

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

KOSS CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2021-00600  
U.S. Patent No. 10,298,451

---

**PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. KOSS’S UNREBUTTED POSITIONS .....	2
A. McAlexander’s Testimony Regarding a POSITA’s Knowledge of Security Features and MAC Address Filtering Is Unrebutted .....	2
B. Apple Argued Against “Teaching Away” Arguments Instead of Koss’s “References As a Whole” Arguments .....	5
C. Problems With The Examples Were Ignored.....	6
1. Scherzer Is Superfluous to the First Example.....	6
2. A “Snowball-Effect” Results In Widespread, Unfettered Dissemination of Scherzer’s Access Credentials .....	7
3. The Combination Creates a Disincentive to Register with Scherzer’s System .....	9
D. Apple Did Not Rehabilitate Cooperstock’s Credibility .....	10
III. FATAL FLAWS IN APPLE’S COUNTERARGUMENTS.....	12
A. Scherzer’s UCAs are Not Limited to Specific Embodiments.....	13
1. Scherzer’s Use of “In Some Embodiments” Does Not Mean UCAs Are Optional.....	13
2. Exclusion of Scherzer’s UCA Functionality Is Not “Fair” and “Equitable” .....	15
3. Incorporating Scherzer’s Teachings Related to UCAs Is Not Improper Bodily Incorporation .....	16
B. “Unfettered Dissemination of Access Credentials” Results From The Combination .....	16
C. Scherzer and Subramaniam Are Disparate Systems .....	17
D. Koss’s Alternative Is Preferable.....	18

:

IV. THE EVOLUTION OF APPLE’S COMBINATION .....	19
A. Initial Combination.....	20
B. Revised Combination .....	20
C. Revised Combination Exposes Inconsistencies .....	22
V. APPLE’S ATTEMPTS TO DISPEL EVIDENCE OF HINDSIGHT ARE INSUFFICIENT.....	23
VI. COMMERCIAL SUCCESS.....	25
VII. CONCLUSION .....	28

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	5
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Lic. Ltd.</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	27
<i>FanDuel, Inc. v. Interactive Games LLC</i> , 966 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2020) .....	21
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , 944 F.3d 1366, 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	26
<i>In re Huang</i> , 100 F.3d 135, 140 (Fed. Cir. 1996) .....	26
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	25
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	24
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	10
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	7
<i>Rembrandt Wireless Tech., LP v. Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd.</i> , 853 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	5
<i>TriVascular, Inc. v. Samuels</i> , 812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	10

**EXHIBIT LISTING**

<b>EXHIBIT NO.</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
KOSS-2001	Docket Report, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA (W.D. Tex.) (accessed June 15, 2021)
KOSS-2002	Joint Claim Construction Statement, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 68 (W.D. Tex. April 14, 2021)
KOSS-2003	Docket Report, <i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , Case No. 4:20-cv-05504-JST (N.D. Cal.) (accessed June 15, 2021)
KOSS-2004	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Transfer, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA. Dkt. 76 (redacted/public version) (W.D. Tex. April 22, 2021)
KOSS-2005	Order Granting Motion to Transfer, <i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , Case No. 4:20-cv-05504-JST, Dkt. 72 (N.D. Cal. May 12, 2021)
KOSS-2006	Joint Motion to Consolidate Cases, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 84 (W.D. Tex. June 8, 2021)
KOSS-2007	Order Setting Markman Hearing, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 58 (W.D. Tex. March 24, 2021)
KOSS-2008	Claim Construction Order, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 83 (W.D. Tex. June 2, 2021)
KOSS-2009	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Transfer, <i>Kerr Machine Co. v. Vulcan Industrial Holdings, et al.</i> , Case 6:20-cv-00200-ADA, Dkt. 76 (W.D. Tex. April 7, 2021)
KOSS-2010	Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case, W.D. Tex., Waco Division, Judge Albright, Feb. 23, 2021

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.