 these are directional, and they're based on sample			
16 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation	16 sizes of a few dozen ophthalmologists and a little			
17 and mischaracterizes the document.	17 over 150 retina specialists, which I don't know			
18 BY THE WITNESS:	18 how representative that sample is. I don't know			
19 A The number or the percent	19 what the questions were, what the control			
does appear there, but there are many	20 questions were. So there's a lot of unknowns.			
21 footnotes that explain that there is a very	21 BY MR. CAINE:			
22 limited sample size here. There is very little	22 Q Let's deal with the math.			
226	228			
1 confidence, I think, in that number as expressly	Do you agree that for 9 to 11 weeks, the			
2 stated below and that these are more so	2 percentage reported for Eylea is ?			
3 directional percentages.	3 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.			
4 BY MR. CAINE:	4 BY THE WITNESS:			
5 Q The that you see for eight-week	5 A Based on the caveats that I explained in			
6 dosing with Eylea is higher than any of the other	6 my last question that pretty much are outlined in			
7 percentages associated with other weeks, right?	7 the footnotes that say these are directional, they			
8 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.	8 are not statistically significant, the			
9 BY THE WITNESS:	9 is the point that they put there for 9 to 11.			
10 A That's not what I'm seeing. I'm seeing	10 Q For 12, the percentage for Eylea is			
11 brolucizumab is higher, not that much but —	11 right?			
12 BY MR. CAINE:	12 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,			
13 Q I'm talking about just limited to the	13 mischaracterizes the document.			
14 Eylea line.	14 BY THE WITNESS:			
15 MR. MARX: Same objection.				
16 BY MR. CAINE:				
	16 optically observing numbers that clearly on the			
17 Q Let me reask the question.	17 face of this document say they're not actually			
18 A You're saying for the yellow Line,	18 precise and that they're just kind of giving you a			
is the highest of the – that's the	19 directional flavor, to my last point to where I			
20 apex with respect to the Eylea line.	20 said it probably isn't over , I was			
21 Q That's right. Do you agree?	21 looking at for that period. But now			
22 A Yes. It's a little bit above the	22 when I take off my glasses and look a little			

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

58 (229 to 232)

Conducted on June 23, 2022

1 closer, I can see that the is probably 2 attributable to the yellow triangle there.

- 3 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q So you agree with me at least that if we
- 5 combine the periods 8, 9 to 11 weeks, 12 and
- 6 13-plus weeks, the percentage of physicians using
- maintenance dosing of 8 or greater is over
- MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes 10 the document.
- 11 BY MR. CAINE:
- 12 Q With Eylea for wet AMD.
- A I mean, that's the math of the percentages 14 that appear here with all the caveats that appear 15 here that these are not really statistically 16 significant. They are more so directional, as 17 explained in the footnotes.
- Q And the -- for both Lucentis and Avastin,
- 19 the percent of physicians reporting usage of a
- 20 dosing schedule of eight weeks or greater to treat
- 21 wet AMD is less than , right?
- 22 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 1 BY THE WITNESS:
- A Again, subject to all the caveats that
- 3 these are not statistically significant, they're
- 4 based on a very limited sample, the numbers as
- 5 they appear in the line graph do kind of run below
- if you add those up, whereas
- 7 brolucizumab I'm butchering it, I know is at
- 8 least as high, if not higher, than Eylea.
- 9 BY MR. CAINE:
- 10 Q Would you turn for me to Page 94. This is 11 the DME dosing update.
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 A I do.
- Q Do you see that the dosing schedule for
- 15 Eylea which is, again, represented in the yellow
- 16 line has the highest percentage for eight-week
- 17 dosing to treat DME?
- 18 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, 19 form.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- A According to the numbers that appear on 22 this page with the sample of probably 200 or so

- 1 physicians, without the benefit of seeing what the
- 2 control questions are, what the actual questions
- are, those are the numbers that appear on this
- 4 page.
- 5 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q And the percentage for Eylea dosing at
 - eight weeks for DME is , right?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 9 BY THE WITNESS:
- 10 A With all the caveats from my last answer,
- 11 that's the number that appears here.
- 12 BY MR. CAINE:
- O If we do the same as we did for wet AMD
- 14 for DME and look at the percentages for Eylea
- 15 dosing schedule for eight weeks and beyond and add
- 16 those up, over of physicians use
- 17 maintenance dosing regimen of eight weeks or more
- 18 to treat DME with Eylea, correct?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:

230

- A Again, subject to all the caveats and all
- 22 the footnotes as to what limited significance one

- 1 can ascertain with respect to the very limited
- sample here and without the benefit of the control
- 3 questions and the questions themselves, that so,
- 4 falling far behind brolucizumab.
- **BY MR. CAINE:**
- Q The percentage of physicians reporting the
- 7 usage of Avastin to treat DME with a dosing
- 8 schedule of eight weeks or more is less than
- 9 , right?
- 10 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 11 BY THE WITNESS:
- A Are you talking about eight weeks and 13 above?
- 14 BY MR. CAINE:
- 15 Q Yes.
- MR. MARX: Same objection. 16
- 17 BY THE WITNESS:
- 18 A I mean, with all the caveats on the
- 19 limited reliability and statistical significance
- 20 associated with the numbers that appear on this
- 21 line graph, it seems to me that it's greater than
 - because it looks like it's oh,

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

59 (233 to 236)

Conducted on June 23, 2022				
233	235			
1 Avastin, okay, I'm sorry. Yes, it is less than	1 A Subject to the limitations or			
2 subject to the limitations on what one	2 qualifications that appear in the footnotes and			
3 can ascertain from these data points.	3 the reliability of the information trailing soon			
4 BY MR. CAINE:	4 after week 8 from brolucizumab, the numbers add u			
5 Q The results reflect that physicians use an	5 to what you said.			
6 eight-week or greater dosing regimen to treat DME	6 BY MR. CAINE:			
7 with Lucentis at a percentage of less than	7 Q And the results reported here reflect that			
8 right?	8 physicians did not use well, let me rephrase			
9 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,	9 that.			
10 outside the scope.	The results reported here reflect that			
11 BY THE WITNESS:	11 physicians used eight-week or longer maintenance			
12 A Subject to, like I said, all the	12 dosing to treat MEfCRVO with either Avastin or			
13 limitations and lack of information regarding to	13 Lucentis less than of the time,			
14 the questions, the control questions, I mean, the	14 correct?			
15 percentages as plotted on a line graph look to be	15 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.			
16 around	16 BY THE WITNESS:			
17 BY MR. CAINE:	17 A Subject to all the caveats with the			
18 Q And if we look at Page 95, please. This	18 statistical significance or lack of statistical			
19 is a dosing update for macular edema following	19 significance and the limited population from which			
20 CRVO, right?	20 this was sampled, certainly all the products, it's			
21 A I believe that's what that acronym stands	21 less than			
22 for.	22 BY MR. CAINE:			
234	236			
1 Q You see the Eylea line is again in yellow?	1 Q When you said "all the products," were you			
2 A I do.	2 answering my question that was in reference to			
3 Q And the percentage of physicians	3 Avastin and Lucentis?			
4 responding that they use a dosing schedule of	4 A I mean, I think all the products,			

BY MR. CAINE: Q When you said "all the products," were you answering my question that was in reference to Avastin and Lucentis? A I mean, I think all the products, 5 including Eylea and brolucizumab. I know I'm 6 saying it wrong. Q I think earlier you agreed with me that 8 Eylea -- that physicians responded that -- over

eight weeks with Eylea to treat MEfCRVO is , right? MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation. 8 BY THE WITNESS: A Wait. What's that? Oh, okay. You know, again, similar to some of my 10 11 prior answers, there are a lot of caveats in the 12 footnotes and limitations on whether any of this 13 is statistically significant or reliable other 14 than directional is the number that 15 appears for eight weeks. 16 BY MR. CAINE: 17 Q The percentage of physicians responding 18 that they used Eylea to treat MEfCRVO with a 19 dosing schedule of eight weeks or more is over

MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.

14 outside of Mr. Hofmann's expertise. 15 BY THE WITNESS: A Subject to the caveats that I gave, I 17 guess I was saying in my last answer at eight 18 weeks, everybody was below . If you're 19 looking at eight weeks or greater, subject to the 20 fact that there are limitations on the sample size 21 and caveats with respect to the size of the 22 population and not being aware of the questions

of physicians responded that they were

MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,

10 using an eight-week or greater dosing schedule to

11 treat MEfCRVO with Eylea; is that right?

A Eight-week or -

12

, right?

22 BY THE WITNESS:

20

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

60 (237 to 240)

Conducted on June 23, 2022

1 and whether there were proper control questions 2 and whether this was a properly designed study, 3 that's what the numbers add up to. 4 BY MR. CAINE: Q Let me ask the question about Avastin and 6 Lucentis again because I don't think we got to the answer on that question. So the percentage of physicians responding 9 about the use of Avastin and Lucentis at eight 10 weeks or more in each case was under 11 right? 12 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, 13 outside the scope of Mr. Hofmann's expertise. 14 BY THE WITNESS: A Subject to the fact that we don't know 16 what the questions were and we don't know what the 17 control questions were, we can tell that the 18 population that was sampled is a very small group. 19 And so I don't know how much we can glean from 20 this, and they even include their own caveats as 21 to the lack of statistical significance. The 22 numbers as they appear do fall below

1 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q Would you turn for me to Page 96, please.
- 3 This is the macular edema following BRVO dosing
- 4 update, correct?
- A It is.
- Q And you see that the familiar yellow Eylea
- 7 line on this page?
- A I do.
- Q And you see that at eight weeks -- for an 10 eight-week dosing schedule, of the
- 11 physicians reported using Mylan to treat MEfBRVO, 12 right?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, 13 14 outside the scope.
- 15 BY THE WITNESS:
- A Do I see the 17 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Corresponding to the physicians who said 19 they used an eight-week dosing schedule with Eylea
- 20 to treat MEfBRVO?
- A Subject to the fact that we don't know 22 what the questions were, we don't know what the

- 1 control questions were, whether this was a
- properly designed study and whether the population
- is adequately representative, how they were
- selected, et cetera, et cetera, I can read the
- 5 number there.
- Q The percentage of physicians who responded
- as treating MEfBRVO with Eylea for eight weeks or
- greater is over , correct?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, 10 outside the scope.

11 BY THE WITNESS:

- A Subject to the fact that we don't have 13 questions, we don't have control questions, we
- 14 don't know how the study was designed, we don't
- 15 know whether it was a representative group of
- 16 ophthalmologists or retinal specialists and by
- 17 their own admission it's limited, limited group
- 18 that they were looking at, I think the numbers as
- 19 they appear on that line graph do exceed

20 21 BY MR. CAINE:

Q And the number of physicians who reported

1 using Avastin to treat MEfBRVO with a maintenance

- dosing regimen of eight weeks or longer is less
- 3 than , correct?
- 4 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- Outside of Mr. Hofmann's expertise and outside the
- 6 scope.

238

- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I don't I'm just eyeballing this. I
- 9 would put in all the same caveats I did before.
- 10 We don't know the questions, we don't know the
- 11 control questions, we don't know whether this is a
- 12 representative group that was being asked these
- 13 questions. Were you asking about Avastin or 14 BY MR. CAINE:
- 15 Q Avastin.
- A Yeah. The numbers as they appear here,
- 17 and whether these are statistically significant or
- 18 valid when you add up the percentage and line
- 19 graph, they're less than , just shy.
- Q And for Lucentis, the percentage of
- 21 physicians saying that they used Lucentis to treat
- 22 MEfBRVO with a dosing schedule of eight weeks or

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

61 (241 to 244)

243

244

24

242

1

1 greater for the maintenance period was less than

2 , right?

3

MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,

- 4 outside the scope.
- 5 BY THE WITNESS:
- 6 A Again, not knowing the questions, whether
- 7 there were control questions, whether there was a
- 8 properly designed study, whether there's
- 9 statistical significance to any of the percentages
- 10 that appear here, if you add up the numbers
- 11 according to the line graph, they're just shy of
- 14 Q And in forming your -- the opinions that 15 you state in your declaration, you actually relied 16 on Exhibit 2176, right?
- 17 A I think I do have a reference here or 18 there to it, yes.
- 19 Q In fact, you referenced Page 92 and the 20 statistical information contained therein?
- 21 A If you want to point me to it, that might 22 help us all.

Q Sure.

- Why don't you look at Paragraph 82 of your
- 3 declaration. Paragraph 82 of your declaration,
- 4 this is on numbered Page 62 at the bottom right.
- 5 You cite to in Footnote 126, Exhibit 2176.
- 6 Actually, you cite to pages 92, 94 and 96, right?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q Same pages as among those that we looked
- 9 at, I think we also looked at 95?
- 10 A I don't recall what all we looked at.
- 11 Q We just looked at 92, wet AMD dosing. We
- 12 looked at 94, which was -- let's make sure I've
- 13 got this right. 94 was DME and we looked at --
- 14 A Uh-huh, correct.
- 15 Q Thank you.
- And we looked at 96, which was macular
- 17 edema following BRVO, right?
- 18 A We did.
- 19 Q When you made references to these pages in20 your declaration, you didn't put in any caveats to
- 21 your use of the data there, correct?
- 22 A They are reference points. I'm just

- 1 saying that there are limitations on what one can
- 2 glean for the reasons that I explain. And again,
- 3 I'm responding to Dr. Manning, and I think that
- 4 the point I'm using it for requires a little less
- 5 precision to try and make the points you just
- 6 tried to make in those questions in that I'm just
- 7 saying, look, more than half aren't being used at
- 8 eight weeks and that's consistent across the
- 9 board, and I think --
- 10 Q What do you mean more than half aren't
- 11 being used at eight weeks?
- 12 A Every chart we just looked at, if you look 13 at the eight-week —
- 14 Q I understand your point. You're saying 15 only eight weeks, not eight weeks and beyond?
- 16 A Eight weeks or more, those are different 17 numbers that we've gone through, but it's very
- 18 clear that as of an eight-week interval for
- 19 tertiary dosing, it's less than
- 20 Q But it's equally clear that if it's eight 21 weeks or more, then the number is greater than
- for all of those indications?

MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes

- 2 the witness testimony.
- 3 BY THE WITNESS:
- 4 A Well, I think you have to pull in all the
- 5 caveats that I gave in that, you know, we don't
- 6 know the control questions. We don't know the
- 7 actual questions. We don't know how
- 8 representative this sample of prescribers was one
- 9 way or the other, and even the documents
- 10 themselves present very clear caveats as to their 11 statistical significance.
- 12 And so the distinction I'm drawing between 13 what you're trying to, I think, point out in terms
- 14 of greater than is that, okay, I guess
- 15 if you add those up using the percentage here, 16 they squeak by . It's a little less
- 17 clear, though, because we don't know the answers
- 18 to all those questions and caveats I just gave.
- 19 Whereas at the eight-week point, it's, I think,
- 20 hard to imagine that those percentages could
- 21 somehow be statistically higher than

22 of that point in time. Not to say it's

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

245 247 1 impossible. 1 within - certainly the majority are not treated BY MR. CAINE: at the eight-week interval based on this ATU, Q I'm not sure I understood the last part of which I still am not a hundred percent confident 4 your answer when you said "at the eight-week in from a statistical and sample size. 5 point, I think it's hard to imagine that those But then even if you include greater than 6 percentages could somehow be statistically be 6 eight weeks, there's still a hugely significant 7 higher than portion that are treated in intervals less than Are you just saying at the eight-week eight weeks even by using your numbers. 9 period or --Q Right. But it would be a minority that 9 A Correct. 10 are treated less than eight weeks? 10 Q Okay. I understand your point. I didn't MR. MARX: Objection. 12 ask -- at least I didn't mean to ask exactly at 12 BY MR. CAINE: 13 the eight-week period. I just want to make sure O For each of the indications that we went 14 we're clear that eight weeks and greater is over 14 through, correct? for each of the indications that we 15 MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes 16 went through. 16 the document. 17 A You were asking me about my report, and in 17 BY THE WITNESS: 18 my report I am talking about the eight-week period 18 A Barely a minority. You are eeking over 19 in Footnote 126. And I'm saying in all those when you bundle in everything eight 20 instances, it is less than , that the 20 weeks or greater, so it'd still be, like, 21 majority of uses is either above or below eight 21 whatever, , which is about half. 22 And again, we're going off statistics that the 22 weeks. 246 248 Q What you actually say is -- I'm reading 1 document itself says, hey, this isn't really all 2 from Paragraph 82 of your declaration, last that reliable. It's based on a sample size of 200 3 sentence: "Based upon the above, a significant 3 prescribers and, oh, by the way, most of the data 4 number of patients are not treated on a schedule 4 that appears in this graph is directional not that would be consistent with what I understand to 5 statistically significant. 6 be the challenged claims of the '338 patent." 6 BY MR. CAINE: Do you see that? Q It was significant enough for you to rely 8 on it in your declaration, correct? A I do. Q And that's because you are -- you were 9 MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes 10 looking only at the data for eight weeks --10 the witness testimony. MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 the witness testimony. 12 A I'm not suggesting that it's statistically 13 BY MR. CAINE: 13 significant at all. I'm saying that for the Q -- is that right? 14 purposes of my declaration, the point I was making A You can look at it either way, but it's a 15 in Footnote 126 and in Paragraph 82 of my - my 16 significant number. I think - I mean, I defer to 16 declaration is that at the eight-week interval 17 technical experts, but I understand that the 17 specifically, the majority clearly even with the 18 eight-week interval for the tertiary doses is 18 flaws and shortcomings of this ATU get to the 19 majority or a significant portion being used for 19 something that is of note. 20 intervals other than eight weeks. But whether it's eight weeks or more than 21 eight weeks, I think the point would stand that a 21 BY MR. CAINE:

PLANET DEPOS

Q You use the data to talk about the number

22 significant number of patients aren't treated

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

1 of patients that are administered Eylea at dosing 1 M

2 intervals between five and seven weeks for AMD,

3 DME and RVO, right?

4 A I'm sorry. Could you point me to what

5 you're referring.

6 Q Yes, Paragraph 82 of your declaration.

7 A Okay. Ah, fair enough, okay.

8 Q Now, you reviewed a number of surveys for

9 the purposes of your opinions, correct?

10 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.

11 BY THE WITNESS:

12 A I reviewed a number of -

13 BY MR. CAINE:

14 Q Surveys?

15 A Surveys? I mean, I think — you got to be 16 careful of the word "survey" because there is like

17 surveys that maybe are conducted informally and

18 through the marketing organization or business

19 group, and then there are surveys that are like -

20 meet the criteria that are admissible as

21 litigation surveys like that are conducted more so

22 by like a survey expert that demonstrates proper

250

1 design, control, et cetera, and I'm not a survey

2 expert.

3 Q Why don't we pull out, if we can find it

4 in our stack, Exhibit 2197.

