## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., CELLTRION, INC., and APOTEX, INC., Petitioners

v.

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00881<sup>1</sup> Patent 9,254,338 B2

RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S IDENTIFICATION OF STATEMENTS SUPPORTED BY OBJECTED TO REFERENCES IN PATENT OWNER RESPONSES



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPR2022-00258 and IPR2022-00298 have been joined with this proceeding.

In accordance with the Board's order during the teleconference held on February 23, 2022, Patent owner hereby submits its response to Petitioner's Identification of Statements Supported by Objected to References in Patent Owner Responses. Paper No. 44; *see also* Ex. 1100 Transcript of Teleconference Proceedings held on February 23, 2022, at 16:22-19:7.

Petitioner's Challenge	Patent Owner Response—Arguments and Evidence
IPR2021-00881, Patent	<b>Nexus</b> —Paper 40, pp. 58-60; <i>see</i> , <i>e.g.</i> , <i>id.</i> , p. 59 ("A
No. 9,254,338	nexus exists between the Challenged Claims and
• Paper 40 (POR)	both EYLEA®'s approved dosing regimen (the
<ul> <li>Section VIII.B,</li> </ul>	"Eylea Label" or "EL") and physicians'
(Paper 40, pp. 58-	administration of EYLEA in practice ("Physicians'
62, entitled	Practice" or PP, and together with EL, "EL&PP")");
"Objective	id., 58-59 (chart arguing that a nexus exists between
Evidence	each limitation of each challenged claims and the
Confirms the Non-	Eylea Label, Physicians' Practice, or both).
Obviousness of	
the Claimed	See also Ex. 2051 (Do Decl.), ¶¶98-133 (the United
Dosing	States prescribing information for Eylea
Regimen").	demonstrates practice of the challenged claims); <i>id.</i> ,
• Ex. 2051, Do	¶¶134-170 (physicians' administration of Eylea to
Decl. ¶¶98-133,	patients practices the challenged claims).
135-170	
IPR2021-00881, Patent	<b>Commercial success</b> —Paper 40, pp. 1-3, 54, 58-62;
No. 9,254,338	see, e.g., id., p. 1. ("Despite launching into a
• Paper 40 (POR)	competitive market, EYLEA quickly became the
• Section I, (Paper	preeminent treatment for angiogenic eye disorders
40, pp. 1-3,	including wAMD, diabetic macular edema, macular
entitled	edema following retinal vein occlusion, and diabetic
"Introduction").	retinopathy."); id., p. 2 ("EYLEA has enjoyed rapid
<ul> <li>Section VIII.B,</li> </ul>	clinical adoption and great commercial success.");
(Paper 40, pp. 54,	id., pp. 61-62 ("Regeneron's U.S. sales of EYLEA,
58-62 entitled	as well as EYLEA's share of sales relative to other
"Objective	anti-VEGF treatments, have grown significantly



Datitionan's Challange	Detent Owner Degrange Arguments and
Petitioner's Challenge	Patent Owner Response—Arguments and Evidence
Evidence Confirms the Non-Obviousness of the Claimed Dosing Regimen"). • Ex. 2052, Manning Decl. ¶¶29-42, 48-117	since launch."); <i>id</i> .("[T]he '338 Patent's claimed dosing regimen has been an important factor driving demand for EYLEA."); <i>id</i> ., n. 18 ("EYELA's commercial success does not appear to be due to marketing efforts").  See also Ex. 2052 (Manning Decl.), ¶¶29-42 (treatment options for angiogenic eye disorders); <i>id</i> . ¶¶48-85 (Eylea was a commercial success); <i>id</i> ., ¶¶89-104 (the claimed dosing regimen is a driver of the demand for Eylea); <i>id</i> ., ¶¶105-117 (Eylea's commercial success cannot be explained by factors not related to the claimed methods of treatment).
IPR2021-00881, Patent No. 9,254,338  Paper 40 (POR)  Section VIII.B, (Paper 40, pp. 58-62, entitled "Objective Evidence Confirms the Non-Obviousness of the Claimed Dosing Regimen").  Ex.2050, Brown Declaration ¶¶150-181	Long-felt but unmet need, failure of others, unexpected benefit, and industry praise—Paper 40, pp. 1-6, 13, 53-54, 58-62; see, e.g., id., p. 54 ("[T]he long-felt need and failure in the art to develop an extended dosing regimen confirm the non-obviousness of the claimed dosing regimen."); id., 60-62 ("[T]he art was littered with failed efforts to extend dosing of anti-VEGF agents, which made Regeneron's clinical trial results all the more unexpected."); id. pp. 3-6 ("Numerous attempts were made to decrease injection or monitoring frequency with ranibizumab, including the PIER, PrONTO, SAILOR, EXCITE, and SUSTAIN clinical trials. Each of these efforts at extended dosing in the art failed") (internal citations omitted); id., p. 13 ("[T]here remained a need in the art to reduce the burden of frequent injections and monitoring visits while maintaining the high level of efficacy").  See also Ex. 2052 (Brown Decl.), ¶45, 75-85, 156-176 (satisfaction of a long felt but unmet need); id., ¶46-69, 110-113; 160-173: (failure of others); id.,



Petitioner's Challenge	Patent Owner Response—Arguments and Evidence
<ul> <li>IPR2021-00880,</li> <li>Paper 39 (POR)</li> <li>Section V, (Paper 39, pp. 7-9, entitled "Claim Construction")</li> <li>Section V, (Paper 39, p. 8 n. 5)</li> </ul>	Claim construction—Paper 39, pp. 7-9, n. 5; see, e.g., id., p. 8 (Claim construction "is not necessary to resolve the arguments presented in Mylan's Petition."); id., n. 5 ("[I]f the Board decides to construe 'method of treating' or "tertiary dose' in this IPR, it should do so consistently with the constructions Regeneron has proposed in its contemporaneously filed Response in IPR2021-00881 relating to the '338 Patent, since the '069 Patent was filed as a continuation from the '338 Patent.) (citing Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Elm 3DS Innovations, LLC, 925 F.3d 1373, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2019)).

Dated: March 3, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Deborah E. Fishman
Deborah E. Fishman (Reg. No. 48,621)
3000 El Camino Real #500
Palo Alto, CA 94304

**Counsel for Patent Owner, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.** 



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e)(4)(i) *et seq*. and 42.105(b), the undersigned Certifies that on March 3, 2022, a true and entire copy of this **RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S IDENTIFICATION OF STATEMENTS SUPPORTED BY OBJECTED TO REFERENCES IN PATENT OWNER RESPONSES** was served via e-mail to the Petitioner at the following email addresses:

MYL\_REG\_IPR@rmmslegal.com
paul@ rmmslegal.com
wrakoczy@ rmmslegal.com
hsalmen@ rmmslegal.com
nmclaughlin@rmmslegal.com
lgreen@wsgr.com
ychu@wsgr.com
rcerwinski@geminilaw.com
azalcenstein@geminilaw.com
bmorris@geminilaw.com
TRea@Crowell.com
DYellin@Crowell.com
SLentz@Crowell.com

/s/ Deborah E. Fishman
Deborah E. Fishman (Reg. No. 48,621)
3000 El Camino Real #500
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Counsel for Patent Owner, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

