

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BOSE CORPORATION
Petitioner,

v.

KOSS CORPORATION
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00680
Patent No. 10,469,934

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1 IS UNPATENTABLE | 2 |
| A. | GROUND 1A: SCHRAGER-GOLDSTEIN..... | 2 |
| B. | GROUND 2A: REZVANI-REZVANI-SKULLEY-HIND | 5 |
| 1. | Hind Discloses Firmware Upgrades | 5 |
| 2. | Rezvani-875’s Figure 2 Discloses the Headset’s Components | 6 |
| II. | THE SIGNAL STRENGTH CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE..... | 10 |
| A. | Koss’s Argument that Harada Fails to Disclose the Signal- Strength Limitation Ignores Harada’s Key Disclosures | 10 |
| B. | Koss Fails to Rebut the Reasons for the Harada-Based Combinations..... | 14 |
| III. | THE DEPENDENT CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE | 15 |
| A. | Claims 34-41 Are Unpatentable..... | 15 |
| 1. | Grounds 1A, 1C | 20 |
| 2. | Grounds 2C-2D..... | 20 |
| B. | The DSP Claims Are Unpatentable..... | 22 |
| 1. | Schrager-Goldstein Would Have Included DSPs for Sound Enhancement..... | 22 |
| 2. | Rezvani-Rezvani-Skulley-Hind-Oh Would Have Used Rezvani-875’s DSP to Enhance Audio Output..... | 24 |
| 3. | Implementing DSPs in True-Wireless Earbuds Required Only Ordinary Skill..... | 25 |
| IV. | KOSS’S COMMERCIAL SUCCESS ARGUMENT LACK NEXUS..... | 27 |
| A. | No Presumptive Nexus Because Koss Failed to Show that AirPods Are “Coextensive” with Claim 1 or 58 | 27 |
| 1. | Koss Failed to Establish that Either Claim 1 or 58 Covers AirPods | 28 |
| 2. | Koss Failed to Address AirPods’ Unclaimed Features..... | 30 |
| B. | Any Conceivable Nexus Has Been Rebutted..... | 31 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| C. | No Commercial Success for Dependent Claims | 32 |
| D. | Dr. Williams Had No Obligation to Address Evidence Koss Had Not Raised. | 32 |
| V. | KOSS’S CRITICISM OF DR. WILLIAMS IS MERITLESS | 33 |
| VI. | CONCLUSION..... | 34 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

| | |
|--|--------|
| <i>Apple v. AliveCore</i> , IPR2021-00970, Paper 10 (Dec. 8, 2021) | 34 |
| <i>BMW v. Carrum</i> , IPR2019-00903, Paper 24 (Oct. 9, 2020)..... | 3 |
| <i>Bradium v. Iancu</i> , 923 F.3d 1032 (Fed. Cir. 2019) | 3 |
| <i>Demaco v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988) | 27, 31 |
| <i>Eli Lilly v. Teva Pharms.</i> , IPR2018-01423, Paper 7 <i>aff'd</i> , 8 F.4th 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2021) | 28 |
| <i>EWP v. Reliance</i> , 755 F.2d 898 (Fed. Cir. 1985) | 13 |
| <i>Fleming v. Cirrus Design</i> , 2022 WL 710549 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 10, 2022) | 4 |
| <i>Fox Factory v. SRAM</i> , 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019) | passim |
| <i>In re Epstein</i> , 32 F.3d 1559 (Fed. Cir. 1994) | 14, 26 |
| <i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004) | 14 |
| <i>KSR Int'l v. Teleflex</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007) | 14 |
| <i>Lectrosonics v. Zaxcom</i> , IPR2018-01129, Paper 33Jan. 24, 2020)..... | 27, 31 |
| <i>Microsoft v. Synkcloud</i> , IPR2020-00316, Paper 43 (Jun. 14, 2021) | 28, 29 |

Ormco v. Align,
463 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2006)31

Syntex v. Apotex,
407 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2005)4

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(4).....9

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.