5 A Dang it. I thought when I'd moved it to

6 the side, I didn't have to look at it again.

7 Q It looks like this (indicating).

8 MR. MARX: What was the date on that,

9 Mr. Caine?

MR. CAINE: September 15th of 2011.

11 BY THE WITNESS:

12 A Which number?

13 MR. MARX: This is the document --

14 BY MR. CAINE:

15 Q This is the one with the, kind of,

16 misnumbering that Mr. Marx identified.

17 A 2197?

18 MR. MARX: Yeah, on the left.

19 THE WITNESS: On the left, okay yeah.

20 MR. MARX: And on the right side of this

21 document, it includes Exhibit 2294, just to

22 clarify for the record.

1 MR. CAINE: Fair point.

2 BY MR. CAINE:

Q Okay. This is the Physician ATU Benchmark

4 Wave Full Report, September 15, 2011?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Would you turn for me to Page 18 of 47.

You agree that of respondents

8 identified dosing schedule as one of the key

9 benefits of Eylea?

10 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,

11 mischaracterizes the document, form.

12 BY THE WITNESS:

13 A The bar that you're referring to and the

4 , it looks like this was based on the

15 selection of 99 or so respondents with efficacy

16 being dominant at

17 BY MR. CAINE:

18 Q You would agree that Eylea's dosing

19 schedule was at least one significant benefit

20 identified by the respondents as reflected on this

21 page, right?

22 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.

252

63 (249 to 252)

25

1 BY THE WITNESS:

2 A I mean, "significant" is a subjective

3 term. Efficacy is listed as the clear most

4 important respondent benefit, but dosing is

5 listed. It's also unclear from anything I see

6 here that the dosing schedule question is really

7 tailored to whatever the contours are of the

8 claims of the '338 patent. So, I mean, we got to

9 be careful.

10 BY MR. CAINE:

11 Q And this is, again, from September 15,

12 2011, this particular exhibit that we're looking

13 at?

14 A It is.

15 Q Would you look at Exhibit 2138 which I

16 will hand you.

17 Do you have Exhibit 2138 in front of you?

18 A I do.

19 Q This is a Physician ATU Wave 2 Full

20 Report, February 2013, right?

21 A That's the title.

22 Q And as of February 2013, Eylea had been on

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

64 (253 to 256)

255

256

Conducted on June 23, 2022 1 the market for about 15 months, correct? 1 about -- I'll stop it right there. Let me 2 A Yeah, that sounds about right. rephrase so we have a clear question. 3 Q Would you turn to Page 13, please. Aside from counsel for Mylan, did you have 4 Do you agree that when respondents were any discussion about the percentages reflected on 5 asked about Eylea, they identified the main reason Page 13 with respect to the main reasons to choose 6 of treatment as the dosing period/admin for treatment for Eylea? patients at a percentage of for newly MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it asks 8 diagnosed patients and for previously Mr. Hofmann to disclose any privileged 9 diagnosed patients? communications. 10 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation. 10 11 BY THE WITNESS: 11 BY THE WITNESS: 12 A Well, here again, it's a pretty limited 13 sample size. I'm not sure about the exact design 13 BY MR. CAINE: 14 of the survey and everything. I can read off the 15 percentages as well as you can. I think this is a 15 and counsel for Mylan? 16 document that Manning cites, and I find lots of 17 other documents that are inconsistent with this. At the end of the day, I still don't see 19 anything here that tells me that this is tailored 20 to the '338 patent. So we, again, have to be very 20 this litigation. 21 careful in what we can make of this. 21 Q Let's stay on this page for just a second. 22 BY MR. CAINE: 22 MR. MARX: Mr. Caine, just for the record, 254 Q Do you see that for the same question for 1 this page --2 Avastin and Lucentis, the percentages are MR. CAINE: Page 13. 3 3

Otherwise, you can answer. A I mean, there's my internal team. Q Okay. But outside of your internal team 16 A Yeah. I mean, from what I remember, 17 that's the folks -- to be clear, my discussions 18 were with -- my review and reliance was on 19 documents, data, information and declarations from

MR. MARX: Page 13 of Exhibit 2138?

4 MR. CAINE: Yep, that's right.

BY MR. CAINE:

Q Do you see that respondents were also

asked about efficacy as a main reason to choose

treatment?

MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.

10 BY THE WITNESS:

A I mean, I don't know if it's exactly fair,

12 the way your question was asked. If I'm reading

13 the question that's listed in the bottom footnote,

14 it wasn't like a leading question, like is

15 efficacy what's driving your prescribing

16 decisions. It was what drives your prescribing 17 decisions.

18 BY MR. CAINE:

19 Q Fair enough.

20 A So a slight nuance from how your question 21 was phrased.

Q Let me rephrase it, then.

MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.

5 BY THE WITNESS:

A I mean, like I said, I think we can see

7 the percentages as they appear here, but you do

8 have to be careful that this is but one document,

9 15 months after launch, also, on the heels of the

10 tremendous marketing effort by Regeneron to invest

11 heavily in messaging to certain things to try and

12 get product into patients, and in any event,

13 nothing here really shows me that this is specific

14 to the '338 patent just dosing in general.

15 BY MR. CAINE:

Q You reviewed Exhibit 2138 for the purposes 17 of preparing your declaration?

A I did.

Q And I think we already that -- let me

20 frame it a little more broadly.

Other than counsel for Mylan, did you have

22 any discussion of these percentages with anyone

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

257

Conducted on June 23, 2022

259

65 (257 to 260)

In the case of each of Eylea, Avastin and

- 2 Lucentis, respondents identified efficacy as a
- 3 main reason to choose those treatments, right?
- 4 MR. MARX: Objection. Foundation,
- 5 mischaracterizes the document.
- 6 BY THE WITNESS:
- 7 A In this one particular survey which,
- 8 again, we know little about, efficacy certainly
- 9 came back as a reason to choose the treatment for 10 all those products.

11 BY MR. CAINE:

- 12 Q We can see on the page -- I won't ask you
- 13 about it, but we can see on the page the
- 14 percentage of respondents who identified efficacy
- 15 for -- as the main reason to choose treatment for
- 16 each of Eylea, Avastin and Lucentis, right?
- 17 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 18 mischaracterizes the document.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A I'm sorry. Say that again.

21 BY MR. CAINE:

22 O Sure.

258

- 1 We can see the answers that respondents
- 2 gave for each of Eylea, Avastin and Lucentis --
- 3 let me start over.
- 4 We can see the percentage of respondents
- 5 who identified efficacy as the main reason to
- 6 choose treatment for each of Eylea, Avastin and
- 7 Lucentis on this Page 13, right?
- 8 MR. MARX: Same objection.
- 9 BY THE WITNESS:
- 10 A There are percentages that appear here.
- 11 Again, not knowing too, too much about how the
- 12 survey or study was designed, but, yes, efficacy
- 13 was a predominant reason to prescribe.

14 BY MR. CAINE:

- 15 Q And the efficacy numbers -- the efficacy
- 16 response percentage as between Eylea and Lucentis
- 17 is within a few percentage points for both newly
- 18 diagnosed and previously diagnosed patients,
- 19 right?
- 20 MR. MARX: Objection. Form, lack of
- 21 foundation.
- 22 BY THE WITNESS:

- A Again, not having better insight into how
- 2 the study was designed, yeah, they are within a
- 3 few percentage points as the numbers appear on
- 4 this page.
- 5 BY MR. CAINE:
- 6 Q And with respect to Eylea and Avastin, the
- main reason to choose percentage identifying
- 8 efficacy, somewhere between and
- 9 correct?
- 10 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 11 BY THE WITNESS:
- 12 A I don't know what percentages you're
- 13 talking about.

14 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q So what I'm talking about is the Avastin16 percentages for efficacy as compared to the Eylea17 percentages identifying efficacy.
- 18 A Ah, okay. Yeah, I can do that math.
- 19 MR. MARX: Same objection.
- 20 BY MR. CAINE:
- 21 Q Thank you.
- 22 Can we do Exhibit 2140.

260

- 1 Can you see you have Exhibit 2140 in front
- 2 of you?
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q Do you have Exhibit 2140 in front of you?
- 5 A I do.
- 6 Q Exhibit 2140 is a physician ATU Wave 5
- 7 Full Report from November 2013, right?
- 8 A That's the title.
- 9 Q At this point in time, Eylea had been on
- 10 the market for about two years, correct?
- 11 A Yeah, maybe a little bit longer. It
- 12 depends on which data set you're looking at but 13 about two years.
- 14 Q Would you turn to Page 4. Do you have the 15 page that has "Key Findings" at the top?
- 16 A I do.
- 17 Q Among the key findings reported are that
- 18 first bullet: "Findings have remained largely
- 19 consistent with recent waves and continue to show
- 20 positive momentum for Eylea as evidenced by."
- 21 And then the third subbullet, "less
- 22 frequent dosing, fewer injections."

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

66 (261 to 264)

Conducted on June 23, 2022 263 Do you see that? 1 chart on the left - first off, you have to be MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation. 2 overall just careful with ATUs because they are 3 BY THE WITNESS: 3 not precise, in my experience. They are a A You read those words as they appear there. 4 reference point and something to look at. 5 There is three other bullets that you skipped But what's happening, as I read this page, 6 is there's a stratification, if you will, on the 6 over. 7 BY MR. CAINE: 7 various, whatever, six categories, half-dozen Q I did. 8 8 categories that are covered by the key on the A And a whole bunch of other bullets on the 9 left. And then what they're doing in the line 10 chart is blowing it out - or not blowing it 10 page. Q Do you agree that the findings reported 11 out - digging deeper, essentially unpacking. 12 here include that less frequent dosing and fewer So like, for example, with Lucentis what 12 13 injections were important components of Eylea's 13 it's saving is of - no, no, 14 marketplace performance? because Lucentis, that's the top 14 of 15 dark blue category, MR. MARX: Objection. The lack of would hit the fixed 16 foundation and mischaracterizes the document. 16 dosing criteria of the line chart on the right. 17 BY THE WITNESS: 17 So it's , if that makes 18 A "Important" is a subjective term, and 18 sense. 19 there is about a dozen other bullets on this page. 19 BY MR. CAINE: 20 But among the considerations, it does seem like Q And of those patients who are on a fixed 21 they have recognized that to some degree. 21 dosing interval with Avastin, are dosed 22 monthly, correct? 262 264 1 BY MR. CAINE: MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation. BY THE WITNESS: Q Would you turn to Page 22 of this 3 document. Page 22 is showing the percentage of A Again, subject to all the caveats on ATUs 3 4 eyes on a fixed dosing interval preparing and and the questions of the design study and 5 differentiating by what that fixed dosing interval everything else, that would be 6 is, correct? . So, again, you have to be careful for MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, 7 lots of reasons with ATUs, but what we're seeing 8 mischaracterizes the document. 8 here is in all instances, based on the bar chart, BY THE WITNESS: 9 as to all uses, it's or more of all A I'm not a clinician, but I think what I'm 10 three products are used not on a fixed interval 11 seeing here is various criteria of various, I 11 but more so on a T and E or as needed kind of 12 guess, dosing regimens. 12 schedule. 13 BY MR. CAINE: 13 And then but of those that do get on a 14 Q In the line chart on the right reflects 14 fixed schedule, what they're doing is unpacking 15 that for Lucentis, of eyes are dosed 15 the percentage of the vast minority of sales which 16 monthly, right? 16 are on a fixed interval and how much of those fall MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes 17 within various regimens of that 18 the document, lack of foundation. This is limited 18 of the three products listed here that go to a 19 to the fixed dosing with respect to the bar chart 19 fixed dosing interval. 20 on the left. Q And for those patients who are being dosed 21 BY THE WITNESS: 21 on a fixed dosing interval following monthly

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

22 treatment with Eylea,

are dosed every

A You have to be, like, careful. The bar

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

67 (265 to 268)

Conducted on June 23, 2022 265 267 1 eight-plus weeks, correct, as of, again, 1 considered was the messaging. 2 November of 2013? BY MR. CAINE: MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, Q Did you consider Regeneron marketing 4 materials that promoted Eylea on the basis of its 4 mischaracterizes the document. BY THE WITNESS: dosing schedule? MR. MARX: Same objection. Regeneron has A Tried to be super careful here and want to 6 7 make sure that you understand what I'm saying as failed to produce the full complement of marketing 8 materials, in particular, the marketing materials 8 the way the numbers tumble. But what that 9 translates to is if all the uses of Eylea are a 9 that Mr. Manning reviewed. 10 hundred percent, what this tells me is 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 are monthly followed by fixed dosing interval, and A So there were limited marketing materials 12 so of the would be at eight 12 that were available to me, and what I looked at 13 weeks plus. 13 included messaging on lots of things. Among them, 14 dosing was part of the information that I reviewed And then if you do the math on that, then 15 that means — I don't know — 15 and considered, but there were many other aspects 16 of uses would fall within that schedule, the 16 of it as well as a significant investment in terms 17 corollary being or more uses of Eylea 17 of like a dollars. 18 don't fall into that category. 18 BY MR. CAINE: MR. CAINE: Why don't we take a break. Q "A significant investment in terms of like 19 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 a dollars." Are you referring 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. We 21 to the people and external expenses? 22 are going off the record. The time is 4:02 p.m. MR. MARX: Objection. Form. 266 268 (A recess was had.) 1 BY THE WITNESS: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the A From what I recall, what limited marketing 3 record. The time is 4:18 p.m. 3 information was provided in the product P&L, it 4 BY MR. CAINE: 4 included certainly detailing by personnel costs as Q Mr. Hofmann, did you consider Regeneron's well as internal and external costs. 6 marketing efforts that promoted Eylea on the basis 6 BY MR. CAINE: of dosing schedule? Q I'm just asking what you're referring to. MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation, You said a dollars? 9 vague. 9 A Yes. It's from the product P&L. I think 10 I'm also going to note for the record that 10 it was , so maybe just shy of — 11 we have requested the full complement of marketing Q Okay. So you're talking about some number 12 materials that Mr. Manning was able to refer to 12 from the product P&L? 13 and review, and Regeneron has refused to produce 13 A Correct. 14 that. So to the extent you're seeking 14 Q Let's look at Exhibit 2136. 15 Mr. Hofmann's consideration of Regeneron's 15 Do you recognize Exhibit 2136 as a 16 marketing material, he's been denied that 16 Regeneron document pertaining to Eylea? 17 opportunity to do so. 17 MR. MARX: I'm going to object to the use 18 BY THE WITNESS: 18 of this document and also object to the use and 19 A So I think I would refer to or defer to 19 reliance by Regeneron of all the ATU surveys that 20 what I mention in my declaration. I think there 20 have been discussed today. Regeneron has failed 21 was a limited number of marketing materials that I 21 to produce to Mylan the full complement of 22 was able to review, and among the things I 22 marketing materials, in particular, the marketing

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

68 (269 to 272)

272

269

- 1 materials that Mr. Manning relied on. To the
- 2 extent that this is selective production, it is
- 3 inappropriate and prejudicial to Mylan.
- 4 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I don't -- I don't remember this
- 6 particular document one way or the other. Whether
- 7 it was cited in Manning, I don't know if I have
- 8 that handy, but I didn't cite it in my report.
- 9 BY MR. CAINE:
- 10 Q This is a Regeneron piece of material;
- 11 would you agree?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 13 And I further object to this line of questioning
- 14 and reliance on this document for the reasons
- 15 stated.
- 16 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I mean, like I said, I don't know that --
- 18 I can't remember if I've seen this before. If I'm
- 19 a guessing man and looking at the footers, it
- 20 seems like it's from Regeneron.
- 21 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q And this Exhibit 2136 is talking about the
- 270
- 1 use of Eylea for the treatment of wet AMD looking
- 2 towards the top and the middle of the page.
- 3 Do you see that?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 5 Outside the scope of Mr. Hofmann's declaration,
- and same objection with respect to the use of this
- document and the other marketing documents, such
- as the ATU surveys, for failure to provide Mylan
- 9 with the full complement of marketing materials.
- MR. CAINE: If you'd like, you can have a 10
- 11 standing objection on that basis.
- 12 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I mean, you know, again, I haven't studied
- 14 or read all the stuff here. As I sit here right
- 15 now, I think it's certainly not limited to the
- 16 treatment of wet AMD. They talk about other stuff
- 17 in the bottom half of the pamphlet, if you will,
- 18 and then they have the summary of full prescribing
- 19 information on the second page of the pamphlet.
- 20 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q It includes the use of Eylea for wet AMD,
- 22 Exhibit 2136?

- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 2 Same objection with respect to the use of
- marketing documents.
- 4 MR. CAINE: Again, I m fully -- I m
- granting you a standing objection, if you d like
- it. That way, you don't have to repeat it every
- 7 time.
- 8 MR. MARX: All right. Then I ll take that
- 9 opportunity. For the record, Mylan objects to the
- 10 use of Exhibit 2136 as well as the ATU surveys and
- 11 other Regeneron marketing materials that were
- 12 cited by Dr. Manning in this proceeding. Mylan's
- 13 requested the production of all Eylea marketing
- 14 materials which Regeneron has refused.
- Mylan also limited -- limitedly requested
- 16 the production of marketing materials that
- 17 Dr. Manning was given, and that was also refused
- 18 by Regeneron. So Mylan objects and will maintain
- 19 its objection to Regeneron's reliance on these
- 20 documents and their use in this proceeding.
- MR. CAINE: Okay. By giving you a
- 22 standing objection, that means you don t have to
- 1 repeat the objection.

 - MR. MARX: I understand. I've now made my
 - standing objection. I've put it on the record.
 - 4 MR. CAINE: Fair enough.
 - BY MR. CAINE:
 - Q Mr. Hofmann, does Exhibit 2136 include 6
 - 7 marketing with respect to the use of Eylea for the
 - treatment of wet AMD?
 - 9 A Among other --
 - 10 MR. MARX: Lack of foundation.
 - 11 BY THE WITNESS:
 - A Among other uses, that's what it appears.

13 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q Do you see the trademark phrase below the
- 15 vial of Eylea says "time between treatments"?
- 16 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation
- 17 and to the extent it mischaracterizes the
- 18 document.
- 19 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q I notice you're flipping to the second
- 21 page. I'm on the first page right under the vial
- 22 of Eylea?

