

IPR2021-00716
U.S. Patent No. 8,688,028

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

STRATOSAUDIO, INC.,
Patent Owner

IPR2021-00716
U.S. Patent No. 8,688,028

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. BACKGROUND OF STRATOSAUDIO, INC.2

III. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION UNDER § 314(a) to deny institution4

 A. *Fintiv* Factor 1 Favors Denial Because There is No Dispute that the District Court is Unlikely to Grant a Stay7

 B. *Fintiv* Factor 2 Favors Denial Because the District Court Trial Date is Prior to the Board’s Expected Date of Final Written Decision12

 C. *Fintiv* Factor 3 Favors Denial Because of the Substantial Investment into the VW Parallel Litigation by the District Court and Parties15

 D. *Fintiv* Factor 4 Favors Denial Due to the Extensive Overlap Between the Petitioner’s Invalidity Contentions in the VW Parallel Litigation and the Petition17

 E. *Fintiv* Factor 5 Favors Denial Because the Same Parties Are Involved In This Petition and the VW Parallel Litigation20

 F. *Fintiv* Factor 6 Favors Denial Because Other Circumstances, Including The Merits, Favor Exercising Discretion20

IV. GROUND 1 FAILS TO MAKE A THRESHOLD SHOWING OF ANTICIPATION FOR IMPROPER RELIANCE UPON POSITA KNOWLEDGE23

 A. The Board Should Give No Weight To The Expert Declaration Because The Expert Declaration And The Petition Are Near Copies24

 B. The Board Should Deny Institution Because Petitioner’s Anticipation Grounds Lack Particularity29

V. GROUNDS 2 FAILS TO PROVIDE A SUFFICIENT CASE FOR OBVIOUSNESS BECAUSE THEY ARE HEAVILY DEPENDENT ON THE EXPERT DECLARATION, WHICH SHOULD BE GIVEN NO WEIGHT30

VI. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION TO DENY INSTITUTION.....35

 A. The Petition Fails To Show A Reasonable Likelihood That At Least One Of The Challenged Claims Is Unpatentable In Any Of The Asserted Grounds35

 B. Even If The Board Finds That Petitioner Demonstrates A Reasonable Likelihood Of Prevailing With Respect To One Or More Claims, The Board Should Still Exercise Its Discretion To Deny Institution36

VII. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT CONSTRUE ANY TERM, BUT IF IT DID, PLAIN AND ORDINARY MEANING SHOULD APPLY37

VIII. CONCLUSION.....39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES	
<i>Adaptics Ltd. v. Perfect Co.</i> , IPR2018-01596, Paper 20 (PTAB Mar. 6, 2019)	29
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020)	passim
<i>Chevron Oronite Co. v. Infineum USA L.P.</i> , IPR2018-00923, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 7, 2018)	36
<i>Cisco Sys. Inc. v. Monarch Networking Solutions LLC</i> , IPR2020-01227, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 4, 2021)	17
<i>Corning Inc. v. DSM IP Assets B.V.</i> , IPR2013-00045, Paper 92 (PTAB May 9, 2014)	29
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)	35
<i>Deeper, UAB v. Vexilar, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01310, Paper 7 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2019)	36
<i>E-One, Inc. v. Oshkosh Corp.</i> , IPR2019-00161, Paper 16 (PTAB May 15, 2019)	12
<i>E-One, Inc. v. Oshkosh Corp.</i> , IPR2019-00162, Paper 16 (PTAB June 5, 2019)	37
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Sicor Pharms., Inc.</i> , 705 F. Supp. 2d 971 (S.D. Ind. 2010)	23
<i>Google LLC v. Personalized Media Commc'ns., LLC</i> , IPR2020-00722, Paper 22 (PTAB Aug. 31, 2020)	19
<i>Google LLC, v. Uniloc 2017</i> , IPR2020-00115, Paper 8 (PTAB Mar. 27, 2020)	11

<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	35
<i>Hunting Titan Inc. v. Dynaenergetics Europe GMBH</i> , PGR2020-00072, Paper 7 (PTAB Jan. 19, 2021).....	29
<i>Intel Corp. v. VSLI Tech. LLC</i> , IPR2020-00142, Paper 17 (PTAB Jun. 4, 2020)	17
<i>Intel Corp. v. VLSI Tech. LLC</i> , IPR2020-00583, Paper 22 (PTAB Oct. 5, 2020)	6
<i>Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 23, 2014)	28, 34
<i>MED-EL Elektromedizinische Gerate Ges.m.b.H. v. Advanced Bionics AG</i> , IPR2020-00190, Paper 15 (PTAB Jun. 3, 2020).....	13
<i>NHK Spring Co., Ltd. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018)	37
<i>NVIDIA Corp. v. Tessera Advanced Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00708, Paper 9, (PTAB Sept. 2, 2020)	16
<i>Philip Morris Prods., S.A. v. RAI Strategic Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00921, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 16, 2020)	12, 19, 20, 22
<i>Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Continental Intermodal Group – Trucking LLC</i> , IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (PTAB Jun. 16, 2020).....	10
<i>SAS Inst. Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)	35
<i>Structural Rubber Prods. Co. v. Park Rubber Co.</i> , 749 F.2d 707 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	23
<i>Supercell OY v. Gree, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00310, Paper 13 (PTAB Jun. 18, 2020)	15
<i>Supercell Oy v. Gree, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-01628, Paper 9 (PTAB Feb. 17, 2021)	11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.