IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FUNDAMENTAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

TCT MOBILE (US), INC.; TCT MOBILE (US) HOLDINGS, INC.; HUIZHOU TCL MOBILE COMMUNICATION CO. LTD.; and TCL COMMUNICATION, INC.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 1:20-CV-00552-CFC

EXPERT REPORT OF R. JACOB BAKER, PH.D., P.E., AS TO THE INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENTS

For the reasons discussed in this Report, which expressly includes the Appendices and Exhibits, in my opinion, all asserted claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid due to at least the reasons detailed in this Report. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

R. JACOB BAKER, PHD, PE

MARCH 15, 2022

Date



Exhibit 2030

Table of Contents

I.	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS				
	A.	Industry Experience			
	B.	Academic Experience			
	C.	Other Relevant Experience			
II.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES				
	A.	Claim Construction			
	B.	Invalidity	7		
		1. Anticipation	8		
		2. Obviousness	9		
		3. Written Description and Enhancement	10		
		4. Definiteness	12		
		5. Patentable Subject Matter	13		
	C.	The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art			
	D.	Priority Date			
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY AND ASSERTED PATENTS				
	A.	General Overview of the Technology			
		1. Configuration of a USB Network	16		
		2. Configuration of USB Connectors	19		
		3. USB Specification for Communicating Between Devices	20		
		4. USB Specification for Supplying and Drawing Power	27		
	A.	The '936 Patent Overview			
	B.	Prosecution History of the '936 Patent			
	C.	The '111 Patent Overview			
	D.	Prosecution History of the '111 Patent			
	E.	The '550 Patent Overview			
	F.	Prosecution History of the '550 Patent			
	G.	The '586 Patent Overview			
	H.	Prosecution History of the '586 Patent			
	I.	The '766 Patent Overview			
	J.	Prosecution History of the '766 Patent			



	K.	The '187 Patent Overview					
	L.	Prosecution History of the '187 Patent					
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION						
	A.	Agreed Constructions5					
	B.	Construction of Disputed Terms					
	C.	Preambles					
V.	INVALIDITY OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS BASED ON 35 U.S.C. §§ 102						
		AND 103					
	A.	Overview of the Prior Art References					
		1.	Matsumoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,904,488)	57			
		2.	Kerai (U.S. Patent No. 6,531,845)	60			
		3.	Dougherty (U.S. Patent No. 7,360,004)	61			
		4.	Yang (CN2410806Y)	62			
		5.	Shiga (U.S. Patent No. 6,625,738)	63			
		6.	Zyskowski (US Patent Application US20030135766)	65			
		7.	De Iuliis (U.S. Patent No. 7,766,698)	65			
		8.	Gilbert (U.S. Patent No. 6,357,011)	66			
	B.	Sumi	mary of Obviousness Grounds under 35 U.S.C. § 103	67			
It is m	• •		the claims in the chart below are invalid as obvious under 35 U.S.C.	(7			
	§ 103						
	C. D.	Invalidity of the '936 Patent					
	idity of the '111 Patent						
	E. Invalidity of the '550 Patent						
	F.	Invalidity of the '586 Patent					
	G.	Invalidity of the '766 Patent					
	H.	Invalidity of the '187 Patent					
VI.	INVALIDITY OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS BASED ON 35 U.S.C. § 112						
	A.	Indefiniteness 72					
	B.	Writt	en Description	80			
VII.	INVA	ALIDITY OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS BASED ON 35 U.S.C. § 101 100					
VIII.	CON	CLUSIONS					



APPENDIX A - List of Materials Considered

APPENDIX B - CV

APPENDIX C-1 - C-6 - Invalidity Analysis



- 1. I have been retained in this matter as an expert witness by Defendants TCT Mobile (US), Inc.; TCT Mobile (US) Holdings, Inc.; Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd.; and TCL Communication, Inc. (collectively "Defendants" or "TCL") as to validity of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,936,936 (the "'936 Patent), 7,239,111 (the "'111 Patent"), 8,624,550 (the "'550 Patent"), 7,834,586 (the "'586 Patent"), 8,232,766 (the "'766 Patent") and 8,169,187 ("'187 Patent").
- 2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at an hourly rate of \$615, which has been my standard rate at the time I was retained. My compensation in no way depends upon the outcome of this proceeding.
- 3. The opinions expressed in this Report are my own and are based on my personal knowledge, my education, experience, and training, and on my understanding of the information and documents referenced in this Report. In forming the opinions expressed herein, I have considered the materials listed in the attached **Appendix A** as well as my knowledge and experience based upon my work in this area as described below. I also considered, and incorporate here by reference to them, any other materials referenced in this report, the materials included in my List of Materials Considered that was attached to any of my previously served declarations related to any of the asserted patents addressed herein, and any other materials referenced in my declarations filed with petitions for *inter partes* review and requests for reexamination of the asserted patents.
- 4. I reserve the right to supplement or amend this Report after the receipt of any additional information or documents that I may receive after the date of my Report, or may be produced by Plaintiff, their experts (including their opening reports, rebuttal reports, or depositions), or third parties, or any other information that affects my opinions, including, but not



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