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

69 (273 to 276)

275

- A I was just trying to see if there's -
- 2 again, I don't know that I've seen this document
- 3 before, and I'm trying to see if next to the
- 4 circle R for restricted trademark there is like a
- 5 lower case "t" or cross, and I'm trying to see if
- 6 that's defined anywhere.
- There it is, okay. It's the bullet under
- "Important Prescribing Information."
- Q Do you see the phrase "time between
- 10 treatments" under the vial of Eylea on
- 11 Exhibit 2136?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 13 mischaracterizes the document.
- 14 BY THE WITNESS:
- 15 A I see the words there as you've read them, 16 and then it's kind of unpacked a little bit more 17 in the first bullet under "Important Prescribing 18 Information For Eylea," and then there's obviously 18 information below reflects that after four weeks, 19 a bunch of other information in this pamphlet. 20 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Do you understand the phrase "time between 22 treatments" to refer to the extended eight-week
 - 274
- 1 maintenance dosing available with Eylea for the
- treatment of wet AMD?
- 3 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 4 mischaracterizes the document and the witness
- testimony.
- 6 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I mean, I think time between treatments is
- 8 pretty vague, and I don't see anything here that
- 9 necessarily ties it to the specifics of the '338
- 10 patent.
- 11 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Do you see the calendars where the
- 13 calendar months up at the top left and the top
- 14 right, April and June?
- 15 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation. 16 BY THE WITNESS:
- 17 A I see those graphical. They're not really
- 18 calendars. They're just, like, I don't know, 19 graphical portions of a calendar month.
- 20 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q You see the graphical portions of calendar 22 months April and June on the left and right top of

- 1 the page, first page of 2136?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- mischaracterizes the document.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- 5 A I can certainly read April and June in
- 6 those graphical representations.
- BY MR. CAINE:
- O The interval between April and June is an
- 9 eight-week or two-month period, correct?
- 10 A Depends. I mean, the way a calendar
- 11 works, if you're April 1st to June 30th, it's more
- 12 than eight weeks. If you're April 30th to
- 13 June 1st, it's five weeks or four weeks, so...
- Q Do you understand that the graphical
- 15 representations of portions of the calendar months
- 16 April and June in relation to the phrase "time
- 17 between treatments" and the prescribing
- 19 monthly for 12 weeks, the first three months,
- 20 Eylea can be administered once every eight weeks
- 21 or two months for the treatment of wet AMD?
- 22 MR. MARX: Objection. Objection. Form,
- 1 lack of foundation, mischaracterizes the document,
- asked and answered.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- A I'm not sure that that's fair for me to, I
- 5 guess, interpret that one way or the other. It
- 6 could be what's trying to be suggested, but
- 7 there's also the data sets and other documents
- 8 that I looked at, some of which we've discussed,
- 9 that show that that isn't the dosing interval that
- 10 is actually utilized for a lot of the
- 11 prescriptions for Eylea.
- 12 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Let's look at 2137. Here is 2137. 13
- MR. MARX: For the record, the same
- 15 standing objection with respect to Regeneron's
- 16 reliance on these marketing materials and their
- 17 failure to produce to Mylan --
- MR. CAINE: You've got a standing
- 19 objection. So you don't need to repeat it.
- MR. MARX: I understand that. I'm allowed
- 21 to state on the record that this document is
- 22 covered by that standing objection, and I'm doing

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

70 (277 to 280)

1 so.

- 2 This is another marketing document, and
- 3 Mylan requested production of all highly marketing
- 4 materials and, in particular, the materials
- 5 considered by Dr. Manning. Regeneron refused to
- 6 do so. Mylan objects to Regeneron's reliance on
- 7 this exhibit, Exhibit 2137.
- 8 BY MR. CAINE:
- 9 Q Would you turn, Mr. Hofmann, to Page 29, 10 please. It's the second-to-last page of the 11 exhibit.
- 12 A It's the smallest font I've ever seen.
- 13 Q The page number is, yes.
- 14 A Yes. Okay.
- 15 Q Do you see this piece of marketing
- 16 material in Exhibit 2137?
- 17 A I do.
- 18 Q You don't cite to Exhibit 2137 in your 19 declaration, do you?
- 20 A I don't see it listed. I know I looked at 21 this. Maybe it was in review of the Manning 22 declaration.

1 injectable.

- 2 BY MR. CAINE:
- 3 Q You see the two graphical representations,
- 4 this time for October and December?
- 5 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation
- 6 to the extent it mischaracterizes the document.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A Well, there's a lot of graphical
- 9 representations here. I do see the October and
- 10 December. There's also what I assume is a grandma
- 11 with a granddaughter and a lighthouse and what
- 12 looks to be a very nice beach.
- 13 BY MR. CAINE:
- 14 Q And you agree with me that there's a
- 15 two-month period between October and December?
- 16 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 17 mischaracterizes the document, asked and answered.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:
- 19 A It's the same as the last time, where I
- 20 don't know -- these are just like graphical
- 21 representations of a portion of the months on the
- 22 calendar, and I don't know how you get to just two
- 278
- Q Do you see that this is advertising Eylea
- 2 for the treatment of wet AMD?
- 3 A I mean --
- 4 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 5 BY THE WITNESS:
- 6 A You've taken me all the way to Page 29,
- 7 and there's all kinds of other stuff in the
- 8 28 pages that precede it. This appears to be an
- 9 internal document because it's labeled "Precall,"
- 10 for whatever that means. It includes the label,
- 11 which includes certainly wet AMD as well as all
- 12 the other label indications.
- 13 BY MR. CAINE:
- 14 Q I'm focusing for the moment on
- 15 Exhibit 2137, Page 29.
- Do you see that it has the phrase "time
- 17 between treatments" under Eylea?
- 18 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A I think those words appear there. There 21 is also the molecule itself and the fact that it's
- 22 an injectable and how it is administered as an

- 1 months because you can span as much as three
- 2 months or as little as, you know, 31 or 32 days in
- 3 this stretch.
- 4 BY MR. CAINE:
- 5 Q When you couple that, the graphical
- 6 calendar representations of October and
- 7 December with the recommended dosing which
- 8 includes 2 milligrams every eight weeks, does that
- 9 suggest to you that what Eylea is promoting in
- 10 this, on this page of Exhibit 2137, is the
- 11 eight-week or two-month treatment period for Eylea
- 12 when used to treat wet AMD?
- 13 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 14 outside the scope, speculative.
- 15 BY THE WITNESS:
- 16 A I think that's a leap in terms of the 17 inconsistency that that would be versus what we
- 18 see in some of the data sets that we looked at
- 19 earlier that suggests that the majority of uses
- 20 are, in fact, not on an eight-week regimen, as I
- 21 explained earlier and as I explain in my report.
- 22 BY MR. CAINE:

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

28

71 (281 to 284)

283

284

- 2 agreed with me, is that the majority of uses in
- 3 the document that we looked at were eight weeks or
- 4 more, right, for each of the indications that we
- 5 looked at?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 7 the document and further objection with respect to
- 8 Mylan's standing objection.
- 9 BY THE WITNESS:
- A You have to be careful because that's a
- 11 different question than what you're trying to
- 12 insinuate here with these excerpts of graphical
- 13 depictions of calendars, portions of calendars,
- 14 because the eight weeks or more, now we're
- 15 talking, what, 12 weeks, 20 weeks, I don't know.
- You know, the point is -- is that it was
- 17 very clear from the documents we looked at before
- 18 that eight weeks was less than half across the
- 19 board based on, again, all the caveats I explained
- 20 with respect to the limitations on those ATUs.
- And then I don't disagree with you that
- 22 you had me walk through some math that said if you
- 1 do eight weeks or more, it gets to greater than , but that's with all the caveats on the
- 3 reasonableness or reliability and lack of clarity
- 4 on what the questions were, what the control
- 5 questions were, how representative the targets
- 6 were, how prescribers were that responded to the
- 7 questionnaires.
- 8 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Mr. Hofmann, I think you said you saw the 10 grandmother figure and the granddaughter figure in
- 11 the middle of the page with the book open?
- A I assume that that's what they're 13 suggesting. Maybe they're just friends. I don't 14 know.
- 15 Q An older woman and a younger girl are 16 shown there?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q And they have a book open. They're 19 reading. It looks like the older woman, perhaps 20 the grandmother, is reading the book to the girl, 21 right?
- 22 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,

speculative.

- 2 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I mean, I'm not a marketing specialist,
- 4 and I don't know what -- I've studied lots of
- 5 marketing -
- 6 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Is that what it looks like to you?
- MR. MARX: Objection.
- 9 BY THE WITNESS:
- 10 A There's all kinds of nuances that I'm not 11 going to weigh in on, but yeah, I mean, it looks
- 12 like grandma is reading the book because the
- 13 younger girl is looking up at grandma. So she 14 can't be reading it.

15 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q Does this suggest to you that what Eylea
- 17 is promoting here is that, given the time between
- 18 treatments, there's more time allowed for the
- 19 older woman, perhaps grandmother figure, to read a
- 20 book to the younger girl, perhaps granddaughter
- 21 figure?
- 22 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 282 1 speculative, outside the scope.
 - BY THE WITNESS:
 - A I'm not going to interpret whatever 3
 - 4 marketing scheme there is to this one slide out
 - 5 of, whatever, 30 slides on this draft appears
 - 6 to be a draft document. And whether it's
 - 7 targeting a notion that aflibercept is very
 - 8 efficacious and it can help you with wet AMD and
 - 9 other ocular maladies, there is a whole bunch of
 - 10 stuff in here that gets into all the different
 - 11 indications.

12 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q This advertisement doesn't say anything
- 14 about Eylea being safer or more effective than
- 15 Lucentis or Avastin, does it?
- 16 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 17 speculative, outside the scope.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I think you're jumping way too far. This
- 20 is called a precall document that suggests to me
- 21 that it's not even a final form, and peppered

22 throughout this is the label. And the label, you

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

285

Hofmann 72 (285 to 288)

1 know, is replete with stuff about safety and

2 efficacy. The vast majority of this, as I flip

- 3 through it, is focused on what's in the label,
- 4 what's in the clinical trials and everything else.
- 5 I mean, I'm not --
- 6 BY MR. CAINE:
- 7 Q I'm asking you about Page 29 of
- 8 Exhibit 2137.
- 9 MR. MARX: Same objection.
- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A I think that's incredibly unfair. It's
- 12 the second-to-the-last page that has one -- I
- 13 don't know if this was ever even distributed. I
- 14 don't know what I'm looking at here. And there's
- 15 all kinds of other information about efficacy and
- 16 safety that's throughout this 30-page document.
- 17 So I understand you're asking me about
- 18 Page 29 now, but I don't understand how that helps
- 19 anyone when the rest of the document -- again, I'm
- 20 just flipping through it as I sit here. There's
- 21 all kinds of things about efficacy and safety on
- 22 the vast majority of the slides, and it's not
- 22 the rust majority of the shaes, and it shot
- 1 until we get to Page 29 that we see grandma
- 2 reading the book. And like I said, I don't even
- 3 know if this was even used.
- 4 BY MR. CAINE:
- 5 Q I didn't ask you if there were any claims
- 6 about safety or efficacy. I asked if there were
- 7 any claims that Eylea was safer or more effective
- 8 than Lucentis or Avastin on Exhibit 2137, Page 29.
- 9 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation. 10 BY MR. CAINE:
- 11 Q Can you answer that question?
- 12 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 13 BY THE WITNESS:
- 14 A First off, I'm not I'm not a clinician.
- 15 I'm not a POSA. On Page 29 within the four
- 16 corners of that document or that page of the
- 17 30-page document, no, there isn't something there.
- 18 But whether that's followed by the label in order
- 19 to be distributed as a pamphlet and I believe
- 20 the label does include the head-to-head study
- 21 with with Lucentis and you can see on Page
- 22 20 I can't read that Page 20 there is a line

- 1 graph. I think that there's, like I said, copies
- 2 of the whole label within this 30-page document.
- 3 So I'm not I just don't want to create a
- 4 misleading record or answer that doesn't recognize
- 5 that in this document there's plenty, plenty,
- 6 plenty of stuff that appears to address safety and
- 7 efficacy.
- 8 BY MR. CAINE:
- 9 Q Let's look at the page that you're looking
- 10 at, Page 20 of 30. The heading above the chart
- 11 says: "Eylea, 2 milligrams every two months
- 12 following three initial monthly doses and monthly
- 13 ranibizumab" -- which I think we can agree is
- 14 Lucentis -- "achieves similar improvements and
- 15 maintenance of visual acuity."
- 16 Right?
- 17 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:
- 19 A Again, I'm not a clinician. You're
- 20 putting a document in front of me that I don't
- 21 know that I've even seen. I'm just flipping
- 22 through it. And you're asking me about the
- 286
- 1 grandma picture, and I'm just saying there's
- 2 plenty of information, again, on Slide 21 that's
- 3 talking about Lucentis. I mean, the label itself,
- 4 I think, talks about the comparator study, but all
- 5 these are better questions for the clinicians, not
- 6 me.
- 7 BY MR. CAINE:
- 8 Q But you pointed me to this page, so I
- 9 asked you about it and you still haven't answered
- 10 my question which is: Doesn't the information
- 11 right above the chart reflect the notion that
- 12 using maintenance dosing with Eylea every eight
- 13 weeks achieves the same results as monthly use of
- 14 Lucentis?
- 15 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 16 mischaracterizes the witness testimony and outside
- 17 the scope.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:
- 19 A I haven't I don't know that I have seen 20 this document, and the only reason I pointed it
- 21 out was because I felt like you were, like, trying
- 22 to direct me to just Page 29.

287

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

289

Conducted on June 23, 2022

1 BY MR. CAINE:

2 Q I was.

- 3 A And the point is that even the page that I
- 4 spotted that talks about Lucentis has some
- 5 footnotes or endnotes attached to it that has
- 6 further explanation. I mean, I haven't studied
- 7 this document to weigh in on it, and I don't I
- 8 just don't think it's fair to just fixate on what
- 9 appears to be a draft document that appears to
- 10 have information that may or may not have ever
- 11 been disseminated externally. I don't know

12 what --

- 13 Q Why is it unfair? Dr. Manning relied on
- 14 Exhibit 2137. You read the Manning declaration.
- 15 You had access to Exhibit 2137.
- All of those statements are true, correct?
- 17 MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it
- 18 mischaracterizes Mr. Hofmann's testimony and
- 19 further objection to the extent it's cited by
- 20 Dr. Manning in a portion of his report that
- 21 Mr. Hofmann did not review, for example, unmet
- 22 need.

290

- I'll rephrase that.
- 2 Mr. Hofmann did not respond to --
- 3 Mr. Hofmann may have taken a look, but he did not
- 4 respond to that section. I can speak for him.
- 5 BY THE WITNESS:
- 6 A Yeah. Okay, so, now I do recall. But he
- 7 just kind of cherry-picked Page 29 in his report.
- 8 It's still unclear to me --
- 9 BY MR. CAINE:
- 10 Q There's nothing unfair in my questioning
- 11 you about something that Dr. Manning reproduced in
- 12 his report from a document cited in his report to
- 13 which you had the opportunity to review in advance
- 14 of preparing your declaration, right?
- 15 A No, no, you're twisting -- you're twisting 16 it around.
- 17 What I am saying is your prior question
- 18 that got us onto this sideshow is -- was very
- 19 narrowly focused on Page 29 of this document which
- 20 Manning clearly does cite to in his report. But I
- 21 think that that's not necessarily reflective of
- 22 what's in the entirety of the document. And I

- 1 think my point, as I explain in detail in my
- 2 report, is that there's a clear focus on efficacy
- 3 and safety.
- 4 I'm not saying there isn't any discussion
- 5 of dosing regimen in some of the marketing
- 6 materials, but what's driving the sales here are
- 7 things that, as I explain in detail in my report,
- 8 are things that were known in the prior art, are
- 9 things that were prevented preventing others
- 10 through the existence of the blocking patents, the
- 11 significant investment in marketing and the fact
- 12 that even this Page 29 here doesn't necessarily
- 13 comport with what I saw in the data as to
- 14 frequency of dosing and frequency of uses. And 15 nothing in this particular page, either, mentions
- 16 the '338 patent.
- 17 But if you want to try and read into it
- 18 that it is consistent with the dosing regimen of
- 19 the '338 patent, that's inconsistent with what we
- 20 see in terms of the majority of uses with respect
- 21 to the Eylea product based on some of the other
- 22 documents that we looked at.

1 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q Mr. Hofmann, you are familiar with data in
- 3 the pharmaceutical and life sciences industry from
- 4 IQVIA and others, right?
- 5 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.
- 6 BY THE WITNESS:
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 BY MR. CAINE:
- 9 Q You are aware that those data sources
- 10 don't differentiate between loading doses and
- 11 maintenance doses, right?
- 12 MR. MARX: Objection form.
- 13 BY THE WITNESS:
- 14 A I'm just pausing because I think depending
- 15 on the data set and information, it does
- 16 distinguish between NRx and TRx, NRx is new
- 17 prescriptions which I think would include the
- 18 loading dose, and then TRx would be total
- 19 prescriptions.
- 20 BY MR. CAINE:
- 21 Q Well, NRx, a new prescription, would
- 22 include both the loading dose and the maintenance

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

292

73 (289 to 292)

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

74 (293 to 296)

295

296

293

1 dose phase, wouldn't it?

- 2 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 3 hypothetical. I'll note for the record that
- 4 Regeneron has not produced the data that is trying
- 5 to be discussed here, and Mr. Hofmann has not had
- 6 the chance to review it.
- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A I mean, I would have to dig into that a
 9 little bit. I think the way that I think about it
 10 is the NRx is literally the new prescription, and
 11 then the way that NRx and TRx are set up is they
 12 are common sized to a 30-day script, and the TRx
 13 would be the annual amount common sized to
 14 30 days.
- 15 I don't know if we can take a quick break.
- MR. CAINE: If you'd like to, we can.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I just -- the light -- the
- 18 green light is off on the phone, so I don't know
- 19 if we lost everybody who was participating by --
- 20 MR. CAINE: Why don't we go off the 21 record.
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. We are going 22
- 1 off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.
- 2 (A recess was had.)
- 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
- 4 record. The time is 4:54 p.m.
- 5 BY MR. CAINE:
- 6 Q We were talking a moment ago about IQVIA
- 7 and other data sources from the pharmaceutical and
- 8 life sciences industry. Do you recall that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q You say in your declaration that you are
- 11 familiar with those sources, right?
- 12 A Yes. I list a number of them.
- 13 Q Being familiar with those sources, can you 14 say one way or another whether they differentiate
- 15 between the administration of loading doses for
- 16 in its at its and the state of the Total Countries
- 16 injecting eye treatments like Eylea, Lucentis,
- 17 Avastin and maintenance doses?
- 18 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 19 speculative. Mr. Manning did not provide this -- 20 let me rephrase that. I apologize.
- 21 Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 22 speculative for the reasons noted above in the

- 1 transcript, that Regeneron did not produce this
- 2 data.
- 3 BY MR. CAINE:
- 4 Q I'm talking about your experience.
- 5 A Like I said, I'd have to dig into that
- 6 because there's also sometimes in the situation of
- 7 injectables, IQVIA data, for example, is mostly
- 8 the retail pharmacy level as opposed to going into
- 9 the office and getting an injection which is more
- 10 of a buy-and-bill dynamic that sometimes isn't
- 11 captured in IQVIA. So I think it's something I
- 12 would have to, if I had the data, look at and 13 study.
- 14 Q When a physician and a patient make a
- 15 decision about which treatment to use -- and I'm
- 16 limiting myself to treatments of eye disorders
- 17 like we're talking about today -- they don't know
- 18 before beginning the treatment whether extended
- 19 dosing will be effective at maintaining visual
- 20 gains that the patient achieves during the initial
- 21 loading dose phase; is that right?
- g 22 MR. MARX: Objection. Form, lack of
- 1 foundation, outside the scope.
 - 2 BY THE WITNESS:
 - A That's a far better question for a
 - 4 clinician.

