UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC., SUBARU OF AMERICA, INC., and VOLVO CAR USA, LLC, Petitioner,

v.

STRATOSAUDIO, INC., Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00721 Patent 8,166,081 B2

Record of Oral Hearing Held: July 22, 2022

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, HYUN J. JUNG, and KEVIN C. TROCK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

RYAN C. RICHARDSON, ESQ.
MICHAEL D. SPECHT, ESQ.
TIMOTHY L. TANG, ESQ.
Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox PLLC
1100 New York Ave, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 371-2600

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JONATHAN LAMBERSON, ESQ. HALLIE KIERNAN, ESQ. JOHN P. SCHEIBELER, ESQ. White & Case, LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020 (212) 819-8830

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Friday, July 22, 2022, commencing at 3:16 p.m. EDT, at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Madison Building-East Wing, 600 Dulany Street, 9th Floor, Alexandria, Virginia 22313.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE ARBES: Good afternoon. This is the second oral hearing
4	of the day, Case IPR2021-00721 involving Patent
5	8,166,081.
6	Can counsel again please state your names for the
7	Record?
8	MR. RICHARDSON: Ryan Richardson, from Sterne
9	Kessler Goldstein & Fox, on behalf of Petitioner,
10	Volkswagen Group of America. And also with me is Tim Tang
11	and Michael Specht, from the same law firm.
12	JUDGE ARBES: Patent Owner?
13	MR. LAMBERSON: Jonathan Lamberson, from the law
14	firm of White & Case, for Patent Owner, StratosAudio. And
15	with me today is Hallie Kiernan and John Scheibeler, from
16	the same firm.
17	JUDGE ARBES: Per the Trial Hearing Order, the
18	parties will each have 60 minutes of total time to present
19	arguments. Again, we'll follow the same order and
20	procedures.
21	Any questions from the parties before we begin?
22	MR. RICHARDSON: No, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE ARBES: Counsel for Petitioner, you may
24	proceed. And would you like to reserve time for rebuttal?
25	MR. RICHARDSON: 20 minutes again, Your Honor.
26	Thank you.



1	Good afternoon, Your Honors. As just mentioned,
2	this portion of today, we're going to be discussing the
3	'081 Patent, which again is assigned to StratosAudio. In
4	this particular instance, the '081 Patent is a
5	continuation of the '405 Patent that we discussed for the
6	last couple hours today. So the concepts that we
7	introduced in the previous proceeding are the same
8	concepts that are introduced here. Namely, both patents
9	are directed towards a media enhancement system configured
10	to associate a secondary media signal to a primary media
11	signal.
12	And the key difference here between the
13	challenged claims of the '081 Patent and the claims of the
14	'405 Patent that we previously discussed is that the '081
15	Patent claims a system and the '405 Patent claims a
16	method.
17	With the claims here being directed to a system,
18	the claims now include a couple additional elements that
19	are going to be the focus of the majority of today's
20	presentation. Those elements are a first and a second
21	receiver module. They're configured to receive the first
22	and second media. And an output to output that media.
23	As we'll discuss here today, a majority of Patent
24	Owner's arguments in this proceeding are based on what we
25	believe to be faulty claim constructions for these two
26	system limitations Patent Owner's proposed construction



1	are not only unsupported by the specification of '081
2	patent, but are, in fact, directly contradicted. They are
3	directly contradicted by definitions provided for these
4	terms in the patent.
5	Specifically, it's Patent Owner's position that
6	the first and second receiver modules must be separate and
7	distinct, and that the output system must include a
8	different output on each of the separate and distinct
9	modules. So Petitioner strongly disagrees and disputes
10	Patent Owner's constructions, but there's two important
11	things to keep in mind as we go through today's
12	presentation. First is that Patent Owner never once in
13	any of its papers to date disputes Petitioner's prior
14	whether Petitioner's prior art references disclosed those
15	two modules and the output system when the plain and
16	ordinary meaning of those terms is applied, as Petitioners
17	have alleged and have set forth in their papers.
18	Number 2 is that Petitioner's prior art
19	references nonetheless disclose both of those
20	constructions for the term, module and output system. So
21	they disclosed it under the plain and ordinary meaning,
22	and also under the more narrow construction being offered
23	by Patent Owner.
24	So Patent Owner's claim construction arguments,
25	which again is going to be the foundation for the majority
26	of today's discussion, is not only incorrect but they



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

