

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Michael J. Koss, et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 10,298,451 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0020IP2
Issue Date: May 21, 2019
Appl. Serial No.: 16/057,360
Filing Date: August 7, 2018
Title: CONFIGURING WIRELESS DEVICES FOR A WIRELESS IN-
FRASTRUCTURE NETWORK

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 10,298,451 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§311-319, 37 C.F.R. §42**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104.....	1
A.	Standing.....	1
B.	Challenge and Relief Requested	2
III.	'451 PATENT.....	6
A.	Overview	6
B.	Claim Construction	8
IV.	DISCRETION UNDER § 314(a) SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION	8
A.	The <i>General Plastic</i> Factors Favor Institution.....	8
1.	Relevant Facts.....	8
2.	The <i>General Plastic</i> Factors Support Institution	9
B.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Factors Also Favor Institution.....	11
1.	Stay.....	11
2.	Uncertain District Court Schedule.....	11
3.	Early Stage of Parallel Proceedings.....	14
4.	The Petition Raises Unique Issues.....	16
5.	Petitioner’s Involvement in the Texas Litigation	16
6.	Other Considerations	17
V.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	17
A.	[GROUND 1A] – Scherzer And Subramaniam Render Claims 1, 6, 12, 13, And 16-20 Obvious	17
1.	Scherzer.....	17

4.	Claim 1	36
5.	Claims 6, 12, 13, 16, 17	51
6.	Claim 18-20.....	57
B.	[GROUND 1B] – Scherzer, Subramaniam, and Baxter Renders Claims 2, 7-10, And 21 Obvious.....	58
1.	Baxter	58
2.	Scherzer-Subramaniam-Baxter Combination	60
C.	[GROUND 1C] –Scherzer, Subramaniam, and Drader Renders Claims 3 And 4 Obvious.....	69
1.	Drader.....	69
2.	Scherzer-Subramaniam-Drader Combination.....	70
D.	[GROUND 1D] – Scherzer, Subramaniam, and Ramey Renders Claim 5 Obvious	72
1.	Ramey	72
2.	Scherzer-Subramaniam-Ramey Combination	73
E.	[GROUND 1E] – Scherzer, Subramaniam, and Montemurro Renders Claims 11 And 15 Obvious	74
F.	[GROUND 1F] – Scherzer, Subramaniam, and Gupta Renders Claim 14 Obvious	79

EXHIBITS

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,298,451 to Koss, et al. (“the ’451 patent”)
APPLE-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’451 patent (“the Prosecution History”)
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Dr. Jeremy Cooperstock
APPLE-1004	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2007/0033197 (“Scherzer”)
APPLE-1005	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2011/0289229 (“Subramaniam”)
APPLE-1006	U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 61/331,459 (“’459 Provisional”)
APPLE-1007	U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 60/728,918 (“’918 Provisional”)
APPLE-1008	U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 60/687,463 (“’463 Provisional”)
APPLE-1009	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2007/0245028 (“Baxter”)
APPLE-1010	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2011/0025879 (“Drader”)
APPLE-1011	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2010/0307916 (“Ramey”)
APPLE-1012	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2010/0165879 (“Gupta”)
APPLE-1013	U.S. Pat. No. 9,949,305 (“Montemurro”)
APPLE-1014	U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 61/248,328 (“’328 Provisional”)
APPLE-1015	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2002/0131404 (“Mehta”)
APPLE-1016	Plaintiff KOSS Corporations’ Preliminary Infringement Contentions, <i>KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc.</i> , 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)
APPLE-1017	Example Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case

- APPLE-1018 Agreed [Proposed] Scheduling Order, *KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc.*, 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)
- APPLE-1019 Katie Buehler, “Texas Patent Trials Halted Due to COVID-19 Spike,” Law360, available at <https://www.law360.com/ip/articles/1330855/texas-patent-trials-halted-due-to-covid-19-spike>.
- APPLE-1020 Scott McKeown, *District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB Discretionary Denials*, available at <https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-after-ptab-discretionary-denials/> (Jul. 24, 2020)
- APPLE-1021 Agreed Amended Scheduling Order, *Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.*, Civil Action No. A-19-CV-1238 (WDTX)
- APPLE-1022 Letter from Michael Pieja to Darlene F. Ghavimi re Conditional Stipulation dated 3/7/2021

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.