294

- 5 BY MR. CAINE:
- 6 Q So from the standpoint of marketplace
- 7 dynamics, though, do you agree with me that -- we
- 8 have and we've looked at some of the industry
- 9 average data, but for any particular patient,
- 10 until the physician and patient try the treatment,
- 11 they don't know if eight-week extended dosing is
- 12 going to work with Eylea, for example?
- 13 MR. MARX: Objection. Form, lack of
- 14 foundation, outside the scope.
- 15 BY MR. CAINE:
- 16 Q Do you agree?
- 17 A I'm not a clinician. I mean, the last
- 18 document we looked at, the PowerPoint that had,
- 19 whatever, to being on an extended
- 20 regimen, suggests to me that the vast majority of
- 21 uses, at least according to that study, would
- 22 suggest that fixed dosing regimen doesn't work for

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

1 most patients. To the extent it does, I imagine

- 2 people will try and follow it or they can just do
- 3 PRN or T and E.
- Q Do you agree with me that for any
- 5 particular patient at the outset of treatment, the
- 6 physician and patient don't know whether
- 7 eight-week extended dosing, maintenance dosing
- 8 will maintain the patient's level of visual acuity
- 9 or not?
- 10 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 11 outside the scope, improper hypothetical.
- 12 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I'm an economist. I'm not a clinician. 14 If you want to explore that, explore that with a 15 clinician.

16 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q I think you offer an opinion in your 18 declaration that "Dr. Manning fails to analyze or 19 quantify the number of uses of Eylea that 20 allegedly practice the challenged claims of the 21 '338 patent."
- 22 Did you make that statement?
 - A I can't remember the exact language. If
- 2 you want to take me to where it is in my
- 3 declaration, I'm happy to go there.
- Q Did you calculate the number of uses of
- 5 Eylea that practice the challenged claims of the
- 6 '338 patent?
- A That's the point that I'm making in my
- 8 declaration, is that he's the one asserting
- 9 commercial success, he's the one that has to 10 establish a nexus between the alleged commercial 11 success and the patent at issue in this IPR, the
- 12 '338 patent.
- He didn't do that. And it's not on me to 14 do that for him. So no, I didn't separately go 15 about and undertake that exercise because I'm not 16 asserting commercial success. If anything, what 17 I've found is a number of failures on
- 18 Dr. Manning's part, as I explain in detail in my
- 19 report. So, no, I didn't do a separate 20 quantification of that on my own.
- Q Now, earlier we looked at some data. I 22 believe it was from 2020, where we were looking

1 at. And, again, you cite this in your

- declaration, if you remember. We were talking
- about pages 92, 94, 95 and 96.
- Do you recall what I'm talking about? 4
- 5 A Generally, yes.
- Q We did some math on the percentage of
- people -- percentage of physicians who said that
- they were treating patients using Eylea at eight
- 9 weeks or longer.
- 10 Do you recall that?
- 11 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 12 Same objections made earlier on the record with
- 13 respect to the use of those documents.
- 14 BY THE WITNESS:
- 15 A I recall you putting certain parameters or 16 preambles to fix those based on all the caveats I 17 explained as to the limitations with respect to 18 those ATUs, yeah, I remember that.
- 19 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q We saw that in each case for each of those 21 treatments for eight weeks or greater, physicians

22 said -- the physician response was

300

1 more, right?

298

- MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- those documents, lack of foundation and further
- objection to the use of those documents.
- 5 BY THE WITNESS:
- A These are -- these are -- I think there
- 7 were two that we looked at that were points in
- 8 time, sometime in 2011, sometime in 2013 based on
- 9 ATUs that we don't know what the questions that
- 10 were asked, whether there were control questions,
- 11 what the representation was of the physicians in 12 that.
- You put in the parameter for greater than 13 14 eight weeks, and I think -- so there is a lot of 15 uncertainty as to the reliability and what we can 16 put on those particular ATUs one way or the other.
- And in any event, those ATUs also -- I
- 18 don't remember -- you know, they were vaguely just
- 19 saying dosing regimen. They didn't -- I didn't
- 20 see anything in those that really got you into the
- 21 contours of what I understand to be the claims of
- 22 the '338 patent. So I think you're just stacking

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM 299

75 (297 to 300)

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

76 (301 to 304)

303

30

1 and making a bunch of leaps looking to those.

2 BY MR. CAINE:

- Q If Eylea is administered with an
- 4 eight-week or greater maintenance dosing period
- 5 for 50 percent of the patient population, then
- 6 Eylea's gross sales over time would be more than
- 7 , correct?
- 8 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 9 mischaracterizes the documents and outside the 10 scope.

11 BY THE WITNESS:

- 12 A That's so there's a number of false 13 presuppositions in your question and potential 14 hazards in your question.
- 15 First off, you can't look at gross sales.
 16 Gross sales don't reflect patient assistance,
 17 discounts, rebates, and in particular for
 18 geriatric population that is primarily those that
 19 are suffering with these ocular afflictions.
- Then you can't kind of look at it in the 21 way that you're describing it, because as I 22 understand it, and I'm not a technical expert, I'm

302

- 1 not a clinician, a lot of the benefits, as I
- 2 understand it, are the long half-life of the
- 3 aflibercept molecule which are inherent properties
- 4 of aflibercept.
- 5 So let's not put too much weight on saying
- 6 that that all somehow falls within the '338 patent
- 7 when as I defer to Dr. Gerritsen and Dr. Albini
- 8 with respect to their technical perspectives on
- 9 all of that. So I don't know that I can buy into
- 10 your hypothetical number crunching exercise that
- 11 is, I think, horribly constructed.

12 BY MR. CAINE:

- 13 Q If Eylea -- if we only count sales of
- 14 Eylea that resulted in administration with an
- 15 eight-week dosing, eight-week maintenance dosing
- 16 period or greater, we said that occurred
- 17 50 percent of the time, the gross profits
- 18 attributable to such use would be over
- 19 , correct?
- 20 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 21 mischaracterizes the documents, speculative,
- 22 improper hypothetical.

1 BY THE WITNESS:

- A I don't -- I don't know how you get there
- 3 because you say that there's 50 percent. That's
- 4 one document, but then we looked at other
- 5 documents that said of sales would
- 6 potentially fall in that based on the other ATU.
- 7 And that's the problem with ATUs and these
- 8 statistics, is it's hard to know if we have a good
- 9 set of control questions, a good set of actual 10 questions.
- 11 And like I said, a lot of the questions
- 12 didn't seem to -- you're suggesting that it, in
- 13 particular, said "eight-week dosing." A lot of
- 14 them just said "dosing regimen." So I think
- 15 you're just making leaps into these documents that
- 16 I can't -- I can't agree with.
- 17 And the failures, the repeated failures of 18 Manning in not addressing what was known in the
- 19 prior art, the effect of the molecule, things the
- 19 prior art, the effect of the molecule, things the
- 20 technical experts have addressed, the blocking
- 21 patents and all of that just really you're asking, 22 you know, I think questions that make it hard for
- 22 you know, I think questions that make it hat a los

1 me to accept the assumptions that you're placing

- 2 within the question to fairly answer it to get the
- 3 right information in front of those that have to
- 4 review and make decisions on this case.
- 5 BY MR. CAINE:
- 6 Q Let me ask you about the Chronic Disease
- 7 Fund litigation. You mentioned that in your
- 8 declaration, right?
- 9 A I did.
- 10 Q As of 2021, approximately 42 percent of
- 11 Medicare beneficiaries are enrolled in Medicare
- 12 Advantage plans, right?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 14 BY THE WITNESS:
- 15 A I don't remember the exact percentages.
- 16 If you want to remind me by putting something in 17 front of me.
- MR. CAINE: Do we have 2026 -- 2226.
- 19 BY MR. CAINE:
- 20 Q I'll hand you Exhibit 2226.
- MR. CAINE: Why don't we do 2210 as well.
- 22 BY MR. CAINE:

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

77 (305 to 308)

Transcript of	Ivan Hofmann 77 (305 to 308)			
Conducted on	June 23, 2022			
305	307			
1 Q I'll also hand you Exhibit 2210.	1 for patients?			
2 First, Exhibit 2210 which I've put in	2 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.			
3 front of you is discussing Medicare Advantage in	3 BY THE WITNESS:			
4 2021.	4 A I think the way – the way I would frame			
5 Do you see that?	5 it is if you don't have a Medicare Advantage plan,			
6 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.	6 it's an 80/20 split with Uncle Sam.			
7 BY THE WITNESS:	7 BY MR. CAINE:			
8 A I'm sorry. Which one?	8 Q If you do have a Medicare Advantage plan,			
9 BY MR. CAINE:	9 the Medicare Advantage plan limits out-of-pocket			
10 Q 2210.	10 costs?			
11 A Okay.	11 MR. MARX: Same objection.			
MR. MARX: With respect to 2210, lack of	12 BY THE WITNESS:			
13 foundation. And furthermore this appears to be a	13 A Yes. But there are varying degrees of how			
14 printout from a website, KFF.org. So I'm not	14 much that sharing covers that 20 percent. And			
15 familiar with what that organization is or the	15 there is a whole bunch of complications with			
16 veracity of this document or the information	16 Medicare doughnut hole — I don't know — all the			
17 discussed therein.	17 different things that exist in the way that the			
18 BY MR. CAINE:	18 Medicare system, you know, does and doesn't			
19 Q I'm going to direct you because you asked	19 reimburse patients.			
20 me to direct you to it, Page 2 of Exhibit 2210.	20 BY MR. CAINE:			
21 Do you see the report here that says: "In	21 Q Are you aware that Medicare beneficiaries			
22 2021, more than four in ten, 42 percent Medicare	22 also can enroll in supplemental coverage?			
306	308			
1 beneficiaries" and then it goes on "are	1 A Sure.			
2 enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans"?	2 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.			
3 MR. MARX: Same objection.	3 BY MR. CAINE:			
4 BY MR. CAINE:	4 Q And that supplemental coverage would apply			
5 Q Mr. Hofmann, do you see what I was	5 to co-pays, correct?			
6 pointing you to?	6 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,			
7 A I do see what I'm pointing you to. I'm	7 speculative.			
8 just reviewing it. I mean, I'll just point out	8 BY THE WITNESS:			
9 that some of these appear to be like, for	9 A I mean, again, I'm just speaking in very			
10 example, the graph that appears above is truncated	10 broad strokes based on my knowledge of			
11 at the bottom. I don't know if there's anything	11 pharmaceutical economics that you can agree to pay			
12 of note that's missing. There's also, like,	12 a monthly supplemental amount to essentially			
13 graphical links you can hit on that aren't	13 defray some of that 20 percent.			
14 reflected here.	14 BY MR. CAINE:			
15 I'm not — I mean, I guess maybe so that	15 Q You talk about the Chronic Disease Fund in			
16 we can move along, I don't disagree that many,	16 your declaration.			
17 many people do have Medicare Advantage programs.	17 Were you aware that the Chronic Disease			

18 I don't know that I can sanction 42 percent as

19 being an exact figure, but --

20 Q Fair enough.

21 Now, can you agree or do you agree that

22 Medicare Advantage plans limit out-of-pocket costs

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Exhibit 2289 Page 078 of 159 PLANET DEPOS

18 Fund provides co-pay assistance for treatment

19 using Lucentis?

A I don't remember whether that's something

21 I came across specifically one way or the other.

22 My focus was on the DOJ complaint against

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

ine 23, 2022

78 (309 to 312)

3 2

1	Regeneron	with respect	t to their	involvement in the
-				

- 2 fund.
- 3 Q And in that complaint that you looked at,
- 4 there are allegations that the CDF fund issues
- 5 grants for Lucentis, aren't there?
- 6 A I think there is some language to that
- 7 regard, but my focus like I said, since I'm
- 8 dealing with the Eylea product, that was my focus.
- 9 Q Okay. And as alleged in the complaint, 10 prior to 2011, Genentech was the only financier
- 11 for the Chronic Defense Fund's AMD fund, right?
- 12 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.
- 13 BY THE WITNESS:
- 14 A I don't have that in front of me.

15 BY MR. CAINE:

- 16 Q Okay. Well, why don't we give you a copy 17 of Exhibit 1154.
- 18 A It's a thick double-sided document, so can 19 you point me to where you want me to focus?
- 20 Q Yes, Page 10, Paragraph 33.
- 21 Do you see -- are you at that paragraph?
- 22 A I am.
 - Q It starts: "Since at least 2010, CDF has

3 0

- 2 operated a fund that covers Medicare co-pays for
- 3 patients taking drugs for AMD."
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.
- 6 BY THE WITNESS:
- 7 A You've read those words as they appear.
- 8 BY MR. CAINE:
- 9 Q It says: "Prior to the FDA's approval of
- 10 Eylea, Genentech's Lucentis was the only
- 11 FDA-approved therapy for AMD, and Genentech alone
- 12 financed CDF's AMD fund."
- 13 Do you see that?
- 14 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.
- 15 BY THE WITNESS:
- 16 A You've read those words as they appear 17 there.

18 BY MR. CAINE:

- 19 Q So this reflects the allegation that
- 20 Genentech was financing the Chronic Disease Fund's
- 21 AMD fund in and around the time period that's
- 22 referenced in Paragraph 33, right?

- 1 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.
- 2 BY THE WITNESS:
- 3 A I'm not -- I'm not saying that the DOJ
- 4 doesn't view Eylea alone or Regeneron alone as a
- 5 bad actor here. Clearly they also, if you read
- 6 this complaint, have there's numerous allegations
- 7 against both Regeneron and Genentech, but yeah, I
- 8 mean, you read that as it appears.
- 9 BY MR. CAINE:
- 10 Q Are you aware that the Chronic Disease
- 11 Fund is a non-profit organization?
- 12 A I don't -- I don't know a hundred percent
- 13 as I sit here right now. What I know is that the
- 14 United States, you know, DOJ, HHS, has brought
- 15 this claim against these entities. And even if
- 16 it's a not-for-profit, sometimes you can set up
- 17 organizations that appear as a not-for-profit, but
- 18 there's still a benefit that's inured to the
- 19 entity.
- 20 And, again, I'm not weighing in on the
- 21 exact claims that are here. I'm just pointing out
- 22 that as I was doing research, I found the DOJ
 - . .
- 1 claim.
 - And so you can set up a not-for-profit,
 - 3 but if that not-for-profit is essentially
 - 4 channeling or funding money to you to the
 - 5 detriment of the US government, you can run into
 - 6 some trouble.
 - 7 Q Mr. Hofmann, I'll ask you just to focus on
 - 8 my question and answer my question.
 - 9 Are you aware that donors to the Chronic
 - 10 Disease Fund have no control over how the
 - 11 donations are used?
 - 12 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
 - 13 BY THE WITNESS:
 - 14 A I don't -- I don't know about that one way
 - 15 or the other as I sit here right now. I'd have to
 - 16 go back through the complaints and the information
 - 17 and see if that's consistent with things. But I
 - 18 was working off of the -- you know, what the
 - 19 United States government calls factual
 - 20 allegations, allegations specifically with respect
 - 21 to Eylea with my particular focus, and then
 - 22 certainly reviewed the discussion regarding the

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

3 3

Conducted on June 23, 2022

79 (313 to 316)

3 5

3 6

1 other parties that are involved in the DOJ

2 complaint.

3 Q Are you aware that the time period at

4 issue in this complaint, Exhibit 1154, is 2013 and

5 the first part of 2014?

6 A Can you point me to —

7 Q Page 20, Paragraph 61. And the

8 surrounding slide above it and discussion below

9 it.

10 A I mean, it appears that at least what the

11 DOJ brought action on is from 2013 and 2014. It

12 doesn't mean they can't expand it or maybe it's

13 been dismantled. I don't know.

14 Q You're not aware of any allegations

15 pertaining to 2012, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019,

16 2020 or 2021, are you?

17 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.

18 BY THE WITNESS:

19 A As I sit here right now, this is – this

20 is the document that I found that Manning, you

21 know, didn't mention at all in his declaration and

22 pertain to the product at issue. Like I said, the

3 4

1 way that the DOJ works is they focus on putting

2 the evidence in for their case for certain

3 periods, but they can always expand it. But I

4 don't disagree -- I don't have any amended

5 complaints or information that supplements this.

6 BY MR. CAINE:

Q You don't take the allegations in this

8 complaint as proven facts, do you?

A I think we all know or at least the

10 lawyers in the room know that the allegations are

11 not proven facts, but what we do know is that DOJ,

12 you know, when they're bringing an action against

13 a party, in this case it's a pretty significant

14 number of exhibits that accompany the complaint.

15 Everybody gets their day in court. I will

16 grant you that. I'm not taking it as a proven

17 fact, but I'm just pointing out the DOJ has made

18 these allegations with accompanying exhibits.

19 Q The complaint that you discuss at

20 Paragraph 63, Page 20, says that in 2013,

21 Regeneron --

2 A Hold on. Let me catch up to where you

1 are. 2 Q

Q Page 20, Paragraph 63, just where we were.

3 Page 20, Paragraph 63. Are you there?

4 A Okay, yep.

5 Q You agree that the DOJ alleges that in

6 2013, Regeneron contributed \$35 million to the

7 Chronic Disease Fund, right?

8 MR. MARX: Objection. Form.

9 BY THE WITNESS:

10 A In 2013, yes, that appears to be so.

11 BY MR. CAINE:

12 Q And you are -- you agree that above it, in

13 the slide that we see from the DOJ complaint, the

14 potential sales from 2013 donations were

15 \$198.5 million?

16 MR. MARX: Objection. Form, foundation.

17 BY MR. CAINE:

18 Q That's the allegation, right?

19 A That's what appears in that slide.

20 Q Now, we can look at -- if you'd like to

21 pull out Dr. Manning's declaration, which is

22 Exhibit 2052 in your stack, you can turn to

1 Attachment D-1. Attachment D-1 is on Page 171.

2 Eylea's net sales for 2013 were over

3 \$1.4 billion, correct?

4 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.

