

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

EXPRESS MOBILE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 6:20-cv-00804-ADA

**DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S OPPOSED MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE
TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Pages</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
I. BACKGROUND	2
A. Judge Seeborg Has and Will Continue to Extensively Analyze the Asserted Patents	2
B. Google’s Witnesses and Documents Are Not in WDTX.....	3
C. Plaintiff Express Mobile Is Based in NDCA and Has No Ties to WDTX.	4
D. The Majority of Relevant Third-Party Witnesses Are Located in or Near NDCA.	4
II. LEGAL STANDARD.....	6
III. THIS CASE COULD HAVE BEEN FILED IN NDCA.	7
IV. NDCA IS CLEARLY MORE CONVENIENT THAN WDTX.....	7
A. The Conservation of Judicial Resources Weighs Heavily in Favor of Transfer.	7
B. The Other Private Interest Factors Also Favor Transfer.....	10
1. Cost of Attendance for Willing Witnesses Favors Transfer.	10
2. Relative Ease of Access to Sources of Proof Favors Transfer.....	11
3. Availability of Compulsory Process to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses Heavily Favors Transfer.	12
C. The Public Interest Factors Also Favor Transfer.....	13
1. Administrative Difficulties Flowing from Court Congestion Are Neutral.....	13
2. Local Interest Favors Transfer.	14
3. The Courts’ Familiarity with the Law and the Avoidance of Conflicts Concerning the Application of Foreign Law Factors Favor Transfer.....	15
V. CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Pages****CASES**

<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , No. 6:13-cv-364, 2014 WL 12570501 (W.D. Tex. June 11, 2014).....	9, 14
<i>Aventis Pharm Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA Inc.</i> , No. 6-469, 2007 WL 2823296 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 27, 2007).....	8
<i>Datascape Ltd. v. Dell Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 6:19-CV-00129-ADA, 2019 WL 4254069 (W.D. Tex. June 7, 2019)	15
<i>DataTreasury Corp. v. First Data Corp.</i> , 243 F. Supp. 2d 591 (N.D. Tex. 2003)	8
<i>Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 6:12-CV-00783, 2013 WL 8360309 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 27, 2013).....	14
<i>Express Mobile, Inc. v. Code & Theory LLC</i> , No. 18-cv-04679-RS	2
<i>Express Mobile, Inc. v. Facebook Inc.</i> , 6:20-cv-00803-ADA (filed Jan. 5, 2021).....	9
<i>Express Mobile, Inc. v. Pantheon Sys., Inc.</i> , Case No. 18-cv-04688-RS, 2019 WL 477639 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 29, 2019).....	2
<i>Express Mobile, Inc. v. Svanaco, Inc.</i> , No. 2:17-cv-00130-JRG-RSP, 2018 WL 746472 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 7, 2018).....	1
<i>Express Mobile, Inc. v. Wix.com, Ltd. et al.</i> , No. 3:19-cv-06559-RS (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2019)	3
<i>Front Row Techs., LLC v. MLB Advanced Media, L.P.</i> , No. 12-1639, 2012 WL 12044383 (N.D. Tex. Dec. 17, 2012)	8
<i>Hammond Dev. Int’l, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00355-ADA, 2020 WL 6136783 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 30, 2020).....	11
<i>In re Acer Am. Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010), <i>as amended</i> (Jan. 13, 2011).....	14
<i>In re Adobe Inc.</i> , 823 F. App’x 929 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	10, 13, 14
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , 818 F. App’x 1001 (Fed. Cir. June 16, 2020).....	7

In re Apple Inc.,
979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020)..... 10, 11, 14, 15

In re Apple, Inc.,
581 F. App'x 886 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 13

In re Genentech, Inc.,
566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 10, 11

In re Hoffmann-La Roche Inc.,
587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 15

In re HP Inc.,
826 F. App'x 899 (Fed. Cir. 2020) 14

In re Nintendo Co.,
589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 6, 12

In re Rembrandt Techs. LP Patent Litig.,
899 F.3d 1254 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 5

In re Toyota Motor Corp.,
747 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 6, 10

In re Volkswagen AG,
371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004) 10

In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.,
545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008) passim

In re Zimmer Holdings Inc.,
609 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 15

InMotion Imagery Techs., LLC v. Imation Corp.,
No. 2:12-CV-298-JRG, 2013 WL 1279055 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 26, 2013)..... 14

Jackson v. Intel Corp.,
No. 2:08-cv-154, 2009 WL 749305 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 19, 2009) 8

O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc.,
No. 2:04-cv-359, 2006 WL 887391 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 28, 2006) 8

Regents of the Univ. of Cal. v. Eli Lilly & Co.,
119 F.3d 1559 (Fed. Cir. 1997)..... 6

Shopify (USA), Inc. v. Express Mobile, Inc.,
No. 19-MC-80251-TSH, 2019 WL 5893235 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2019)..... 5, 13

<i>Shopify Inc. v. Express Mobile, Inc.</i> , No. 19-cv-439-RGA, 2020 WL 3432531 (D. Del. June 23, 2020).....	1
<i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 649 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	5
<i>Uniloc USA Inc. v. Box, Inc.</i> , No. 1:17-cv-754-LY, 2018 WL 2729202 (W.D. Tex. June 6, 2018)	7
<i>VLSI Tech. LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. 6:19-CV-00254-ADA, 2019 WL 8013949 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 7, 2019).....	10
<i>X.Commerce, Inc. v. Express Mobile, Inc.</i> , No. 17-CV-02605-RS, 2018 WL 10704439 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2018).....	2
<u>STATUTES</u>	
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).....	7
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)	1, 6, 10
<u>RULES</u>	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.