

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HP INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

LARGAN PRECISION CO., LTD.,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,988,796

Filing Date: December 13, 2013

Issue Date: March 24, 2015

Title: Image Capturing Lens System, Imaging Device and Mobile Terminal

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The '796 Patent.....	2
A. Prosecution History.....	7
B. Person of Ordinary Skill.....	7
III. Standing	8
IV. Grounds.....	8
V. Claim Construction.....	9
VI. Prior Art	10
A. U.S. Patent No. 9,097,860 (“Yu”).....	10
B. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0012861 (“Yamaguchi”)	12
VII. How the Challenged Claims are Unpatentable.....	15
A. Ground 1: Yu Renders Claims 1–11, and 15–25 Obvious.....	15
1. Claim 1	15
2. Claim 2: “The image capturing lens system of claim 1, wherein the fourth lens element has the object-side surface being convex in a paraxial region thereof.”	33
3. Claim 3: “The image capturing lens system of claim 2, wherein the focal length of the image capturing lens system is f , a focal length of the first lens element is f_1 , and the following condition is satisfied: - $0.25 < f/f_1 < 0.75$.”	34
4. Claim 4: “The image capturing lens system of claim 2, wherein the axial distance between the object-side surface of the first lens element and the image-side surface of the fourth lens element is T_d , and the following condition is satisfied: $0.8 \text{ mm} < T_d < 2.5 \text{ mm}$.”	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Page

5.	Claim 6: “The image capturing lens system of claim 2, wherein a curvature radius of the object-side surface of the second lens element is R3, a curvature radius of the image-side surface of the second lens element is R4, and the following condition is satisfied: $0.5 < (R3+R4)/(R3-R4) < 2.5$.”	35
6.	Claim 7: “The image capturing lens system of claim 2, wherein the focal length of the image capturing lens system is f, and the following condition is satisfied: $0.5 \text{ mm} < f < 2.0 \text{ mm}$.”	35
7.	Claim 8: “The image capturing lens system of claim 1, wherein the first lens element has a convex object-side surface in a paraxial region thereof.”	36
8.	Claim 9: “The image capturing lens system of claim 8, wherein the axial distance between the object-side surface of the first lens element and the image-side surface of the fourth lens element is Td, half of the maximal field of view of the image capturing lens system is HFOV, and the following condition is satisfied: $1.2 \text{ mm} < Td/\tan(\text{HFOV}) < 2.75 \text{ mm}$.”	37
9.	Claim 10: “The image capturing lens system of claim 8, wherein a sum of the central thicknesses of the first lens element, the second lens element, the third lens element, and the fourth lens element is $\sum CT$, the axial distance between the object-side surface of the first lens element and the image-side surface of the fourth lens element is Td, and the following condition is satisfied: $0.80 < \sum CT/Td < 0.95$.”	38
10.	Claim 11: “The image capturing lens system of claim 8, wherein an Abbe number of the first lens element is V1, and the following condition is satisfied: $45 < V1$.”	39
11.	Claim 15	40

..

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
12. Claim 16: “The image capturing lens system of claim 15, wherein an Abbe number of the first lens element is V1, and the following condition is satisfied: $45 < V1$.”	41
13. Claim 17: “The image capturing lens system of claim 15, wherein the focal length of the image capturing lens system is f, a focal length of the first lens element is f1, and the following condition is satisfied: - $0.25 < f/f1 < 0.75$.”	41
14. Claim 18: “The image capturing lens system of claim 15, wherein a maximal field of view of the image capturing lens system is FOV, and the following condition is satisfied: $80 \text{ degrees} < \text{FOV} < 110 \text{ degrees}$.”	41
15. Claim 19: “The image capturing lens system of claim 15, wherein the axial distance between the object-side surface of the first lens element and the image-side surface of the fourth lens element is Td, and the following condition is satisfied: $0.8 \text{ mm} < Td < 2.5 \text{ mm}$.”	41
16. Claim 20: “The image capturing lens system of claim 15, wherein a focal length of the second lens element is f2, the focal length of the third lens element is f3, and the following condition is satisfied: $f2/f3 < -0.75$.”	42
17. Claim 5: “The image capturing lens system of claim 2, wherein an f-number of the image capturing lens system is Fno, and the following condition is satisfied: $1.40 < Fno \leq 2.25$.”	42
18. Claim 21	49
19. Claim 22: “The image capturing lens system of claim 21, wherein a focal length of the second lens element is f2, a focal length of the third lens element is f3, and the following condition is satisfied: $f2/f3 < -0.65$.”	50
20. Claim 23: “The image capturing lens system of claim 21, wherein an Abbe number of the first lens element is V1, and the following condition is satisfied: $45 < V1$.”	50

...

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
21. Claim 24: “The image capturing lens system of claim 21, wherein the first lens element has positive refractive power, the focal length of the image capturing lens system is f , a focal length of the first lens element is f_1 , and the following condition is satisfied: $0.25 < f/f_1 < 0.75$.”	50
22. Claim 25: “The image capturing lens system of claim 21, wherein a maximal field of view of the image capturing lens system is FOV, and the following condition is satisfied: $80 \text{ degrees} < \text{FOV} < 110 \text{ degrees}$.”	51
B. Ground 2: Yamaguchi in View of Yu Renders Claims 1-11, 15-16, and 19-24 Obvious.	52
1. Scaling Yamaguchi is Obvious.....	52
2. Adjusting Yamaguchi’s F-Number is Obvious.	58
3. Claim 1	62
4. Claim 2	73
5. Claim 3	75
6. Claim 4	75
7. Claim 5	75
8. Claim 6	76
9. Claim 7	76
10. Claim 8	77
11. Claim 9	78
12. Claim 10	79
13. Claim 11	80
14. Claim 15	81
15. Claim 16	82
16. Claim 19	83
17. Claim 20	83
18. Claim 21	83

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.