5 BY THE WITNESS:

6 A Going off the net sales figures from D-1,

7 that is the number. Having said that, the point

8 of this is the taint that comes with the

9 potential – what the allegations are that appear

10 here as to the CDF and the - you know, that can

11 have broader implications than the exact amounts

12 that are at issue in the DOJ complaint because,

12 year know those physicians are prescribing to le

13 you know, these physicians are prescribing to lots 14 of people.

15 BY MR. CAINE:

6 Q There's no allegation in Exhibit 1154 that

17 Regeneron's alleged donations of \$35 million to

18 the Chronic Disease Fund impacted over

19 \$1.21 billion in sales in 2013, is there?

20 MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it

21 mischaracterizes the document and seeks a legal

22 conclusion, speculative.

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

80 (317 to 320)

3 9

3 7

1 BY THE WITNESS:

- 2 A Like I said, I mean, I'm not in the weeds
- 3 with the DOJ to know what all they have decided to
- 4 do with respect to what they perceive as bad
- 5 actors as reflected in the complaint. Sometimes
- 6 they'll just prove what they know they have solid
- 7 evidence on, but there are broader implications.
- 8 I'm just saying that there's a taint.
- 9 There is a negative that affects the objectivity
- 10 of the evidence with respect to the marketplace
- 11 performance. I'm not saying that every dime of
- 12 Eylea's sales was the result of this alleged
- 13 kickback scheme, if that's where you're going.
- 14 I'm saying that this is not immaterial,
- 15 hundreds of millions of dollars at least that DOJ 16 is pressing for and complaining about, and that's
- 17 the extent of it.

18 BY MR. CAINE:

- 19 Q You don't identify even a single physician20 who identified co-pay assistance as the reason --
- 21 as their reason for prescribing Eylea, correct?
- 22 MR. MARX: Objection. Outside the scope,
- 1 mischaracterizes witness testimony.
- 2 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I mean, I think there were some slides
- 4 that we looked at throughout the day and that I
- 5 looked at in my report that talk about price and
- 6 co-pay, but I mean with respect to this specific
- 7 complaint, no, it's not like I was going about the
- 8 job of the DOJ to identify specific physicians
- 9 that would fall under this or how that fits into
- 10 their case or theory of the case. I was just
- 11 relying on what the DOJ put in their complaint
- 12 against Regeneron.

13 BY MR. CAINE:

- 14 Q You didn't read anything in Dr. Albini's15 declaration or deposition about prescribing Eylea
- 16 because of the existence of co-pay assistance, did 17 you?
- 18 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 19 outside the scope. And also note for the record
- 20 this also pertains to Regeneron's marketing
- 21 efforts, which despite Mylan's request, Regeneron
- 22 has refused to produce.

1 BY THE WITNESS:

- 2 A I don't remember if Dr. Albini addressed
- 3 it one way or the other.
- 4 BY MR. CAINE:
- 5 Q Do you remember that Dr. Albini testified
- 6 that he prescribed Eylea because it was best in
- 7 class?
- 8 A I don't have his testimony in front of me.
- 9 I think he testified about a lot of things, and I
- 10 certainly reviewed his report. I think I
- 11 understand he was only deposed yesterday.
- 12 Q How about at his first deposition?
- 13 A Oh. I haven't looked at that in a while.

14 I just don't - I don't remember.

- 15 Q Now, if you consider the sales of Eylea
- 16 and its market share outside of 2013 and 2014,
- 17 still it would be considered to have significant
- 18 marketplace performance, right?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Form, to the extent
- 20 it seeks a legal conclusion, and speculative to
- 21 the extent that Mylan was denied the opportunity
- 22 to review Regeneron's materials as requested.

3 8

1 BY THE WITNESS:

- 2 A I think we started the day to some extent
- 3 on this topic. I think that the numbers are what
- 4 they are. They've had a good run, but it's
- 5 because of the existence of the blocking patents
- 6 that really has nothing to do with the '338
- 7 patent.
- 8 It prevented other anyone other than
- 9 Regeneron as a gating issue from pursuing the
- 10 alleged invention of the '338 patent, and as I
- 11 explain in detail in my declaration, there are so
- 12 many failures in the Manning declaration and then
- 13 admissions in his deposition that simply there –
- 14 whether or not there have been the significant
- 15 sales, Manning has done a very poor job of
- 16 establishing nexus to the '338 patent for all the
- 17 reasons that I've explained in my declaration and 18 today.

19 BY MR. CAINE:

- 20 Q Eylea's marketplace performance outside of
- 21 2013 and 2014 includes \$30 billion in net sales,
- 22 correct?

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

81 (321 to 324)

32

1 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.

- 2 BY THE WITNESS:
- 3 A Are you talking gross sales or net sales?
- 4 BY MR. CAINE:
- 5 O Net sales.
- 6 A I mean, it's somewhere in that ballpark,
- 7 recognizing that they were able to do so on the
- 8 heels of the patent thicket that they had
- 9 established and the other extrinsic factors that I 10 explain in my report.
- 11 Q Are you aware that physicians don't know
- 12 whether a patient will receive co-pay assistance
- 13 when they prescribe Eylea or Lucentis for that 14 matter?
- 15 MR. MARX: Objection. Form, lack of
- 16 foundation, hypothetical and speculative.
- 17 BY THE WITNESS:
- 18 A I mean, I'm not a clinician. My
- 19 experience has been, though, that maybe sometimes
- 20 that's true, but then if they get a sticker shock
- 21 on how much they have to come up with, that can
- 22 change a course of treatment.
- And I'm not speaking about Eylea
- 2 specifically. I'm just talking about in general,
- 3 that's where co-pay assistance comes in from an
- 4 economic perspective, is to insulate patients from
- 5 the cost of products, particularly patients that
- 6 either can't afford or don't want the burden of
- 7 the cost associated with the medications.
- 8 So sometimes they explore other treatments
- 9 or that's where sometimes physicians and,
- 10 again, I'm not speaking about Eylea specifically.
- 11 I'm just saying there are techniques and schemes
- 12 that the pharma companies will do to help assist
- 12 mat me pharma companies win do to help assist
- 13 patients in being shielded from the true cost of
- 14 the medication.

15 BY MR. CAINE:

- 16 Q Are you aware that physicians don't know
- 17 whether a patient will qualify for co-pay
- 18 assistance when the physician prescribes
- 19 treatment?
- 20 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation,
- 21 speculative and incomplete hypothetical, outside
- 22 the scope.

1 BY THE WITNESS:

- 2 A That's a better question yeah, a better
- 3 question for a clinician. All I was saying in my
- 4 last answer, and, again, not specific to Eylea, is
- 5 that there are situations where a patient gets
- 6 prescribed something, finds out what their share
- 7 of the cost is, and then they get counseled from
- 8 their physician what are my options to hopefully
- 9 defray the costs.

10 BY MR. CAINE:

- 11 Q Are you aware of any allegations in the
- 12 complaints that you cite that physicians were
- 13 influenced in their prescribing decisions by any
- 14 Regeneron co-pay assistance donation?
- 15 MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it
- 16 seeks a legal conclusion.
- 17 BY THE WITNESS:
- 18 A I'm not making any affirmative statement
- 19 in that regard. I'm simply pointing to the
- 20 existence of the complaint and the allegations
- 21 being made by DOJ.
- 22 BY MR. CAINE:

322 1 Q You don't cite or identify any facts or

- even allegations that physicians had knowledge of
- 3 donations made by Regeneron, correct?
- 4 MR. MARX: Objection. To the extent it
- 5 mischaracterizes the document, seeks a legal
- 6 conclusion.
- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A I think the better way to look at it is
- 9 the allegations by the DOJ clearly show the belief
- 10 by DOJ that Regeneron did see huge ROI and did see
- 11 influence with respect to prescribing decisions
- 12 because that's where you get the ROI. Again, I
- 13 haven't, you know, dug into the details beyond
- 14 what is in the plain language of the complaint
- 15 which I cite to in my report.

16 BY MR. CAINE:

- 17 Q You don't cite any facts in your
- 18 declaration or even any allegations that
- 19 physicians had knowledge of donations made by
- 20 Regeneron, correct?
- 21 MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 22 the witness testimony.

PLANET DEPOS

323

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

325

82 (325 to 328)

327

328

1 BY THE WITNESS:

2 A I don't know that I cite to physician

- 3 knowledge or testimony one way or the other, but
- 4 that's the whole point of the DOJ's allegations.
- 5 If you read the complaint, essentially they're
- 6 saying Regeneron and Genentech used tens of
- 7 millions of dollars to influence physician
- 8 behavior which cost the US government a bunch of
- 9 money. And so whether there is, I guess,
- 10 knowledge by the physician, I don't understand how
- 11 that's, I guess, something that needs to even be 12 shown.
- 13 The point as I read the complaint is that
- 14 they were doing this because they saw enhancements
- 15 in prescribing behavior, which is, I guess, an
- 16 indirect way of pointing to influencing
- 17 prescribing behavior based on the observation
- 18 existence of the fund and the contributions that
- 19 were made to it, whether or not they had
- 20 acknowledgment or knowledge or awareness of the
- 21 payments being made to the fund.
- Q I was talking not about Regeneron's or
- 326 1 Genentech's knowledge. I was talking about
- 2 physician's knowledge.
- 3 A So was I.
- 4 Q Do you cite to any fact or allegation that
- 5 suggests that physicians had any knowledge of
- 6 donations made by Regeneron to the Chronic Disease
- 7 Fund?
- 8 MR. MARX: Objection. Asked and answered
- 9 and outside the scope.
- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A I don't think you understood my answer
- 12 because what I said -- and I don't know. We can
- 13 read it back if you want, but the point I was
- 14 making is the allegations are that there were
- 15 these dollars contributed to the fund. There were
- 16 documents created by Regeneron where they believed
- To documents created by Regeneron where they benevee
- 17 that they were going to get a huge ROI on
- 18 contributing to this fund, which is a way of, I
- 19 think I don't know if it's even implicit, but
- 20 it's indirectly implicating the influence that
- 21 that had on prescribing behavior.
- 22 I don't have a particular physician that I

- 1 talked to or that I have testimony from. I have
- 2 the broader scheme documents that are explained
- 3 and listed as exhibits to the Regeneron complaint
- 4 that tell the story, and in their view they were
- 5 able to get a 430, whatever percent ROI by
- 6 throwing money at the CDF, which means they viewed
- 7 it as very much influencing prescribing behavior.
- 8 BY MR. CAINE:
- 9 Q I still haven't heard any response to my
- 10 question about any fact or allegation about
- 11 physicians having knowledge of the donations
- 12 Regeneron made, but be that as it may, let me ask
- 13 you a question about guidance from the Department
- 14 of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector
- 15 General.
- 16 Are you aware of guidance from 2005 that
- 17 makes clear that pharmaceutical manufacturers can
- 18 effectively contribute to the pharmaceutical
- 19 safety net by making cash donations to independent
- 20 bona fide charitable assistance programs?
- 21 MR. MARX: Objection to the extent it
- 22 seeks a legal conclusion and outside the scope.
- 1 BY THE WITNESS:
 - 2 A I would say you would have to put
 - 3 something in front of me, but off the top of my
 - 4 head, if that was sanctioned and what was being
 - 5 done by Regeneron and Genentech was A-okay, why
 - 6 did they file this complaint?
 - 7 BY MR. CAINE:
 - 8 Q Are you aware of the guidance or are you
 - 9 not aware of it?
 - 10 MR. MARX: Objection. Asked and answered.
 - 11 BY THE WITNESS:
 - 12 A Like I said, you'd have to put something
 - 13 in front of me. As I sit here right now, I'm not
 - 14 familiar off the top of my head with respect to
 - 15 the IG guidance that you claim exists. But I
 - 16 guess my reaction is that if -- even if there was
 - 17 such guidance, you don't get charged by DOJ the
 - 18 way that Regeneron has if they were complying with
 - 19 the guidance.
 - 20 BY MR. CAINE:
 - 21 Q I mean, it sounds to me like you're taking
 - 22 the allegations in the complaint as proven facts.

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

83 (329 to 332)

33

332

329

MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes

- 2 witness testimony.
- 3 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q Is that what you're doing?
- A I didn't say that at all.
- Q Are you saying that they are liable and
- violated the law because of the allegations in the
- complaint?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Mischaracterizes 10 witness testimony.
- 11 BY THE WITNESS:
- 12 A I don't know how many questions back we'd
- 13 have to go to, but I'm saying exactly what I said
- 14 when we started talking about this, which is the
- 15 DOJ filed a lengthy complaint that had a bunch of
- 16 exhibits that asserted numerous allegations. It
- 17 may -- it may, you know, not proceed or it may
- 18 settle or there may be some kind of settlement
- 19 agreement where they're able to kind of get away
- 20 with whatever the allegations were that DOJ made.
- I'm not -- I'm not -- I'm not trying to be
- 22 judge or jury on that complaint. I'm just saying
- 1 that it puts a pall and a taint on the sales
- 2 because clearly if you look at the exhibits and
- 3 you look at the allegations in that complaint, DOJ
- 4 felt that it was worth them pursuing the
- 5 litigation against Regeneron and Genentech because
- 6 of the different things that are cited in the body
- 7 of the complaint and the exhibits attached
- 8 thereto.
- 9 BY MR. CAINE:
- Q And are you saying that it put a pall and
- 11 a taint on Regeneron's sales from late 2011
- 12 through the present?
- A I think that's for the trier of fact to
- 14 consider.
- Q What's your opinion?
- A My opinion is you don't get to the spot
- 17 that they're in unless there's something that DOJ
- 18 felt was worthy of complaining. I'm not saying
- 19 every last dime of Eylea, you know, is completely
- 20 a taint. I mean, it's one thing that I looked at
- 21 on many things that I looked at.
- 22 You know, to me, first and foremost, it's

- 1 the blocking patents, then it's what was known in
- the prior art, a lack of demonstration of nexus
- 3 with respect to '338, and then you start to add to
- 4 the list the heavy marketing, the heavy reliance
- on this alleged kickback scheme.
- When you look at it all together, there's
- 7 just no way, despite the sales levels of Eylea,
- 8 that you should be finding commercial success with
- 9 respect to the '338 patent for all the reasons
- 10 that I pore through in my report.
- Q The existence of co-pay assistance did not
- 12 lead physicians to conclude that Eylea was a lower
- 13 cost treatment than Avastin, correct?
- MR. MARX: Objection. Outside the scope,
- 15 speculative, incomplete hypothetical.
- 16 BY THE WITNESS:
- A I mean, that's a tricky thing to address
- 18 because there are different ways that patients are
- 19 affected with respect to the cost of their
- 20 medications. I think I can grant you that Avastin
- 21 almost in all cases was less expensive to the
- 22 patient based on the data sets that I've seen.
- 330
 - But there are plenty of instances where
 - the patient is completely shielded from the cost
 - of the product because of whether it's the CTG or
 - whether it's other schemes and discounts that are
 - being done to insulate the patient.
 - So I don't know how better I can say that.
 - 7 Like I said, I will grant you that in overall
 - 8 Avastin is cheaper than Eylea, but there are
 - 9 probably plenty of patients that are fully

 - 10 insulated from the cost of Eylea.
 - 11 BY MR. CAINE:
 - Q Mr. Hofmann, you looked at marketing
 - 13 expenditures, correct?
 - A I did. 14
 - 15 Q Did you do any comparison between
 - 16 Regeneron's marketing expenditures for Eylea and
 - 17 those marketing expenditures for other classes of
 - 18 drugs that you believe are comparable?
 - 19 MR. MARX: Objection. This is among the
 - 20 information that Mylan requested Regeneron
 - 21 produce. Dr. Manning didn't cite any of this.
 - 22 Regeneron has failed to produce it to Mylan

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann Conducted on June 23, 2022

333

84 (333 to 336)

1 despite our requests.

- 2 BY THE WITNESS:
- 3 A The frustration I had in this case is that
- 4 typically the brand sponsor will produce either
- 5 IQVIA or Symphony data that provides estimated
- 6 marketing spending for competing products within
- 7 the therapeutic area.
- 8 As I explained in my declaration, the
- 9 updated incomparable articles cited by Dr. Manning
- 10 are really not instructive, but I didn't have
- 11 access to the data sets that I typically expect
- 12 and almost all the time get with respect to being
- 13 able to look at some comparator metrics for things
- 14 like Share of Voice and marketing the sales
- 15 rations, et cetera. I just didn't have the data
- 16 sets to look at it.
- 17 BY MR. CAINE:
- 18 Q Did you request that an attempt be made to
- 19 provide you with Genentech's marketing
- 20 expenditures for Lucentis?
- 21 MR. MARX: Objection. Outside the scope.
- 22 And to the extent it seeks privileged
- 334
- 1 communications, I'd ask you not to disclose those,
- 2 Mr. Hofmann.
- 3 BY THE WITNESS:
- 4 A I think broadly, it's almost like a
- 5 strange question because typically, I would expect
- 6 that the brand who is trying to advance maybe the
- 7 argument that extrinsic factors such as marketing
- 8 didn't drive the sales of the product would be
- 9 eager to produce any data sets that they have that 10 tell that story.
- 11 For whatever reason, this information was
- 12 not made available to me. I think I've heard
- 13 Mr. Marx object several times today that such
- 14 information was requested from Regeneron, but it
- 15 simply wasn't made available to us, and I don't 16 understand why.
- 17 BY MR. CAINE:
- 18 Q Do you know if Genentech spends more or
- 19 less per year than what Regeneron does on
- 20 marketing --
- 21 MR. MARX: Same objection. Sorry.
- 22 BY MR. CAINE:

- 1 Q -- with respect to Genentech, Lucentis as
- 2 compared to Regeneron's spend with respect to
- 3 Eylea?
- 4 MR. MARX: Same objection. Lack of
- 5 foundation. This information was requested and it
- 6 was not produced by Regeneron.
- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A This all falls within the frustration that
- 9 I just complained about in my last answer. I
- 10 don't know because I don't have access to data
- 11 that I would -- I would typically expect Regeneron
- 12 to have provided IQVIA or Symphony data that would
- 13 give me information regarding marketing spend,
- 14 various categories of marketing spend, various
- 15 categories of how that relates to, you know, Share
- 16 of Voice and as a percentage of sales revenues,
- 17 but I simply -- it wasn't made available to me.
- 18 MR. MARX: If I could interrupt,
- 19 Mr. Caine.
- 20 If the videographer can confirm that we've
- 21 gone seven hours on the record.
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 6:59.
- 1 MR. MARX: Okay.
 - 2 BY MR. CAINE:
 - 3 Q Mr. Hofmann, are you aware that among
 - 4 Eylea's -- marketing expenditure for Eylea, there
 - 5 has been direct-to-consumer marketing?
 - 6 A There has.
 - 7 MR. MARX: Objection. Lack of foundation.
 - 8 BY MR. CAINE:
 - 9 Q And have you seen direct-to-consumer
 - 10 television marketing?
 - 11 MR. MARX: Same objection. Lack of
 - 12 foundation. Mylan requested this information, and
 - 13 Regeneron failed to produce it.
 - 14 BY THE WITNESS:
 - 15 A I don't -- I mean, like I said, the spotty
 - 16 amount of marketing materials that appeared in
 - 17 what was made available to me, there's seemingly
 - 18 some direct to consumer in some shape or form, but
 - 19 it's not the type of data set or information that
 - 20 I would normally expect to get in this type of
 - 21 situation.
 - 22 BY MR. CAINE:

