UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
TENNANT COMPANY,
Petitioner,
$\mathbf{v}.$
OXYGENATOR WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.
PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE45,415



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABI	LE OF	AUT	HORIT	ΓΙΕS	iv	
TABI	LE OF	EXHI	BITS		vi	
	I.	PREI	LIMIN	ARY STATEMENT	1	
	II.	STA	ГЕМЕ	NT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	2	
		A.		Art		
		B.	Grou	nds of Invalidity	3	
	III.	STATE OF THE ART				
	IV.	THE '415 PATENT			7	
		A.	Over	view	7	
		B.	Critic	cal Distance	8	
		C.	Other	r Parameters May Vary	9	
		D.		ecution History		
		E.	Effec	tive Filing Date	15	
	V.	LEV		ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART		
	VI.	CLA	IM CC	ONSTRUCTION	21	
		A.		ography		
		B.		ict Court Claim Construction Disputes		
	VII.	CLAIMS 13, 14, AND 17-27 OF THE '415 PATENT ARE				
		UNPATENTABLE			23	
		A.	GRO	UND 1: Tremblay Anticipates Claims 13, 18-23		
			and 2	• •	23	
			i.	Summary of Tremblay		
			ii.	Independent Claim 13		
			iii.	Claim 18		
			iv.	Claim 19		
			v.	Claim 20	36	
			vi.	Claim 21		
			vii.	Claim 22	38	
			Viii.	Claim 23		
			ix.	Claim 25		
		B.	GRO	UND 2: Satoh Anticipates Claims 13-14 and 17-24		
			i.	Summary of Satoh		
			ii.	Independent Claim 13		
			iii.	Claim 14		
			iv.	Claim 17		
			v.	Claim 18		
			vi.	Claim 19		



	vii. Claim 20	48					
	viii. Claim 21	50					
	ix. Claim 22	50					
	x. Claim 23	51					
	xi. Claim 24	52					
	xii. Claim 25	52					
C.	GROUND 3: Tremblay and Satoh Render Claim 24						
	Obvious						
	i. Motivation to Combine						
	ii. Claim 24	54					
D.	GROUND 4: Tremblay Renders Obvious Claims 13, 18-						
	23, and 25 in View of the General Knowledge,						
	Experience and Common Sense of a POSITA, as						
	reflected in Wendt, Han, Glembotsky, and Burns	54					
	i. Wendt						
	ii. Han						
	iii. Glembotsky						
	iv. Burns						
	v. It was Within the General Knowledge and						
	Experience of a POSITA that Water Electrolysis						
	Produces Oxygen Bubbles with Sizes Smaller than						
	50 Microns	57					
	vi. It was Within the General Knowledge and						
	Experience of a POSITA that Bubbles with Sizes						
	Smaller than 50 Microns Have the Claimed						
	Properties	58					
	vii. Claims 13, 18-23 and 25						
E.	GROUND 5: Tremblay and Hough Render Claims 13,						
	18-23 and 25 Obvious	60					
	i. Summary of Hough						
	ii. Motivation to Combine						
	iii. Claims 13, 18-23 and 25	61					
F.	GROUND 6: Tremblay and Hough Render Claims 13,						
	18-23 and 25 Obvious in View of the General						
	Knowledge, Experience and Common Sense of a						
	POSITA, as Reflected in Wendt, Han, Glembotsky and						
	Burns.	62					
G.	GROUND 7: Tremblay and Satoh Render Claim 24						
	Obvious in View of the General Knowledge, Experience						



		and Common Sense of a POSITA, as Reflected in Wendt,			
		Han, Glembotsky and Burns	63		
	H.	GROUND 8: Satoh Renders Claims 13-14 and 18-25			
		Obvious in View of the General Knowledge and			
	Experience of a POSITA, as Reflected in Wendt, H				
		Glembotsky and Burns	63		
	I.	GROUND 9: Satoh and Aoki Render Claims 26 and 27			
		Obvious	64		
		i. Summary of Aoki			
		ii. Motivation to Combine			
		iii. Claim 26	65		
		iv. Claim 27	66		
	J.	GROUND 10: Satoh and Aoki Render Claims 26-27			
		Obvious in view of the general knowledge, experience			
		and common sense of a POSITA, as reflected Wendt,			
		Han, Glembotsky, and Burns	66		
VIII.	DISC	CRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT WARRANTED			
IX.	PAR	ALLEL PETITIONS	69		
X.	MAN	NDATORY NOTICES	69		
	A.	Real Party in Interest	69		
	B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	69		
	C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel	70		
	D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	70		
XI.	STA	NDING	70		
XII.	CON	CLUSION	70		
CERTIFICA	ATE O	F COMPLIANCE	72		
CERTIFICA	ATE O	OF SERVICE	73		



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page
Allergan, Inc. v. Apotex Inc., 754 F.3d 952 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	6
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020)	65
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (PTAB May 13, 2020)	66
Apple Inc. v. Maxell, Ltd., IPR2020-00199, Paper 11 (Jun. 19, 2020)	67
Genetic Techs. Ltd. v. Merial LLC, 818 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	31
Google LLC v. Uniloc 2017 LLC, IPR2020-00115, Paper 10 (PTAB May 12, 2020)	67
Hospira, Inc. v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC, 946 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	7, 9
Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc., 566 U.S. 66, 132 S.Ct. 1289 (2012)	31, 33
Oticon Med. AB v. Cochlear Ltd., IPR2019-00975, Paper 15 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2019)	66
Oxygenator Water Technologies, Inc. v. Tennant Company, No. 0:20-cv-00358-ECT-HB (D. Minn.)	68
Persion Pharms. LLC v. Alvogen Malta Operations Ltd., 945 F.3d 1184 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	6, 39
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	21
PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., 522 F 3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	17



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

