

IPR2021-00721  
U.S. Patent No. 8,166,081

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

STRATOSAUDIO, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

IPR2021-00721  
U.S. Patent No. 8,166,081

---

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. BACKGROUND OF STRATOSAUDIO, INC. ....2

III. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION UNDER § 314(A) TO DENY INSTITUTION.....4

    A. *Fintiv* Factor 1 Favors Denial Because There is No Dispute that the District Court is Unlikely to Grant a Stay .....7

    B. *Fintiv* Factor 2 Favors Denial Because the District Court Trial Date is Prior to the Board’s Expected Date of Final Written Decision .....12

    C. *Fintiv* Factor 3 Favors Denial Because of the Substantial Investment into the VW Parallel Litigation by the District Court and Parties .....16

    D. *Fintiv* Factor 4 Favors Denial Due to the Extensive Overlap Between the Petitioner’s Invalidity Contentions in the VW Parallel Litigation and the Petition .....18

    E. *Fintiv* Factor 5 Favors Denial Because the Same Parties Are Involved In This Petition and the VW Parallel Litigation.....20

    F. *Fintiv* Factor 6 Favors Denial Because Other Circumstances, Including The Merits, Favor Exercising Discretion .....21

IV. GROUND 1 FAILS TO MAKE A THRESHOLD SHOWING OF ANTICIPATION FOR IMPROPER RELIANCE UPON THE SAME DISCLOSURE FOR MULTIPLE CLAIM ELEMENTS.....23

V. GROUNDS 1 AND 3 FAIL TO MAKE A THRESHOLD SHOWING OF ANTICIPATION FOR IMPROPER RELIANCE UPON POSITA KNOWLEDGE .....28

A.	The Board Should Give No Weight To The Expert Testimony Because The Expert Declaration And The Petition Are Near Copies.....	29
B.	The Board Should Deny Institution Because Petitioner’s Anticipation Grounds Lack Particularity .....	35
VI.	GROUND 2 AND 4 FAIL BECAUSE THEY CONTRADICT THE ANTICIPATION GROUND 1 AND 3 AND FAIL TO PROVIDE A SUFFICIENT CASE FOR OBVIOUSNESS .....	36
A.	Petitioner’s Grounds 2 and 4 Are Irreconcilable With Grounds 1 and 3, respectively .....	36
B.	The Petition Fails To Consider The <i>Graham</i> Factors In Grounds 2 And 4.....	38
C.	The Petition Fails To Provide An Adequate Reason To Modify or Combine and Reasonable Expectation of Success In Grounds 2 And 4.....	42
D.	Grounds 2 and 4 Fail to Provide a Sufficient Case For Obviousness Because They Are Heavily Dependent On The Expert Declaration, Which Should Be Given No Weight.....	45
VII.	THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION TO DENY INSTITUTION.....	49
A.	The Petition Fails To Show A Reasonable Likelihood That At Least One Of The Challenged Claims Is Unpatentable In Any Of The Asserted Grounds .....	49
B.	Even If The Board Finds That Petitioner Demonstrates A Reasonable Likelihood Of Prevailing With Respect To One Or More Claims, The Board Should Still Exercise Its Discretion To Deny Institution .....	50
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	52

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Adaptics Ltd. v. Perfect Co.</i> , IPR2018-01596, Paper 20 (PTAB Mar. 6, 2019) .....	35
<i>Albany Int’l Corp. v. Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc.</i> , PGR2021-00019, Paper 22 (PTAB Jun. 22, 2021).....	43
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) .....	passim
<i>Apple, Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00355, Paper 9 (PTAB Jun. 26, 2015) .....	39
<i>Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	23-25, 27
<i>Chevron Oronite Co. v. Infineum USA L.P.</i> , IPR2018-00923, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 7, 2018).....	50
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014) .....	39
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Egenera, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01341, Paper 10 (PTAB Nov. 13, 2017) .....	24
<i>Cisco Sys. Inc. v. Monarch Networking Solutions LLC</i> , IPR2020-01227, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 4, 2021).....	18
<i>Comcast Cable Comm’ns., LLC v. Promptu Sys. Corp.</i> , 838 F. App’x 551 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	23
<i>Corning Inc. v. DSM IP Assets B.V.</i> , IPR2013-00045, Paper 92 (PTAB May 9, 2014) .....	34
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016) .....	49

*Deeper, UAB v. Vexilar, Inc.*, IPR2018-01310, Paper 7 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2019) .....50

*E-One, Inc. v. Oshkosh Corp.*, IPR2019-00161, Paper 16 (PTAB May 15, 2019) .....13

*E-One, Inc. v. Oshkosh Corp.*, IPR2019-00162, Paper 16 (PTAB June 5, 2019) .....51

*Eli Lilly & Co. v. Sicor Pharms., Inc.*, 705 F. Supp. 2d 971 (S.D. Ind. 2010) .....28

*Engel Indus., Inc. v. Lockformer Co.*, 96 F.3d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....23

*Google LLC v. Personalized Media Commc'ns, LLC*, IPR2020-00722, Paper 22 (PTAB Aug. 31, 2020) .....20

*Google LLC, v. Uniloc 2017*, IPR2020-00115, Paper 8 (PTAB Mar. 27, 2020) .....12

*Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1 (1966) ..... 37, 39, 41

*Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.*, 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....49

*Hopkins Mfg. Corp. v. Cequent Performance Prods., Inc.*, IPR2015-00613, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 7, 2015) .....24

*HTC Corp. v. Cellular Comm'cns. Equip., LLC*, 701 F. App'x 978 (Fed. Cir. 2017) (unpublished) .....23

*Hunting Titan Inc. v. Dynaenergetics Europe GMBH*, PGR2020-00072, Paper 7 (PTAB Jan. 19, 2021) .....35

*In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig.*, 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....39

*In re NuVasive, Inc.*, 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016) ..... 42-43

*In re Stepan Co.*, 868 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....42

*Intel Corp. v. VSLI Tech. LLC*, IPR2020-00142, Paper 17 (PTAB Jun. 4, 2020) .....18

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.