PLANET DEPOS

335

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

6

85 (337 to 340)

339

340

Conducted on June 23, 2022

- 1 Q Do you agree --
- 2 MR. MARX: Mr. Caine, I believe we ve gone
- 3 seven hours on the record and you ve used your
- 4 full time now.
- 5 MR. CAINE: Mr. Marx, I m going to leave
- 6 the deposition open. I believe there s been an
- 7 effort to obstruct the deposition, both
- 8 unfortunately by yourself and Mr. Hofmann s
- 9 answers or nonresponsive answers to my question.
- 10 So if your position is the deposition is
- 11 going to stop right now, I understand your
- 12 position, but I will not be closing the deposition 13 at this time.
- MR. MARX: On behalf of Mylan, we 15 respectfully disagree. My objections have been 16 appropriate all day long. You made the election
- $17\,\text{to}$ focus on issues that were not in Mr. Hofmann s
- 18 report and his opinions, and that is for you to
- 19 deal with. Otherwise, we disagree that this 20 deposition remains open.
- 21 I do have some questions for redirect, but 22 with respect to your direct -- your examination of
- 1 Mr. Hofmann, it is closed per Mylan.
- 2 MR. CAINE: I think we've reflected our
- 3 disagreement. Go ahead.
- 4 EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. MARX:
- 6 Q Mr. Hofmann, I know you have a lot of
- 7 documents in front of you, just very brief
- 8 questions. If we could look at Exhibit 2176, it
- 9 was the January 29, 2021 ATU survey. Let me know 10 when you have that document.
- 11 Mr. Manning {sic}, do you have Exhibit 7 12 in front of you?
- 13 A Hofmann.
- 14 Q Mr. Hofmann, yes.
- Can you turn to Page 92 of this document?
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q Counsel for Regeneron asked you some 18 questions about this page. On the right-hand side 19 of this page, do you see a heading "Mean 20 Frequency"?
- 21 A I do.
- 22 Q Mr. Hofmann, what is the mean frequency

- 1 for the dosed interval for Eylea that is provided
- 2 on this page notwithstanding Mylan's objections to
- 3 the use of this document and also the caveats that
- 4 you gave on the record?
- 5 A
 - Q And is less than eight weeks?
- 7 A It is.
- 8 Q And this implies, again, subject to your
- 9 caveats, that of the interval level
- 10 for Eylea is less than eight weeks; is that 11 correct?
- 12 MR. CAINE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 13 BY THE WITNESS:
- 14 A That's what this appears based on this 15 subject and the caveats that I gave on the 16 reliability of this data set.

17 BY MR. MARX:

- 18 Q Mr. Hofmann, if we could turn to Page 94 19 of this same document, Exhibit 2176.
- 20 Mr. Hofmann, do you see on Page 94 a 21 similar heading, "Mean Frequency"?
- 22 A I do.

Q Mr. Hofmann, what is the mean frequency

- 2 for the dosing interval for Eylea that's provided
- 3 on this page notwithstanding the caveats that you
- 4 gave?

338

- 5 A
- Q And this information implies that of Eylea doses are given at less than
- 8 eight weeks; is that correct?
- 9 MR. CAINE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A That's what it appears.

12 BY MR. MARX:

- 13 Q Mr. Hofmann, if you could turn to the next 14 page, Page 95 of Exhibit 2176.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Do you similarly see a "Mean Frequency" 17 heading on the right-hand side of this page?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q What is the mean dosing frequency that's
- 20 provided on this page for Eylea, again,
- 21 notwithstanding your caveats and Mylan's
- 22 objections to the use of this document?

CONFIDENTIAL - PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

86 (341 to 344)

343

Conducted on June 23, 2022

Q This implies that

- 3 doses for Eylea are given at less than eight
- weeks; is that correct?
- MR. CAINE: Objection. Foundation.
- BY THE WITNESS:
- A Yes.

8 BY MR. MARX:

- Q Again, just generally, none of the 10 information in Exhibit 2176 is correlated to the 11 specific dosing schedule in the '338 patent; is 12 that correct?
- 13 MR. MARX: Objection. Lacks foundation. 14 BY THE WITNESS:
- 15 A The language that I see there doesn't tie 16 it specifically to '338, no.

17 BY MR. MARX:

- 18 Q Did Mr. Manning opine that information 19 here is directly correlated to the '338 patent 20 dosing schedule?
- 21 A No.
- Q Mr. Hofmann, if you could pull up

1 Exhibit 2140.

- A Okav.
- Q This is the November 2013 ATU. And if I
- 4 could turn to Page 22 of this document, please.
- A Yes.
- Q I believe counsel directed you to the data
- point for Eylea for fixed dosing interval, it was
- for every eight weeks.
- 9 Do you see that on this page?
- . Yes, as a subset of the total 10

11 dosing. So that's

- Q And that is approximately 12 percent?
- , somewhere in between. 13
- Q And if you could look at the table that's 15 provided in the bottom of this page, Page 22, with 16 respect to the column for Eylea.
- Do you see that the mean number of annual 18 doses for PRN is ?
- A Yes, yes. 19
- Q And does that imply that physician's dose,
- 21 Eylea, at least PRN, less than every eight weeks?
- 22 MR. CAINE: Objection. Foundation.

1 BY THE WITNESS:

- A Yeah, yeah, yeah. So the way to look at
- 3 that is annual doses would be for as-needed, which
- 4 is what PRN, I think, means, some Latin acronym
- 5 for that. But that would get us to dividing
- 6 12 months for annual dosing is far less than eight
- 7 weeks.

8 BY MR. MARX:

- Q And the similar question with respect to 10 the mean annual doses for T and E, do you see that
- 11 the mean annual doses for T and E provided in this
- 12 document, again subject to the caveats and Mylan's
- 13 objections, is annual doses for Eylea?

A That's what it says.

- Q And that would imply that Eylea is dosed 16 less than every eight weeks?
- 17 MR. CAINE: Objection. Foundation.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:
- A Yes. So if you, again, think about annual
- 20 doses as 12 months, the would be as annual
- 21 doses would work out to far less than eight weeks.

22 BY MR. MARX:

342

344

- Q And then, Mr. Hofmann, do you see the last
- row on this table, overall dosing frequency. This
- document states Eylea's overall dosing frequency
- 4 is
- 5 Do you see that?
- A I do see that.
- Q And that is less than eight weeks?
- A It is. 8
- Q Again, Mr. Hofmann, with respect to
- 10 Exhibit 2140, none of the data in this document
- 11 was correlated or tied to the '338 patent by
- 12 Dr. Manning?

A I didn't see anything in that regard, no.

- Q Mr. Hofmann, if you could pull up 15 Exhibit 2138.
- 16 This is the February 2013 physician ATU?
- **17** A Yes.
- Q Again, subject to Mylan's objections and 19 the caveats that you gave, if you could turn to 20 Page 15 of this document.
- 21 A Okav.
- 22 Q And I believe you testified with respect

PLANET DEPOS

CONFIDENTIAL - PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

Conducted on June 23, 2022

87 (345 to 348)

347 345 1 to this page, at least, that the data here "Fight for your eyes and ask your retina 2 indicates that less than of individuals specialist if Eylea is right for you." who are dosed with Eylea are at every eight weeks BY MR. MARX: or more. Q Mr. Hofmann, did Regeneron produce this Do you recall that testimony? advertisement in this matter? A I don't recall seeing that, no. 6 A I do. Q And there's nothing on this page or no Q Mr. Hofmann, having listened to this ad, analysis that Dr. Manning provided that tied any did you hear anything in this advertisement about 9 of the data on this document to the '338 claimed; 9 a dosing schedule or eight weeks dosing? 10 is that correct? A No. It seemed to be focused on efficacy A Yes. Again, this is similar to what we 11 and safety. 12 looked at before where the 12 MR. MARX: I have no further questions. at eight 13 weeks is MR. CAINE: I have a couple of follow-ups. , so it's a 13 14 fraction of total Eylea sales. 14 MR. MARX: I'm sorry. Your seven hours on Q You can set that document aside. 15 the record is done. Do you recall at the very end Mr. Caine MR. CAINE: I get to recross after your 16 16 17 asked you about TV spots for Eylea? 17 redirect. A I do. 18 MR. MARX: No. You have to reserve time. 19 You did not reserve time. You're done. 19 Q I'd like to play for the record a TV spot 20 for Eylea. 20 MR. CAINE: I get to follow up on your 21 examination. 21 (Video played.) 22 "Your eyes are a beautiful pair, and 22 MR. MARX: No. You had to reserve time to 346 348 1 they've seen a lot together from the biggest 1 do so. You did not. Your seven hours are done. 2 events to countless new moments. Over time, MR. CAINE: I m going to ask the question, 3 diabetic macular edema, also known as DME, entered 3 and you can decide what you d like to do. 4 the picture. It brought some unwelcome symptoms 4 MR. MARX: Okay. 5 like black spots, blurriness or wavy lines. But 5 **FURTHER EXAMINATION** 6 your eyes can fight back because there's more they BY MR. CAINE: 7 want to see, and they have Eylea on their side. Q Mr. Hofmann, by virtue of the fact that 8 On average, people with DME gain ten more letters 8 Mr. Marx played that advertisement, I think we can 9 on the eye chart after one year on Eylea and still 9 both agree that the advertising is publicly 10 had these improvements a year later when staying 10 available, right? 11 on treatment. 11 A Apparently so, yes. 12 "Do not use Eylea if you have an eye 12 MR. CAINE: Thank you. 13 infection, eye pain or redness or known allergies THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. 13 14 to any ingredients in Eylea. Injection in the eye This marks the end of the deposition of 14 15 with Eylea can cause infection and separation of 15 Ivan Hofmann. We are going off the record at 16 the retina. Eylea may cause an increase in eye 166:04 p.m. 17 pressure. Potential risk of fatal heart attack or 17 (Deposition concluded at 6:04 p.m. CST.) 18 stroke related to blood clots may occur. Serious 18 19 side effects are rare. Most common side effects 19 20 are eye pain, redness, cataract, decreased optimal 20 21 field of vision and increased eye pressure and 21 22 inner-eye gel detachment. 22

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

88 (349 to 352)

Transcript of Ivan Hofmann

_		
l	349 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC	
2	I, Theresa A Vorkapic, Certified	
3	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the	
4	State of Illinois do hereby certify:	
5	That IVAN HOFMANN, the witness whose	
6	deposition is hereinbefore set forth,	
7	Was duly sworn by me before the	
8	commencement of such deposition and that such	
9	deposition was taken before me and is a true	
0	record of the testimony given by such witness	
`	I further certify that the adverse	
2	party, Regeneron, was represented by counsel at	
3		
4	I further certify that the deposition of	
5	IVAN HOFMANN, occurred at the offices of RMMS, LLP	
6	on Thursday, June 23, 2022, commencing at 9:06	
7	a m to 6:04 p m CST	
8	I further certify the inspection,	
9	reading and signing of said deposition was	
20	waived on the record by agreement of all parties	
2	I further certify that I am not related	
22	to any of the parties to this action by blood	
ı		
l		
l		
r	350	
1	or marriage, I am not employed by or an attorney	
2	to any of the parties to this action, and that I	
3	am in no way interested, financially or otherwise,	
4	in the outcome of this matter.	
5		
Ι.	1	
6	Theresa a Vorrapic	
/		
8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
9	my hand this 24th day of June, 2022.	
10		
11	Theresa A. Vorkapic	
12	My commission expires 11/6/23.	
13	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
19		

A	289: 5, 333: ,	action	202: , 202: 2,
a-okay	335: 0	3 3: , 3 4: 2,	204: , 2 4: 3,
328:5	accompany	349:22, 350:2	287:6, 33 : 7
ability	3 4: 4	active	addressed
4: 9, 49: 0,	accompanying	2: 6, 84:9,	2 3: , 2 4: 4,
52: 7, 67: 8,	3 4: 8	84: 3	303:20, 3 9:2
90:4, 0:2,	according	activities	addressing
32:20, 33: 8,	3: 0, 20:8,	3 :7, 32: 0,	206:8, 2 0:8,
34: 5, 38: 8,	29:22, 30:2,	34:	303: 8
40: 3, 43: 6,	37: 7, 2:8,	activity	adequate
60:4, 60:8,	70:5, 225:5,	36:7	2 0:7
75: 5, 77: 0,	230:2 , 24 : ,	actor	adequately
200:20	296:2	3 :5	239:3
abiraterone	accordingly	actors	adhere
47:3, 47:9	62: 6, 82: 5	3 7:5	63: 5
able	account	actual	adjustment
30: 8, 60:2,	74: 9, 78:20	69:7, 23 :2,	6: 3, 7: 9
33:3, 33:2,	accounting	244:7, 303:9	admin
43:22, 75: 9,	83:3	actually	253:6
76: , 86: 3,	accurate	26: , 84:20,	administered
266: 2, 266:22,	9:8	05: 3, 4:22,	74:2, 249: ,
32 :7, 327:5,	accurately	2 6: , 228: 7,	275:20, 278:22,
329: 9, 333: 3	67:22, 96:5	24 : 5, 242:6,	30 :3
above	achieve	246: , 276: 0,	administration
30:3, 4: ,	86: 3	28 :	94: 2, 294: 5,
2 0:8, 2 6: ,	achieved	acuity	302: 4
226:22, 232: 3,	4:9, 23:6,	62: 3, 69: 4,	admissible
245:2 , 246:3,	25: 4, 38: 6,	70:9, 75: 9,	85:3, 249:20
287: 0, 288: ,	69: 4, 75:20,	76: , 83:3,	admission
294:22, 306: 0,	76: 2, 84: 7	84: 7, 86:2,	239: 7
3 3:8, 3 5: 2	achieves	86:2 , 87:2,	admissions
absent	287: 4, 288: 3,	87:3, 2 5: ,	93: 2, 320: 3
6: 2, 7: 8,	295:20	287: 5, 297:8	admittedly
203:22	acid	ad	92:20
absolutely	94: 2	347:7	advance
54:3, 64: 6,	acknowledge	add	290: 3, 334:6
2 7: 0	79:9, 43: 2	79:7, 86:2,	advanced
abstract	acknowledged	0:2 , : 8,	39: 4, 39: 7,
89:8, 89: 5,	38:22, 78:5	206: 7, 227:3,	40:2, 40:3
89:22, 9:8,	acknowledgment	230:6, 23 : 5,	advantage
92:6	93: 2, 325:20	235:4, 237:3,	4:8,304:2,
abundantly	acronym	240: 8, 24 : 0,	305:3, 306:2,
8: 3	233:2 , 343:4	244: 5, 33 :3	306: 7, 306:22,
accept	across	added	307:5, 307:8,
304:	243:8, 28 : 8,	:2	307:9
access	308:2	address	adverse
:8, 5:7,	act	22:20, 29:7,	349:
	30:9	92: , 93:9,	advertisement
			284: 3, 347:5,

	Conducted on t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
347:8, 348:8	afoul	24 :6, 243:2,	296:7, 296: 6,
advertising	5 : 4	247:22, 250:6,	297:4, 303: 6,
69:20, 7:22,	after	252: , 253: 2,	306:2 , 308: ,
75:9, 83: 6,	77:5, 78: ,	253:20, 257:8,	3 5:5, 3 5: 2,
83: 9, 83:2,	78: 7, : 4,	257:20, 258: ,	337: , 348:9
84:6, 278: ,	28:20, 36: ,	259: , 264:3,	agreed
348:9	43: 4, 43: 5,	264:6, 265: ,	236:7, 28 :2
advisement	45: 6, 46:9,	270: 3, 27:4,	agreeing
2 7: 7	48:7, 54:8,	273:2, 28 : 9,	89:
affect	54: 7, 65: 6,	285: 9, 287: 9,	agreement
6: 0	99: 4, 222:8,	288:2, 299: ,	67: , 329: 9,
affected	235:4, 254:9,	308:9, 3 :20,	349:20
74:20, 78:2 ,	275: 8, 346:9,	322: 0, 323:4,	agreements
33 : 9	347: 6	324: 2, 339:8,	49:9, 50: 8
affects	ag	340:20, 34:9,	ah
3 7:9	43: 9	343: 2, 343: 9,	249:7, 259: 8
affirmative	again	344:9, 344: 8,	ahead
40: 8, 96:7,	8:7, 25: 5,	345:	32: , 2 8: ,
97:2, 8:8,	26: 6, 30: 4,	against	338:3
20: 6, 63:3,	33:9, 33: 9,	75: 2, 308:22,	albini
88: , 90: 2,	4:2,46:2,	3 :7, 3 : 5,	88: , 88: 4,
323: 8	47: 6, 49:6,	3 4: 2, 3 8: 2,	88: 9, 95: 6,
affirmatively	5 :6, 52:20,	330:5	9: 5, 20: 2,
40: 3, 70:2,	55:3, 55:20,	ago	75: 8, 85: ,
85:5, 205: 4	63: 9, 7:8,	34: 2, 42: ,	90:8, 9:4,
afflictions	7:22, 75: 4,	56:2, 57:20,	92:2, 99:3,
30 : 9	77: 8, 80: 3,	69:22, 79: 6,	202:5, 206:20,
afford	8: 0, 83:7,	93: 6, 294:6	209:3, 209: 3,
322:6	95:6, 97: 0,	agree	2 0:5, 302:7,
afforded	98: 0, 03: 2,	0:4, 7:5,	3 9:2, 3 9:5
27: 8, 203: 0	04: 9, 4: ,	97:5, 99:9,	albini's
afield	4:6, 7:,	99: 5, 00:2,	3 8: 4
8: , 87: 7	8:6, 2:20,	00: 2, 06: 0,	allegation
aflibercept	25:8, 26:3,	09: 3, 0:8,	3 0: 9, 3 5: 8,
	27: , 28: 6,	:9, 2:8,	3 6: 6, 326:4,
02:2, 03: 6,	37: 0, 43:4,		327: 0
03: 9, 04:22, 05:7, 08:20,	45: 4, 46:20,	23: 0, 25:20,	allegations
09: 0, 0:3,	47: 6, 48:4,	28: 4, 37: 3,	309:4, 3 :6,
39:22, 42:9,	49:8, 69:20,	54: 8, 7:3,	3 2:20, 3 3: 4,
50: , 50:7,	70: , 7:8,	73: 2, 80: 7,	3 4:7, 3 4: 0,
52: 5, 56:8,	76: 6, 77:4,	80: 9, 85: 4,	3 4: 8, 3 6:9,
86: , 90:9,	79:3, 87: 3,	96:3, 2 : 6,	323: , 323:20,
90: 2, 93:5,	94: 6, 94: 7,	2 2: 3, 2 9: 9,	324:2, 324:9,
94: 0, 95:9,	94:2 , 2 6: 9,	226:2 , 228: ,	324: 8, 325:4,
98:6, 202: 5,	2 8: 7, 228: 5,	229:4, 25 :7,	326: 4, 328:22,
204:2, 204: 4,	230:2, 230: 5,	25 : 8, 253:4,	329:7, 329: 6,
206: , 284:7,	23 :2 , 234: ,	26: , 269: ,	329:20, 330:3
302:3, 302:4	234: 0, 237:6,	279: 4, 287: 3,	alleged
302.3, 302.4			92:3, 93: 7,
			22.0, 30,

