

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TENNANT COMPANY,

Petitioner,

v.

OXYGENATOR WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00625

Patent RE45415

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. ARGUMENT1

 A. Grounds 1 and 7: Petitioner Failed to Prove That Wikey or Davies
 Inherently Creates Nanobubbles1

 B. Grounds 1 and 7: Petitioner’s Excuses Fail3

 C. Ground 1: Additional Reasons Wikey Fails to Anticipate Claim 13....8

 1. The District Court Correctly Construed “Flowing Water...
 Through an Electrolysis Emitter,” and Wikey Undisputedly
 Does Not Anticipate Under That Construction8

 2. Petitioner’s Alleged Reproduction Does Not Show Wikey
 Made Microbubbles, Much Less Nanobubbles10

 3. Claim 18: Wikey Does Not Teach a Method That “Uses
 Water Temperature as a Factor in Forming the Suspension” ...13

 4. Claim 21: Wikey Does Not Teach that the Bubbles
 Supersaturate the Water14

 D. Ground 7: Additional Reasons Davies Does Not Anticipate
 Claim 1315

 1. Davies Does Not Teach Electrodes Separated by 0.005
 inches to 0.140 inches15

 2. Davies Does Not Teach Amperage “No Greater than About
 13 Amps”17

 3. Davies Does Not Create Microbubbles, Much Less
 Nanobubbles.....18

 4. Davies Does Not Disclose a Flow Rate for its Straight-
 Through Embodiment20

5.	Davies Straight Through Embodiment Does Not Factor Water Temperature into the Process And Therefore Does Not Anticipate Claim 18	21
6.	Davies Does Not Supersaturate the Water.....	21
E.	The Obviousness Grounds in the Petition Fail.....	22
1.	Ground 2: Wikey and AFD.....	25
2.	Ground 3: Wikey, AFD, and the Treatises	26
3.	Ground 4: Wikey and Clark.....	26
4.	Ground 8: Davies and Hough	27
5.	Grounds 9-12: Davies and Erickson, et al.	27
6.	Ground 13: Davies and Schoeberl	27
7.	Ground 19: Davies and Peters.....	28
III.	CONCLUSION.....	28
	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT COMPLIANCE.....	30
	CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE.....	31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Atofina v. Great Lakes Chem. Corp.</i> , 441 F.3d 991 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	16, 17
<i>Colas Sols., Inc. v. Blacklidge Emulsions, Inc.</i> , 759 Fed. Appx. 986 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	6, 22
<i>Colas Solutions Inc. v. Blacklidge Emulsions, Inc.</i> , No. 2016-01031, Paper 38 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 2, 2017).....	18
<i>Crown Operations Int’l, Ltd. v. Solutia Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	8
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. National Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
<i>Fan Duel, Inc. v. Interactive Games LLC</i> , 966 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	3, 4
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 799 Fed. Appx. 838 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	19
<i>Henny Penny Corp. v. Frymaster LLC</i> , 938 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	22, 25
<i>Howmedica Osteonics Corp. v. Zimmer, Inc.</i> , 640 Fed. Appx. 951 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	4
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	4
<i>In re: Ikeda Food Res. Col., Ltd.</i> , 758 Fed.Appx. 952 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	13
<i>Realtime Data, LLC v. Iancu</i> , 912 F.3d 1368 (2019)	23
<i>Renishaw PLC v. Marpass Societa’ Per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	9

Tietex Int’l, Ltd. v. Precision Fabrics Group, Inc.,
IPR2014-01248, Paper 39 (P.T.A.B. January 27, 2016).....3

Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Drilling United States, Inc.,
699 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012)25

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1126

35 U.S.C. § 311(b)6

37 C.F.R. § 42.1075

37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b)22

37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....24

Other Authorities

PTAB Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (November 2019) 5, 22, 25

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.