

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Michael J. Koss, et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 10,469,934 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0018IP2
Issue Date: November 5, 2019
Appl. Serial No.: 16/375,879
Filing Date: April 5, 2019
Title: SYSTEM WITH WIRELESS EARPHONES

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 10,469,934 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§311–319, 37 C.F.R. §42**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	1
	A. Grounds for Standing	1
	B. Challenge Under 42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....	1
III.	'934 PATENT	3
	A. Overview	3
	B. Claim Construction	4
IV.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	5
	A. [GROUND 1A] – Obviousness over Haupt and Seshadri	5
	1. Overview of Haupt.....	5
	2. Overview of Seshadri.....	7
	3. Haupt modified by Seshadri.....	8
	B. [GROUND 1B] – Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, and Paulson...35	
	1. Haupt and Seshadri Modified By Paulson.....	35
	C. [GROUND 1C] – Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, and Rao	42
	1. Haupt and Seshadri in View of Rao.....	42
	D. [GROUND 1D] – Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, Rao, and Paulson	51
	E. [GROUND 1E] – Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, Rao, and Rosener	51
	1. Overview of Rosener	51
	2. Haupt, Seshadri, and Rao in view of Rosener	51

F.	[GROUND 1F] – Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, Rao, Rosener, and Paulson.....	67
V.	DISCRETION UNDER §314(a) SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION	68
A.	The <i>General Plastic</i> Factors Favor Institution.....	68
B.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Factors Favor Institution	70
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	75
VII.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	76
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	76
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	76
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	77
D.	Service Information.....	77

EXHIBITS

- APPLE-1001 U.S. Patent No. 10,469,934 to Koss, et al. (“the ’934 patent”)
- APPLE-1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’934 patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- APPLE-1003 Declaration of Jeremy R. Cooperstock
- APPLE-1004 Certified English-language translation of WIPO PCT App. Pub. No. WO 2006/042749 to Haupt *et al.* (“Haupt”)
- APPLE-1005 U.S. Patent No. 8,401,219 to Hankey et al. (“Hankey”)
- APPLE-1006 [RESERVED]
- APPLE-1007 U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2006/0166716 to Seshadri *et al.* (“Seshadri”)
- APPLE-1008 U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2008/0076489 to Rosener *et al.* (“Rosener”)
- APPLE-1009 U.S. Pat. No. 7,881,745 to Rao *et al.* (“Rao”)
- APPLE-1010 U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2006/0026304 to Price *et al.* (“Price”)
- APPLE-1011 U.S. Pat. No. 7,551,940 to Paulson *et al.* (“Paulson”)
- APPLE-1012 U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2008/0052698 to Olson *et al.* (“Olson”)
- APPLE-1013 U.S. Pat. App. No. 2005/0037818 to Seshadri *et al.* (“Seshadri-818”)
- APPLE-1014 Plaintiff KOSS Corporations’ Preliminary Infringement Contentions, *KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc.*, 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)

- APPLE-1015 Example Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case
- APPLE-1016 Agreed [Proposed] Scheduling Order, *KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc.*, 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)
- APPLE-1017 Katie Buehler, "Texas Patent Trials Halted Due to COVID-19 Spike," Law360, available at <https://www.law360.com/ip/articles/1330855/texas-patent-trials-halted-due-to-covid-19-spike>.
- APPLE-1018 Scott McKeown, District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB Discretionary Denials, available at <https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-afterptab-discretionary-denials/> (Jul. 24, 2020)
- APPLE-1019 Amended Agreed Scheduling Order, *Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.*, Civil Action No. A-19-CV-1238 (WDTX)
- APPLE-1020 Letter from Michael Pieja to Darlene F. Ghavimi re Conditional Stipulation dated February 26, 2021
- APPLE-1021 Constantine A. Balanis, *Antenna Theory: A Review*, 80 Proceedings of the IEEE 7 (1992)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.