Conducted on June 23, 2022

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
02:5, 06:5,	94: 5, 94:22,	american	343: , 343: 3,
4:9, 32:6,	96:7, 04: 9,	2 2:2	343: 9, 343:20
4:3, 45:6,	06: 2, 0: 6,	amgen	another
48:7, 48:8,	5: 9, 7: ,	43:2, 43:6,	28: 5, 44:4,
49: 8, 53: ,	32:2 , 78: ,	44:2	46: 8, 7: ,
298: 0, 309:9,	88: 6, 9:8,	amino	87: 5, 96: 8,
3 6: 7, 3 7: 2,	93:2, 242:9,	94: 2	277:2, 294: 4
320: 0, 33 :5	252:5, 254:9,	among	answer
allegedly	256:6, 266: 0,	28:8, 46:3,	6: 3, 9: 8,
297:20	268: 8, 27 : 5,	47: 6, 9:5,	49: 3, 50:7,
alleges	27 : 7, 276:7,	98:3, 204: 2,	5:3,5:9,
3 5:5	278:2 , 279: 0,	205:8, 242:8,	52: 7, 56:5,
allergies	295:6, 300: 7,	260: 7, 26 :20,	60:2 , 6 : 3,
346: 3	305: , 306: 2,	266:22, 267: 3,	62:5, 62:7,
allowed	307:22, 3 :5,	272:9, 272: 2,	62: 6, 62:20,
7 :5, 73:7,	3 8: 9, 3 8:20,	332: 9, 336:3	63: 8, 64: 4,
2:5, 60:22,	339:3, 346:3	amount	64: 7, 67:7,
64: 9, 65:3,	alteogen	7:5,73:8,	67:2 , 92:9,
276:20, 283: 8	46:22, 47:9	73: 4, 77:8,	08: , 0:22,
allows	alternative	79: 4, 84:9,	:3, 25:22,
87: , 87:3	7:4	293: 3, 308: 2,	26:7, 26: 7,
almost	alto	336: 6	26: 9, 43:9,
29:2, 33 :2 ,	3: 3, 3: 4	amounts	44: , 44:2 ,
333: 2, 334:4	always	50: , 3 6:	46: 5, 47:5,
alone	3 4:3	analyses	55:20, 60:4,
3 0: , 3 :4	amd	83:7	63:5, 80:9,
along	25:3, 27: 2,	analysis	80: 4, 80:20,
74: 6, 66: 9,	28:2 , 29:5,	9:9, 38: ,	83: 9, 96:9,
306: 6	29: , 30:8,	82: , 82: 3,	200:5, 209: 2,
already	99: , 99: 0,	73:5, 9:22,	2 0:4, 2 0:22,
49:7, 50: 7,	02: 9, 06: 3,	206: 9, 345:8	23 : 0, 236: 7,
52: 5, 60: ,	08: 5, 2 4:7,	analyze	237:7, 245:4,
62: 3, 67:9,	220: 3, 22 :2,	297: 8	255: 0, 286: ,
76:9, 85: 4,	22 : , 223:22,	analyzed	287:4, 304:2,
0: 3, 0: 9,	224:5, 224: 2,	46:3	3 2:8, 323:4,
34: 4, 34: 5,	227:7, 229: 2,	anda	326: , 335:9
76:2, 8:5,	229:2 , 23 : 3,	37:7, 49:3,	answered
2 0:4, 254: 9	242: , 249:2,	49: 3	32: 4, 37: ,
also	270: , 270: 6,	andas	38:20, 62: 4,
4:2, 0: 2,	270:2 , 272:8,	33:6, 49:5	66: 4, 68:4,
26:4, 27:6,	274:2, 275:2 ,	animal	:2, 8:,
27: 6, 35:4,	278:2, 278: ,	58: 8	27: 4, 38:6,
43:6, 43: ,	280: 2, 284:8,	ankle	52: , 54:9,
48:6, 50:20,	309: , 3 0:3,	0:8	57: 7, 58:9,
52:4, 53:22,	3 0: , 3 0: 2,	annual	203:6, 204:2 ,
55: 0, 58:2 ,	3 0:2	69:5, 293: 3,	205: 7, 205:22,
60:4, 74: ,	amended	342: 7, 343:3,	209:6, 223:9,
74: 8, 94:5,	3 4:4	343:6, 343: 0,	276:2, 279: 7,
		1	

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM 91

	Conducted on J	une 25, 2022	92
288:9, 326:8,	84: 6, 85:5,	2 4: 3, 273:6	apples
328: 0	88: , 97: 0,	apart	03: 2, 43: 0,
answering	202: , 202: 2,	2:	45: 4
66: , 209: 7,	205: 4, 2 :5,	apex	applicant
236:2	226:6, 234: 2,	226:20	59: 6, 60: 3
answers	24 :9, 242:20,	apologize	application
8: 6, 22: 3,	254: 2, 254:22,	78: 8, 294:20	3:2, 3: ,
35: 9, 62:2 ,	255:4, 255:8,	apparently	3: 6, 4:4,
68:7, : 9,	286:5, 286:7,	348:	4: 4, 5:2,
29: 0, 48:6,	29 :4, 296:9,	appeal	6: 6, 7:2,
52:2, 60:20,	297:4, 300: 7,	:3, 7:7, 47:7	8:4, 59: 8
60:2 , 65:2,	3 3: 4, 3 4:4,	appear	applications
234: , 244: 7,	323: , 323: 3,	9: , 9:22,	2:6, 22:9,
258: , 337:9	323: 8, 324: ,	3:4,69:4,	55: 2
anti-vegf	324: 7, 324: 8,	84: 2, 95: 8,	applied
97: 7, 98:5,	326:4, 326:5,	96: 5, 2 4: 8,	40: , 73:20,
00:6, 00:20,	327:9, 327: 0,	2 8: 6, 225:20,	77: 3, 53:22
0:4, 03:6,	332: 5, 332:2 ,	229: 4, 230:5,	apply
04:9, 5:6,	334:9, 345:8,	230:2 , 23 :3,	45:7, 45: 5,
5: 9, 7: 7,	346: 4, 349:22,	232:20, 235:2,	63: , 63: ,
9:7	350:2	237:22, 239: 9,	48:6, 60:2,
antibody	anybody	240: 6, 24 : 0,	308:4
5:20, 07:	2 6: 2	254:7, 258: 0,	applying
antischizophrenic	anyone	259:3, 26 :4,	96:20
58:7	92:3, 32:5,	278:20, 306:9,	appreciate
any	4:5, 4:22,	3 0:7, 3 0: 6,	36: 9, 37:22,
22: 9, 27:9,	42: 7, 254:22,	3 : 7, 3 6:9	56:5, 80: 3
28: , 35:22,	285: 9, 320:8	appeared	appropriate
36:2, 40:8,	anything	336 : 6	337: 6
40:9, 48:2,	33:20, 87:20,	appearing	appropriately
48:9, 49:2,	09:9, 09:0,	86: 2	92:
49:4, 50: 3,	0:2, 0:2,	appears	approval
5 : 2, 58:8,	: 8, 2:2,	0: 6, 3: ,	22:22, 30:22,
59: 7, 62:9,	49: , 5 :22, 70: 7, 76: 3,	7: 6, 7:20,	3 : 2, 3 0:9
65:4, 65: 9,	86: 8, 95: 9,	8:6, 8:20,	approved
66: , 87: 3,	95:20, 200: 9,	8:2 , 26:8,	06: 3, 06: 7,
87:2 , 96: 2,	20 : 0, 203: 3,	26: 6, 47:3,	08: 5
05: 7, 4: 5,	203: 8, 203:22,	77:22, 82:6,	approves
5: 3, 5:20, 8:8, 9:7,	204: 8, 205: 9,	85:8, 3: 0,	5: 8, 07: 0
34:5, 4:2,	206: 7, 207:2,	3: 7, 2 : ,	approximately
42: , 42:4,	209:2 , 252:5,	23 : , 234: 5,	304: 0, 342: 2
48: 9, 53:3,	253: 9, 274:8,	248:4, 272: 2,	april
62:20, 63:2,	284: 3, 298: 6,	278:8, 284:5,	76:2, 274: 4,
65:2, 68:2,	300:20, 306: ,	287:6, 289:9, 305: 3, 306: 0,	274:22, 275:5,
68: 8, 69: ,	3 8: 4, 344: 3,	3 :8, 3 3: 0,	275:8, 275: ,
75: 7, 76:6,	347:8	3 5: 0, 3 5: 9,	275: 2, 275: 6
76:9, 76: 3,	anywhere	339: 4, 340:	arbitral
	87: 5, 207:5,	555. 4, 540.	87:22
	1		I

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

		•	
area	333:9	68: 0, 85: 2,	3 7:20, 3 8: 6,
2 5:20, 333:7	as-needed	92:8, 4:2,	32 : 2, 322:3,
aren't	343:3		322: 8, 323: 4,
, ,	ascertain	35:8, 4:2,	327:20, 33 :
32:2 , 90:2,	232: , 233:3	68:9, 76:2,	associate
2 5: 4, 243:7,	ascertained	79:7, 79:2,	4:8, 8:
243: 0, 246:22,	224:20	82:8, 82: 0,	associated
306: 3, 309:5	aside	85:4, 20 : 2,	204: , 204: 3,
argue	49:2, 255:3,	202:2, 202:22,	226:7, 232:20,
39: 9	345: 5	208:7, 220:3,	322:7
argument	asked	22 :9, 240: 3,	assume
90:7, 7: 3,	32: 3, 36: 4,	245: 7, 268:7,	2 :9, 279: 0,
40:4, 78: ,	36:2 , 37: ,	285:7, 285: 7,	282: 2
200: , 334:7	38: 9, 48:3,	287:22, 303:2	assuming
arguments	48:6, 49:20,	asks	55:22, 73:7
207:7	50:2 , 56: 6,	24: 3, 50:8,	assumptions
arnold	56:22, 58:9,	08:2, 255:7	75: , 79: ,
3: , 3: 8,	59: 6, 60: 2,	aspect	304:
7: 7	60:20, 6:5,	65:	asterisk
around	6:7,62:,	aspects	225:5
63:22, 7 : 0,		35:2 , 55: 4,	astrazeneca
74:8, 95:7,	64:5, 66: 4,	20: 3, 86:9,	43:7, 44:4,
00: 6, 02:2,	68:4, 87:2,	90:9, 95:20,	44:7
03: 6, 22: ,	87:9, 0:5,	267: 5	attached
40: 0, 52: 9,	8: , 8:8,	asrs	5:8, 289:5,
55: 4, 56:8,	25:9, 27:2,	6:22, 2 2: 8,	330:7
58:2, 233: 6,	27: 4, 38:6,	2 3:8, 22 : 6,	attachment
290: 6, 3 0:2	52:5, 52: ,	22 :22	9: 8, 3:6,
art	54:9, 57: 7,	asserted	3: 0, 7:2,
9:8, 2: 7,	58:9, 63:9,	93: 4, 76:5,	7:4, 8:2,
3: , 4:4,	64: , 65: 0,	329: 6	26:3, 28:4,
5:5, 2 :2 ,		asserting	28:7, 34: ,
22:5, 23:3,	70:4, 76:2,	03:20, 03:2,	3 6:
38:3, 40: 0,	77:5, 78:2,	298:8, 298: 6	attachments
40: 6, 40: 7,	79: 5, 80: 5,	assertion	28: 4, 37: 8
53:8, 92: 4,	84:2, 99:7,	76:9	attack
02: 3, 43: 8,	203:6, 203:20,	assess	20 :9, 346: 7
86: 3, 89: 2,	204:2 , 205:22,	94:22, 92:20	attempt
92:2, 93: 0,	209:6, 2 6: 5,	assessed	09:2, 0: 7,
204: 2, 206:9,	22 :2 , 223:9,	92:9, 92: 2	: 3, 47:8,
29 :8, 303: 9,	240: 2, 253:5,	assessing	93:9, 333: 8
33 :2	256:7, 256: 2,	5: 0	attempted
article	276:2, 279: 7,	assessment	42:2 , 43:2,
75: , 85:4,	286:6, 288:9,	5:2	43:6, 44:5,
85:8, 96:8	300: 0, 305: 9,	assist	44: 7, 45: 0,
articles	326:8, 328: 0,	43: , 78:7,	46:5, 46: 3,
55:4, 85:8,	338: 7, 345: 7	322: 2	46: 7, 46:22,
207: 7, 208:20,	asking	assistance	47:22
	6, 60 ,	30 : 6, 308: 8,	

attempting	300: 7, 303:7	3:9,7:2	89:2, 222:8,
5 :5	austin	aventis	222: 2, 222: 5,
attorney	4:9, 7:	35: 3	257:9, 266:2,
6 , 0,	available	avenues	294:3, 3 2: 6,
80: 4, 85: ,	27: 5, 27:20,	34: 7	326: 3, 329: 2,
350:	29:7, 83:22,	average	346:6
attorneys	00: 3, 04: 6,	83:8, 296:9,	background
85:9, 86: 8	22:9, 22:0,	346:8	64:7, 67:8
attractive	28:3, 28:8,	award	bad
33:2	28: 2, 5:2,	72: 8	3 :5, 3 7:4
attributable	7:4, 73:8,	awarded	badgering
36:2, 88:22,	2 0:2, 267: 2,	72: 6, 75:20,	82:3
•	274: , 334: 2,		baked
89: 8, 9 : 5,	334: 5, 335: 7,	82:2 , 84:	7 :7
77: 4, 88: 3,	336: 7, 348: 0	awarding	
89:6, 90: ,	avastin	7 :7, 86:	balance
202: 5, 229:2,		aware	9:
302: 8	7:22, 20:3,	8:2 , 27:9,	ballpark
attributed	2: 4, 23:7,	49:3, 50: 9,	54: 3, 32 :6
36:	27: , 28:8,	04:8, 04: 3,	bar
attributes	32:5, 32:9,	06: 2, 07: 5,	29:22, 30:3,
86: , 90:9,	32: 7, 33:5,	08:22, 22: 5,	30: 6, 3 : 4,
90: , 92:2,	33: 4, 34:4,	35: 5, 38:3,	33: 8, 33:22,
97: , 97: 5,	34: 5, 35:9,	50: 5, 78:4,	25 : 3, 262: 9,
202: 4, 203:5	37:2, 37:5,	236:22, 292:9,	262:22, 264:8
atu	37:8, 98:22,	307:2 , 308: 7,	barely
6: 4, 6: 6,	99:9, 62: ,	3 : 0, 3 2:9,	247: 8
7:6, 22: ,	69:5, 69: 2,	3 3:3, 3 3: 4,	based
26:3, 26: ,	70: 0, 7: 7,	32 : , 322: 6,	9:9, 25: 5,
63: 8, 70: ,	7:8,7:22,	323: , 327: 6,	53:9, 72: 4,
74: 3, 247:2,	72: 4, 73: ,	328:8, 328:9,	74:22, 78:22,
248: 8, 25 :3,	73: 8, 88:5,	336:3	84:5, 93:20,
252: 9, 260:6,	92: 4, 2 9:20,	awareness	99: 0, 3: 7,
268: 9, 270:8,	220:6, 220: ,	325:20	5:2, 8:,
27 : 0, 303:6,	220:20, 22 : ,	away	7:9, 73:8,
338:9, 342:3,	229: 8, 232:7,		
344: 6	233: , 235: 2,	57:8, 329: 9	74: 3, 86: 4,
	236:3, 237:5,	В	90:20, 9:22, 200:22, 2:9,
atu-wave	237:9, 240: ,	b-rated	
6: 3	240: 3, 240: 5,	49:6	227: 5, 228:5,
atus	254:2, 257: ,	b2	230:4, 246:3,
7: 7, 8:7,	257: 6, 258:2,	: 2	247:2, 248:2,
8: 7, 9:6,	258:6, 259:6,	back	25 : 4, 264:8,
9: 9, 20:9,	259: 5, 263:2,	67:2, 68: 5,	8 , , ,
20: , 2 :5,	284: 5, 286:8,	68: 8, 69:2,	299: 6, 300:8,
22: 5, 25: 5,		25:5, 28:2,	303:6, 308: 0,
63:22, 263:2,	294: 7, 33 : 3,	29:7, 29:9,	325: 7, 33 :22,
264:3, 264:7,	33 :20, 332:8	58: , 6:4,	339: 4
28 :20, 299: 8,	avastin's	62:2, 73:6,	basic
300:9, 300: 6,	9: , 20: 4,	02.2, 73.0,	08:
L			

