UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

DAEDALUS BLUE, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00831

U.S. Patent No. 8,671,132

Title: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND APPARATUS FOR POLICY-BASED DATA

MANAGEMENT

Filing Date: 03/14/2003

Issue Date: 03/11/2014

DECLARATION OF DR. CHRISTOPHER JULES WHITE IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER DAEDALUS BLUE, LLC'S PATENT OWNER RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction5							
II.	Bases for Opinions							
III.	Materials Considered							
IV.	Qualifications							
V.	Legal Standards							
	A.	Anticipation12						
	B.	Obvio	ousness	13				
	C.	Claim Construction						
VI.	Overview of the '132 Patent							
	A.	Technical Background15						
		1.	Mainframe Computing	15				
		2.	Distributed Computing	17				
	B.	Applications and Advantages of the '132 Patent21						
	C.	Prose	cution History	23				
VII.	Level	el of Ordinary Skill in the Art23						
VIII.	Opinions on Claim Construction							
	A.		hallenged Claims: "a plurality of clients, the clients comprisin two different computing platforms"	_				
		1.	The "plurality of clients" refers to clients in a network environment.					
		2.	The "at least two different computing platforms" refers operating systems.					



			Christopher Jules White 671,132				
	B.	Other	Claim Terms33				
IX.	Sumr	nary of Opinions on Patentability33					
X.	Opinions on Patentability						
	A.	Ground 1, Claims 15-21 and 23-25: <i>Gelb</i> is not analogous art to the '132 Patent					
		1.	Gelb is not in the same field of endeavor as the '132 Patent35				
		2.	Gelb is not reasonably pertinent to the problems addressed by the '132 Patent				
	В.	Ground 1, Claims 15-21 and 23-25: The limitation "receiving one or more attributes of a file from one of a plurality of clients, the clients comprising at least two different computing platforms" would not have been obvious over <i>Gelb</i> in view of <i>Tivoli</i>					
		1.	Gelb alone does not disclose "receiving one or more attributes of a file from one of a plurality of clients, the clients comprising at least two different computing platforms."59				
		2.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would not have sought to combine <i>Gelb</i> with <i>Tivoli</i> in the way described in the petition for the limitation "receiving one or more attributes of a file from one of a plurality of clients, the clients comprising at least two different computing platforms."				
	C.	Ground 1, Claim 18: The limitation "assigning the storage pool to the file comprises applying the storage pool rule to the characteristics of the available storage pools to assign the storage pool to the file" would not have been obvious over <i>Gelb</i> in view of <i>Tivoli</i>					
	D.	are s Solar the m	nd 2, Claim 22: The limitation "wherein the computing platforms elected from the group consisting of Windows, AIX, Linux, is, Unix, Mac OS, OS/2, DOS, HP, IRIX, and OS/390, wherein nethod further comprises translating the one or more attributes" d not have been obvious over <i>Gelb</i> in view of <i>Tivoli</i> and <i>Ighan</i>				



		,	, ,				
		1.	Microsoft did not show that a person of ordinary skill would have sought out references related to Network 1 (NFS), such as <i>Callaghan</i> .	File Share			
		2.	Microsoft's stated reasons for combining Callaghan and Tivoli fall flat				
	"rec clie		and 3, Claims 15-21 and 23-25: <i>Devarakonda</i> does not eiving one or more attributes of a file from one of a plats, the clients comprising at least two different corms."	lurality of computing			
XI.	Appendix A: The Challenged Claims of the '132 Patent9						
XII.	Appendix B: CV93						



I. Introduction

- I, Dr. Christopher Jules White, a resident of Nashville, Tennessee over 18 years of age, hereby declare as follows.
- 1. My name is Dr. Christopher Jules White, and I am currently an Associate Professor in the Department of Computer Science, as well as the Associate Dean for Strategic Learning Programs at Vanderbilt University.
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts and opinions set forth in this declaration, and, if called upon to do so, I would testify competently thereto.
- 3. I have been asked by Patent Owner Daedalus Blue, LLC ("Daedalus") to provide my opinions and analysis responsive to certain issues raised by the Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,671,132 ("Petition") by Petitioner Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft" or "Petitioner") and the supporting Declaration of Dr. Erez Zadok ("Zadok Declaration"). For this work I am being compensated at my normal hourly rate of \$450 per hour plus reasonable expenses. The amount of my compensation is not dependent upon the substance of my opinions or upon the outcome of this matter. I am working as a private consultant on this matter and the opinions presented here are my own.
- 4. I have prepared this declaration at the request of Daedalus and its counsel. For purposes of this declaration, I have been asked to provide my opinions on certain aspects of Petitioner's theories regarding patentability of Claims 15-25 of



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

