Paper No.

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner by: Gerald B. Hrycyszyn, Reg. No. 50,474 Richard F. Giunta, Reg. No. 36,149 Curtis R. Powell, Reg No. 73,995 WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210 (617) 646-8000 Phone (617) 646-8646 Fax

DOCKET

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC., Petitioner,

v.

LITL LLC, Patent Owner.

IPR Case No. IPR2021-00822 U.S. Patent No. 8,624,844

# PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	IN	TRODUCTION1				
	A.	The Petition Is Procedurally Improper1				
	B.	The Petition's Grounds Fail on the Merits				
II.	Lľ	LITL'S '844 PATENT				
	A.	Challenged Claims				
	B.	The Challenged Claims Cover LiTL's Webbook5				
	C.	Claimed Aspects of LiTL's Webbook Received Contemporaneous Praise				
III.	III. THE PETITION FAILED TO IDENTIFY WITH PARTICULARITY HOW THE PRIOR ART IS ALLEGED TO MEET THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS					
		The Petition's Conclusory Analysis Improperly Relied on a Web of Nested Cross-References				
	B.	The Web of Nested Cross-References Improperly Shifts the Burden of Deciphering Petitioner's Arguments onto Patent Owner and the Board				
	C.	The Petition Fails to Map the Language of the Challenged Claims to Its Prior Art Combinations				
		<ol> <li>A Mismatch Between Claim Element Labels in the Petition and Claim Listing Results in the Petition Failing to Align Its Prior Art Allegations with Claims 1-9</li></ol>				
		<ol> <li>The Board Should Reject the Petition's Invitation to Launch an Archaeological Expedition Simply to Ascertain How Petitioner Alleges the Claims Are Mapped to Its Prior Art Combinations</li></ol>				
IV.	LENOVO'S EXPERT TESTIMONY CANNOT SAVE THE					
	PE	20 ETITION				
	A.	The Testimony Merely Parrots the Petition20				
	В.	The Declaration Cannot Be Incorporated by Reference into the Petition				
	C.	Exhibits Cannot Be Incorporated by Reference into the Petition22				

	22
	23
1 Fails for Claims 1, 3-5, and 7-9	23
	23
Frame Mode	24
Tablet Mode	24
	27
The Petition's Obviousness Arguments Fail Because They Are Based on Shimura's Teaching of Tablet Mode	29
Lenovo Failed to Establish that the Alleged Shimura-Tsuji Computer's Hinge Supports Frame Mode	31
uld Have Formed the Alleged Shimura-Tsuji Computer	33
Tsuji's Push Buttons Support Thumb Typing on a Handheld Device	34
The Petition Fails to Establish that a POSA Would Have Put Tsuji's Buttons on Shimura's Laptop	35
i. Lenovo's Assertion that Shimura and Tsuji Are in the Same Field Is Factually Wrong and Legally Irrelevant	36
<ul> <li>The Petition's Assertion that Tsuji Motivates Adding Tsuji's Buttons to Shimura Ignores Disclosures in Tsuji and Shimura</li></ul>	36
iii. Tsuji Buttons Would Not Improve Shimura Operability in Easel Mode	39
iv. The Petition Identifies No Supportable Reason to Combine Tsuji with Shimura in the Manner the Petition Alleged	40
	<ul> <li>Are Based on Shimura's Teaching of Tablet Mode</li> <li>Lenovo Failed to Establish that the Alleged Shimura-Tsuji Computer's Hinge Supports Frame Mode</li> <li>ependent Reason 2: Lenovo Failed to Show that a POSA uld Have Formed the Alleged Shimura-Tsuji Computer ied upon in Every Ground</li></ul>

	Would Have	Never Identifies a Reason a POS Looked to Tsuji to Modify	
	Combination	n-Tsuji Computer Is Not a n of Elements According to Knov	
	• •	Circuit Rejects Conclusory "buil etter" as Motivation to Combine	
c. Wit	thout the Shimura-T	Suji Computer All Grounds Fail	43
Would F	Iave Formed the Sh	ovo Failed to Show that a POSA imura-Tsuji-Pogue Computer wi f Success	
		No Supportable Reason to Use imura-Tsuji Computer	45
b. Cita	ation To Its Expert	Cannot Save Lenovo	48
Inp	ut that Shimura's T	s XP OS Does Not Accept Pen ablet Mode Requires Which Is Fa ion	
Sel	ected <u>Pogue's</u> Wind	s Why a POSA Would Have lows XP as a Suitable Operating a-Tsuji Computer	50
	-	s How the Shimura-Tsuji-Pogue ardware Requirements to Run XI	P51
f. Cor	nclusion		53
Art Disc	loses a Portable Co	ovo Failed to Establish that the Pr mputer Having a Rotatable	
i.	-	ontrol Must Be Rotatable Relativ	
ii.	Keyboard Arrow the Shimura-Tsuj	eyboard Start Menu Button, Keys, and the R and L Buttons of i-Pogue Computer Are Not tion Controls	
B. Ground 1 Fa	ils for Claims 10 ar	nd 13-16	57

		1.	Independent Reason 1: Lenovo Failed to Establish that the Cited Prior Art Discloses a Frame Mode	57	
		2.	Independent Reason 2: Lenovo Failed to Show that a POSA Would Have Made the Shimura-Tsuji Computer	58	
		3.	Independent Reason 3: Lenovo Failed to Show a POSA Would Have Made the Shimura-Tsuji-Pogue Computer with a Reasonable Expectation of Success	58	
	C.	Gr	ound 2 Fails	59	
	D.	Gr	ound 3 Fails	59	
	E.	Gr	ound 4 Fails	60	
		1.	Independent Reason 1: Lenovo Failed to Establish that the Cited Prior Art Discloses a Frame Mode	62	
		2.	<u>Independent Reason 2</u> : Lenovo Failed to Show that a POSA Would Have Made the Shimura-Tsuji Computer upon Which Ground 4 Relies	62	
		3.	Independent Reason 3: Lenovo Failed to Show that a POSA Would Have Made the Shimura-Tsuji-Pogue Computer on which Ground 4 Relies with a Reasonable Expectation of	(2)	
	Б	C	Success		
	F.		ound 5 Fails		
	G.	Gr	ound 6 Fails	65	
VII.	CONCLUSION				

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.