Conducted on June 23, 2022

	Conducted on J		
basis	246:9, 249: 6,	27: 8, 29: ,	begin
4:3, 45: 6,	263:2, 263: 4,	38:3, 43:2,	20:6, 6:22
47:22, 52:7,	278:9, 280: ,	45:5, 5 : 2,	beginning
70: 6, 72:5,	28 : 0, 28 : 4,	5:9,5:2,	295: 8
58: 3, 68:2,	283: 2, 288:2 ,	58:2, 58: 9,	begins
73: 2, 200: 4,	292: 4, 295:6,	62:5, 63: 5,	7:2, 58: 7,
204: 9, 205:20,	298: 5, 30 :2 ,	73:9, 78: 9,	59:9, 8 :4
266:6, 267:4,	303:3, 305: 9,	86: 4, 2 0: 4,	behalf
270:	3 6: 2, 3 8: 6,	222:6, 225: 3,	3:2, 3:9, 7:2,
bates	3 9:6, 320:5,	252:22, 260:9,	47: , 47:4,
2 : 0	324: 2, 325: 4,	266: 6, 268:20,	52:3, 52:4,
bayer	326: 2, 329:7,	289: , 3 3: 3,	53:6, 6 : 0,
46:6	330:2, 330:5,	320: 4, 32 : 9,	6:,6:5,
beach	33 : 8, 332:3,	336:5, 337:6,	6 :20, 64: ,
279: 2	334:5, 335: 0,	337: 5	64:7, 70:6,
beautiful	346:6	before	70: 9, 72:2,
345:22	become	:3, 2: 2, 7:6,	83: 6, 337: 4
because	97: 2	9: 4, 0:5,	behavior
22:4, 22:8,	becoming	0: 7, 37: 6,	42: , 42: 3,
22: 4, 22:22,	54:20	42: , 44:8,	325:8, 325: 5,
23: 6, 24:2,	been	53: 8, 54:2 ,	325: 7, 326:2 ,
26: 7, 27: 6,	8: , 5: ,	55:5, 56: 0,	327:7
36:9, 4 : 7,	24:5, 24:20,	57: 3, 60: 5,	behind
45:4, 48: 4,	25:2 , 3 : ,	60: 8, 6:7,	224:22, 232:4
50:7, 5 : 6,	3:9, 3:9,	79:8, 80:3,	being
52:5, 60: 4,	32:9, 32: 7,	8: 0, 85:22,	27: 8, 36:8,
66:9, 7:4,	33:4, 33: 3,	87:9, 98:2,	36: 0, 45:8,
73: 7, 74:6,	38: 3, 39:2,	98: 4, 00:2,	47: 7, 6:5,
75:8, 84:4,	39:3, 39:8,	00: 4, 08: 0,	75: 4, 78:6,
87: 0, 87: 8,	4 :3, 42:7,	09:8, 0: 5,	27:2, 32: ,
00: , 09:22,	5 :8, 52: 0,	25: 2, 25: 6, 27: 5, 28:6,	33:2 , 46:3,
3:5, 36:4,	53:6, 55: 2,	29:9, 29: 3,	46:8, 47: 9,
37: 6, 39: 9,	58:5, 59: 7,	32:5, 37:8,	55: 7, 57:2,
40:8, 43:2,	60:2, 6:5,	38: 3, 38:22,	7:9, 98:5,
45: 5, 46:8,	62:9, 64: 5,	54:8, 60:5,	204: 3, 2 5:6,
	68:2 , 68:22, 69:3, 69:4,	65:2, 65: 3,	2 5: 9, 2 9:20,
57:5, 58: 3,	72:22, 73: ,	67:5, 75: 3,	220:7, 220: 2,
58:20, 60:22,	80: 5, 8 : ,	79:20, 94: 7,	22 :2, 22 : ,
63:2 , 65:9,	84: 4, 86:2,	203: 2, 208: 5,	224:2 , 236:22,
69:20, 80:7,	87:2, 87:5,	2 : , 2 2:4,	240: 2, 243:7,
8:2, 88:22,	87:9, 87: 4,	2 9: 3, 222: ,	243: , 248: 9,
89: 9, 92:22,	87: 8, 93: 4,	223:7, 240:9,	25 : 6, 264:20,
93:5, 203: 9,	95:7, 02: 3,	269: 8, 273:3,	265: 7, 284: 4,
205: , 205: 7,	04: , 04:2,	28 : 7, 295: 8,	294: 3, 296: 9,
207:8, 2 2: , 2 3: 4, 2 9:6,	04: 7, 04:22,	345: 2, 349:7,	306: 9, 322: 3,
225: 3, 232:22,	05: , 06:4,	349:9	323:2 , 325:2 ,
237:6, 244: 7,	22: , 22:9,	began	328:4, 332:5, 333: 2
237.0, 244. 7,	,,	98:22, 57: 2	555. 2
		,	

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

belabor	67: 8, 68:6,	biggest	44: 8, 45: ,
8:5	4:2, 9:3,	346:	45: 5, 46: ,
belaboring	40:4, 3 9:6	billion	46:5, 46: 4,
8:7	better	8:22, 0:,	46: 7, 47: ,
belief	25:3, 9 : 9,	2:4, 2:5,	47:9, 47:8,
324:9	99: 9, 99:20,	3:7, 4: 0,	48: , 49: 2,
believe	0 :2 , 09:22,	4:2 , 40: 8,	59:3, 59:9
28: 0, 32:5,	8: 4, 23: 9,	267: 7, 267:20,	biosimilars
4 :6, 42:6,	24: 4, 24: 8,	268:8, 268: 0,	34:2 , 43: 3,
46:22, 88:20,	25:3, 5:9,	30 :7, 302: 9,	44: 2, 45: 6,
6: 8, 6:2,	60:9, 62: 8,	3 6:3, 3 6: 9,	48:7
3:5, 50:22,	63:5, 68:9,	320:2	biotechnology
5:20, 5:22,	69: , 74:7,	bimonthly	39: 5
53: 9, 60:3,	85: 9, 87:8,	70:6	bispecific
64:6, 84: 2,	99:2, 22 :8,	bioepis	5:20, 07:
85:2 , 89: ,	259: , 288:5,	44: 7	bit
89: 3, 205: 7,	296:3, 323:2,	biogen	9:3, 25: ,
208: 5, 220: 7,	324:8, 332:6	44: 9, 45:3,	00:22, 3:7,
220: 9, 220:20,	between	45:4	38: 2, 56:3,
22 : 0, 22 : 2,	20:2, 2 : ,	biologic	72: 0, 20 : 0,
233:2 , 286: 9,	2:4,52:0,	35: 0, 4: 7,	222:6, 226:22,
298:22, 332: 8,	59: 8, 62: 0,	49:3, 56: 0,	260: , 273: 6,
337:2, 337:6,	85:9, 56:6,	59:	293:9
342:6, 344:22	69:5, 83: ,	biologics	bla
believed	83:9, 99: 8,	97: 2, 03:20,	36:6, 44: ,
326: 6	20 :4, 20 : 4,	2:2, 33:7,	48: 3, 48:20,
below	203: , 204: 8,	49: 2, 57:5,	49: , 49: 9,
2 8: 9, 2 8:20,	205: 9, 209:4,	58: 7, 59:	50:6, 59: ,
226:2, 230:5,	209: 4, 244: 2,	biopharmaceutical	59: 2, 60:5
236: 8, 237:22,	249:2, 258: 6,	90: 8, 9 : ,	black
245:2 , 272: 4,	259:8, 272: 5,	9:3, 2:3,	346:5
275: 8, 3 3:8	273:9, 273:2 ,	22:20, 22:22,	blas
benchmark	274:7, 275:8,	24: 2, 24:2 ,	49:6
6: 6, 63: 8,	275: 7, 278: 7,		blindly
25 :3	279: 5, 283: 7,		96:8
beneficiaries	292: 0, 292: 6,	-	block
304: , 306: ,	294: 5, 298: 0, 332: 5, 342: 3	biopharmaceutica-	95:7
307:2	beyond	ls	blocking
benefit		34:4	89: 0, 92:2,
23 : , 232:2,	0: 0, 4: 6,	biosimilar	92: 2, 95:2,
25 : 9, 252:4,	79:7, 94: 9, 00:8, 04: ,	05:7, 33:8,	95: 0, 95: 7,
3 : 8	96: , 206: 7,	34:20, 35: 0,	95:20, 96: 4,
benefits	2 0:8, 2 9: 6,	35: 6, 36: 0,	00: 9, 0: 3,
25 :9, 302:	23 : 5, 243: 5,	37:7, 39:4,	02: , 02: 5,
beovu	324: 3	39:6, 4:7,	03:5, 03: 4,
02: 8, 03:6	biased	4:5, 42:2,	05:5, 05: 8,
best	8: 9	43:3, 43:7,	09: 4, 0: 0,
49: 2, 66:4,	· · ·	44: , 44:6,	: , 2: ,

Conducted on June 23, 2022

	e onducted on t	,	
3 :2 , 32: ,	337:7, 348:9	254:20, 334:4	8:2 , 25: 3,
36: 7, 36: 8,	bottom	brolucizumab	26:3, 26: 6,
37: 5, 38:20,	43:7, 43: 8,	224:22, 225:2,	34: 2
42: , 42: 5,	46:7, 8 :3,	226: , 230:7,	calculate
5:4, 5:3,	8:22, 9:8,	232:4, 235:4,	298:4
52:7, 52:8,	96: 4, 242:4,	236:5	calculation
204: , 29 : 0,	256: 3, 270: 7,	brought	: 5, 3: 4
303:20, 320:5,	306: , 342: 5	96:5, 3 : 4,	calculations
33 :	boundaries	3 3: , 346:4	0:20, 73: 8,
blood	92:7	brvo	77: 2
346: 8, 349:22	bounds	238:3, 242: 7	calendar
blowing	63:8	btg	274: 3, 274: 9,
263: 0	boy	45:20, 46: 9	274:2 , 275: 0,
blue	69:2	build	275: 5, 279:22,
263: 5	bpcia	28: 5	280:6
blurriness	37:9, 4:9,	building	calendars
346:5	45: 8, 48: 0,	28: 7	274: 2, 274: 8,
board		bullet	28 : 3
:3, 7:7,		67: 0, 260: 8,	california
65:9, 243:9,	55:22	273:7, 273: 7	3: 4
28 : 9	brand	bullets	call
body	83: 6, 84: ,	26 :5, 26 :9,	7:8,78:9,
330:6	84:4, 333:4,	26:9	36: 7 , 4 : 2
bona	334:6	bunch	called
327:20	branded	46:2, 9:2,	8: , 49: 9,
book	58:6, 35:,	79:20, 2 2:8,	58:20, 96: 3,
2:22, 22:3,	39:7	26 :9, 273: 9,	62:5, 78: 7,
37: 7, 38: ,	break	284:9, 30 : ,	78: 9, 284:20
56: 2, 282: ,	63: 2, 68: ,	307: 5, 325:8,	calls
282: 8, 282:20,	29:2, 6:4,	329: 5	59:2, 74: ,
283: 2, 283:20,	222:7, 265: 9,	bundle	77: 7, 3 2: 9
286:2	293: 5	247: 9	came
borders	brief	burden	7 :8, 98:4,
06:8	338:7	322:6	98: 4, 00:5,
both	bring	business	02: 8, 24:8,
20:3, 2:4,	68: 4	249: 8	37: 7, 257:9,
29: , 30:7,	bringing	butchering	308:2
34:3, 43: ,	3 4: 2	230:7	camino
6:2,66:9,	brings	buy	3: 2
69: 4, 72:20,	70:20	302:9	can't
95: 0, 96:20,	broad	buy-and-bill	5:8, 4:2,
98:2, 7:7,	34:20, 49:22,	295: 0	48: 4, 48:20,
22: 8, 23: 6,	5 :2 , 89:4,	byzantine	5 : 4, 66: 7,
63: 6, 83: 0, 2 8: 8, 2 9: 9,	34: 2, 308: 0	75: 5	66:2 , 87: 9,
220: , 22 :2,	broader	С	90: 6, 92: 6,
229: 8, 258: 7,	3 6: , 3 7:7,	c-1	57: , 60:6,
292:22, 3 :7,	327:2	7:2, 7:4,	80: 9, 96:9,
232.22, 3 . 1,	broadly		97:9, 2 8:2,
	69:4, 87:8,		

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM 97

	Conducted on t		
269: 8, 283: 4,	70:6, 7 : 4,	335: 4, 335: 5	3 4:2
286:22, 298: ,	7:22, 73:7,	category	certainly
30 : 5, 30 :20,	73: 6, 73:20,	263: 5, 265: 8	9: 6, 2: 8,
303: 6, 3 3: 2,	75:9, 77: 4,	cause	3: 9, 25: 2,
322:6	83: 4, 83: 6,	7:7, 346: 5,	29:6, 40: 3,
candidates	83: 8, 83: 9,	346: 6	43:3, 45:9,
4:7	84: 4, 85: 6,	caused	45: , 48:5,
cannot	86:4, 87:3,	84:3	5 :20, 57:2 ,
39:5, 52: 6,	87:2 , 95: ,	causes	72:22, 80:2 ,
60:8, 62:2,	22:7, 25: 5,	5:22, 07: 2	87: 8, 95:6,
8:3,29:	26: 4, 27: 3,	cautious	97: , 2:7,
capable	32:3, 33:6,	27:2 , 38:2	22:6, 22: 7,
70:2	33:7, 76: 9,	caveats	23: 4, 24:6,
capitalize	77: 2, 78:5,		24:20, 26:9,
33:22	8:, 8:2,	9: 7, 33: ,	26:20, 5:3,
captured	82: 2, 83: 5,	224: 7, 225: 0,	57:20, 58:2,
295:	83: 7, 84: 5,	228:5, 229: 4,	7:0, 75:5,
careful	87: 6, 87: 8,	230:2, 23:0,	8:2, 82:4,
	95:3, 207:20,	23 :2 , 232: 8,	86: 9, 88: 0,
2: 2, 22: , 22: 4, 25: 9,	2 3:3, 2 5:4,	234: , 235: 7, 236: 6, 236:2 ,	97:9, 235:20,
26: 7, 27: 6,	2 6:22, 2 8: 0,	237:20, 240:9,	247: , 257:8,
48: 4, 34: 2,	2 9:4, 237: 0,	242:20, 244:5,	268:4, 270: 5,
36: , 37:4,	257: , 273:5,	244: 0, 244: 8,	275:5, 278: ,
249: 6, 252:9,	299:20, 304:4,	264:3, 28:9,	3 2:22, 3 9: 0
253:2 , 254:8,	3 4:2, 3 4: 3,	282:2, 299: 6,	certificate
262:22, 263:2,	3 8: 0, 333:3	339:3, 339:9,	349:
264:6, 265:6,	cases	339: 5, 340:3,	certified
28 : 0	40:2 , 47:20,	340:2 , 343: 2,	2: 3, 2: 4,
carefully	48:20, 49: 4,	344: 9	349:2
77:5	49: 7, 53: ,	cdf	certify
carryover	53:5, 6:8,	309:4, 3 0: ,	349:4, 349: ,
26:7	6:9,64:9,	3 6: 0, 327:6	349: 4, 349: 8,
	64: 8, 73:2,	cdf's	349:2
case	87: 3, 87: 9,	3 0: 2	cetera
9:9, 43:7,	33 :2	cecchi	25:3, 27: 2,
43: 9, 43:2 ,	cash		23: 8, 3:2,
44:7, 44: 3, 44: 4, 44:20,	327: 9	53: 9 celltrion	36:6, 70:3,
44: 4, 44:20, 45: 9, 46:7,	cataloged	46: 3, 46: 6	70:4, 239:4,
46:8, 46: 9,	50:7, 64: ,	centers	250: , 333: 5
46:20, 48: ,	65:7, 67:20,	02:2	cfo
50: , 53: 3,	68:9	central	40:7, 42:7
57:9, 57: 6,	catalogued	7: 0	chain
58: , 58:2 ,	66:3		74:9
59: 7, 62:9,	cataract	certain	challenged
65: 9, 65:2,	6 0	24: 3, 50: 9,	87: 8, 94: 0,
66: , 67:4,	catch	54:5, 55: ,	92:5, 246:6,
68: , 69:20,	3 4:22	55: 4, 76:3,	297:20, 298:5
69:22, 70:3,	categories	80:6, 07:2, 254: , 299: 5,	challenger
	263:7, 263:8,	234: , 299: 3,	53:7
	,,		

challengers	cherry-picked	324: 5, 324: 7,	classes
52:4, 6 : ,	290:7	325:2, 326:4,	332: 7
6:6,64:2,	chicago	332:2	clear
64:3, 66:8,	6, 8, ,	cited	, ,
66:20	7: 3	:5, :9,	8: 3, 63: ,
chance	china	:22, 40: 4,	78: 6, 93: 9,
56:8, 65: 4,	04: 6	77:20, 83: 4,	203: 7, 243: 8,
26: 5, 293:6	choose	87: 5, 94: 9,	243:20, 244: 0,
change	255:5, 256:7,	2 : 3, 2 5:6,	244: 7, 245: 4,
7:6, 8:3,	257:3, 257:9,	269:7, 27 : 2,	252:3, 255:2,
32 :22	257: 5, 258:6,	289: 9, 290: 2,	255: 7, 28 : 7,
changes	259:7	330:6, 333:9	29 :2, 327: 7
5 :22	chosen	cites	clearly
channeling	75: , 78:22	253: 6	25:2 , 60:7,
3 2:4	chronic	citing	02:6, 02:8,
characteristic	304:6, 308: 5,	2 5: 8	02: 5, 3: 7,
68: 3	308: 7, 309: ,	civil	55: , 55:22,
characterization	3 0:20, 3 : 0,	82:	93:3, 96: ,
:20, 93:2	3 2:9, 3 5:7,	claim	2 7:2, 228: 6,
characterized	3 6: 8, 326:6	72:2, 78:4,	248: 7, 290:20,
36:8	circle	83: 7, 88: 8,	3 :5, 324:9,
charged	273:4	200: , 205: ,	330:2
328: 7	circuit	206:2, 3 : 5,	clinical
charitable	70: 2	3 2: , 328: 5	23: 7, 59: 6,
327:20	circumstances	claimed	59: 9, 60:8,
charlottesville	5:22, 53: 0,	24: 9, 93: 7,	60:9, 60: 3,
5: 5, 72: 3,	83: 3, 89: 7,	03:22, 50:7,	62: , 67:9,
86: 9	9:20, 92: 8,	77: 4, 345:9	68:9, 74:,
chart	23: 6, 35: 2,	claiming	74: 6, 77: 9,
7: 6, 9: ,	35: 5	75: 2	84: 6, 85:5,
2:3, 29:22,	circumstances-ba-	claims	97:2, 285:4
30:3, 30: 6,	sed	25:20, 26: 8,	clinically
3: 4, 33:22,	89:5, 9:5,	39:7, 84:6,	94: , 95: 0,
35: , 243: 2,	97: , 2:7,	94: , 0: 9,	97:3, 98:7
C C	23:8, 24:0,	42: 8, 78: 2,	clinician
263: , 263: 0,	26: , 35: 3	92:5, 246:6,	93: 3, 98: ,
263: 6, 264:8,	circumvent	252:8, 286:5,	98: 0, 98: 8,
287: 0, 288: ,	78:7	286:7, 297:20,	99:7, 99:2 ,
346:9	citation	298:5, 300:2 ,	35:6, 62: 8,
charts	78: 7	3 :2	68:8, 69:2,
37: 7	cite	clarification	69:9, 69: 9,
cheaper	88: 0, 96:22,	,	69:2 , 70:22,
332 : 8	4:2, 42:4,	clarify	74:7, 84: ,
check	93:2, 20 : ,	63: 6, 250:22	85: 8, 85: 9,
207: 9, 208: 8	242:5, 242:6,	clarity	87:9, 88:8,
chemist	269:8, 277: 8,	282:3	90: 4, 93: ,
0:4, 52: 6	290:20, 299: ,	class	96: 3, 207: 5,
chengdu	323: 2, 324: ,	3 9:7	220:5, 220: 7,
04:9	,,		
04.9			