

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Michael J. Koss
U.S. Patent No.: 10,298,451 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0020IP2
Issue Date: August 7, 2018
Appl. Serial No.: 16/057,360
Filing Date: August 1, 2019
Title: CONFIGURING WIRELESS DEVICES FOR A WIRELESS
INFRASTRUCTURE NETWORK

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DR. JEREMY COOPERSTOCK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION4

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS5

III. INFORMATION CONSIDERED5

IV. RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS6

V. SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS6

VI. THE '451 PATENT7

 A. Priority Date.....7

 B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....7

VII. ANALYSIS7

 A. The Prior Art Makes Claims 1, 6, 12, 13, and 16-20 Obvious7

 B. The Scherzer-Subramaniam Combination Proposed in the Declaration.....8

 1. Scherzer’s Disclosure8

 2. The Scherzer-Subramaniam Combination Proposed in the Declaration11

 C. Koss’s Analysis of The Scherzer-Subramaniam Combination.....14

 1. Scherzer’s Account Acceptability Requirement And Associated Tracking Are Limited to Specific Embodiments.....14

 2. Scherzer Does Not Address Dissemination of Access Credentials.....17

 3. Subramaniam is Not Limited to At-Home Networks.....18

 4. Advantages of the Scherzer-Subramaniam Combination.....20

D.	The Scherzer-Subramaniam Combination Is Not Based On Hindsight.....	21
1.	Well-Known Concepts By the Critical Date	22
2.	Predictability Of The Scherzer-Subramaniam Combination	25
3.	Two Examples of the Scherzer-Subramaniam Combination	26
VIII.	ADDITIONAL REMARKS	33

1. I, Dr. Jeremy Cooperstock, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

2. I have been retained by Fish & Richardson, P.C., on behalf of Petitioner, Apple Inc. (“Apple”), as an independent expert consultant in this *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”).

3. I have been asked by Apple’s counsel (“Counsel”) to consider whether certain references teach or suggest the features recited in Claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 10,298,451 (“the ’451 patent”) (APPLE-1001). My opinions and the bases for my opinions are set forth below. My opinions are based on my education and experience.

4. I previously submitted a declaration in this proceeding that I signed on March 7, 2021 (“Declaration”; APPLE-1003), and I understand that the Declaration was marked as APPLE-1003. The Declaration contained my opinions and the bases for them. Since submitting the Declaration, I have considered the Board’s Institution Decision (Paper 9), Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 20), and the Declaration of Mr. Joseph C. McAlexander III (KOSS-2026) in support of the Response filed by Patent Owner, Koss Corporation (“Koss”). My opinions from the Declaration have not changed.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

5. My background and qualifications are set forth in the Declaration. I incorporate that section of my previous declaration here by reference.

III. INFORMATION CONSIDERED

6. In preparing this declaration, I have considered the materials discussed in this declaration, including, for example, the '451 patent, the references cited by the '451 patent, the prosecution histories of the '451 patent and the application from which it derives (including the references cited therein), various background articles and materials referenced in this declaration, and the prior art references identified in this declaration. In addition, my opinions are further based on my education, training, experience, and knowledge in the relevant field.

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,298,451 to Koss, et al. (“the '451 patent”)
APPLE-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '451 patent (“the Prosecution History”)
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Dr. Jeremy Cooperstock
APPLE-1004	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2007/0033197 (“Scherzer”)
APPLE-1005	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2011/0289229 (“Subramaniam”)
APPLE-1006	U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 61/331,459 (“'459 Provisional”)
APPLE-1007	U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 60/728,918 (“'918 Provisional”)
APPLE-1008	U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 60/687,463 (“'463 Provisional”)
APPLE-1009	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2007/0245028 (“Baxter”)
APPLE-1010	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2011/0025879 (“Drader”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.