

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

NOVARTIS PHARMA AG,
NOVARTIS TECHNOLOGY LLC,
NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00816
U.S. Patent No. 9,220,631

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board’s Scheduling Order (Paper No. 14), Petitioner Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. respectfully submits this Request for Oral Argument. The Board has scheduled the oral hearing for July 21, 2022 at 11:30 AM EST. Petitioner and Patent Owner have conferred, and both prefer an in-person hearing. Petitioner also requests that an audio dial-in be available for corporate representatives to listen to the hearing remotely.

Petitioner specifies the following issues to be argued:

- The obviousness of claims 1-3, 5-9, 14-22, and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on WO 2011/006877 (“Sigg”) in view of WO 2009/030976 (“Boulangé”);
- The obviousness of claims 1-3, 5-9, 14-22, and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on WO 2008/077155 (“Lam”) in view of Boulangé;
- The obviousness of claims 4, 10, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Sigg in view of Boulangé and Fries;
- The obviousness of claims 4, 10, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Lam in view of Boulangé and Fries;
- The obviousness of claims 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Sigg in view of Boulangé and WO 2007/149334 (“Furfine”);
- The obviousness of claims 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Lam in view of Boulangé and Furfine;
- The obviousness of claim 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Sigg in view of Boulangé and the 2008 Macugen Label;
- The obviousness of claim 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Lam in view of Boulangé and the 2008 Macugen Label;
- The obviousness of claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Sigg in view of Boulangé and Dixon;

- The obviousness of claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Lam in view of Boulange and Dixon;
- Rebuttal to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters;
- Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification or deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Petitioner requests forty-five minutes to address the issues identified above and any related issues identified in Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument. To the extent the Board schedules the hearing to last more than ninety minutes, Petitioner requests that it be given half the length of the hearing to address these issues.

Date: June 14, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/Anish R. Desai/

Anish R. Desai (Reg. No. 73,760)
Elizabeth S. Weiswasser (Reg. No. 55,721)
Natalie Kennedy (Reg. No. 68,511)
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153
Anish.Desai@weil.com
Elizabeth.Weiswasser@weil.com
Natalie.Kennedy@weil.com

Christopher M. Pepe (Reg. No. 73,851)
Matthew D. Sieger (Reg. No. 76,051)
Priyata Y. Patel (Reg. No. 76,861)
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
2001 M Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
Christopher.Pepe@weil.com
Matthew.Sieger@weil.com
Priyata.Patel@weil.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Regeneron
Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on June 14, 2022 the foregoing **PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** was served via electronic mail, upon the following:

Elizabeth J. Holland
Daniel P. Margolis
Allen & Overy LLP
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
elizabeth.holland@allenoverly.com
daniel.margolis@allenoverly.com

Nicholas K. Mitrokostas
John T. Bennett
Allen & Overy LLP
1 Beacon Street
Boston, MA 02108
nicholas.mitrokostas@allenoverly.com
john.bennett@allenoverly.com

William G. James
Allen & Overy LLP
1101 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
william.james@allenoverly.com

Linnea Cipriano
Goodwin Procter LLP
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10018
lcipriano@goodwinlaw.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.