UNITED STATES	S PATENT AND TRADE	MARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE P	ATENT TRIAL AND AP	PEAL BOARD

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Petitioner,

v.

NOVARTIS PHARMA AG, NOVARTIS TECHNOLOGY LLC, NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2021-00816

U.S. Patent No. 9,220,631

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY PATENT OWNER

PETITIONER'S THIRD OBJECTIONS TO



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Petitioner Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., hereby objects as follows to the admissibility of evidence filed by Patent Owners Novartis Pharma AG, Novartis Technology LLC, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, in conjunction with the Patent Owner Sur-Reply, filed on May 26, 2022.

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2335	FRE 105: To the extent that any portion of this exhibit may
	be deemed admissible, such admissibility should be for a
	limited purpose.
	FRE 402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue
	delay, wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.
	FRE 802: The entirety of the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay
	if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted
	therein.
	37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b): The exhibit is impermissibly filed as
	new evidence accompanying a sur-reply.



Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2336	FRE 105: To the extent that any portion of this exhibit may
	be deemed admissible, such admissibility should be for a
	limited purpose.
	FRE 402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue
	delay, wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.
	FRE 802: The entirety of the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay
	if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted
	therein.
	37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b): The exhibit is impermissibly filed as
	new evidence accompanying a sur-reply.
Exhibit 2337	FRE 105: To the extent that any portion of this exhibit may
	be deemed admissible, such admissibility should be for a
	limited purpose.
	FRE 402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted.



Evidence	Objections	
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon	
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the	
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue	
	delay, wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.	
	FRE 802: The entirety of the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay	
	if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted	
	therein.	
	37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b): The exhibit is impermissibly filed as	
	new evidence accompanying a sur-reply.	
Exhibit 2339	Petitioner refers to the objections made during the	
	deposition of James Agalloco, which are incorporated	
	herein by reference.	
Exhibit 2340	Petitioner refers to the objections made during the	
	deposition of Joel M. Cohen, which are incorporated herein	
	by reference.	
Exhibit 2341	Petitioner refers to the objections made during the	
	deposition of Kenneth S. Graham, which are incorporated	
	herein by reference.	



Evidence	Objections	
Exhibit 2342	FRE 105: To the extent that any portion of this exhibit m	
	be deemed admissible, such admissibility should be for a	
	limited purpose.	
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon	
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the	
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue	
	delay, wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.	
	FRE 802: The entirety of the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay	
	if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted	
	therein.	
	337 C.F.R. § 42.23(b): The exhibit is impermissibly filed as	
	new evidence accompanying a sur-reply.	
Exhibit 2343	FRE 105: To the extent that any portion of this exhibit may be deemed admissible, such admissibility should be for a limited purpose.	
	FRE 403 : The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon	
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the	
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue	
	delay, wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

