

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

KOSS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

CASE: IPR2021-00592
U.S. PATENT NO. 10,469,934

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. PETITIONER FAILED TO SHOW THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE 1

 A. Claim 1 1

 1. The Board’s Decisions in the ’025 Patent IPRs Apply to this IPR..... 3

 2. The Evidentiary Record Reinforces the Board’s Decisions in the ’025 Patent IPRs..... 5

 B. Claims 7, 21, 30 and 45 10

 C. Claims 33-37, 39, 42-43 and 45-57 13

 1. Petitioner Ignored the SoC Disclosed by the ’934 Patent..... 13

 2. The Record Demonstrates that a POSITA Would Have a Unreasonable Expectation of Success Condensing the Claimed Components into a Small Form Factor 14

 D. Claims 37 and 39 17

 E. Claims 52-53 and 56-57 20

 1. Claims 56-67 22

II. COMMERCIAL SUCCESS 23

 A. Petitioner Provided No Evidence Refuting that the AirPods Products Possess All Elements of the Challenged Claims 23

 B. The Challenged Claims are Coextensive with the AirPods Products... 23

 C. Commercial Success of the AirPods Products is a Direct Result of Practicing the Challenged Claims 25

III. CONCLUSION..... 26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page(s) |
|---|----------------|
| Cases | |
| <i>u-blox AG and Japan Radio Co. v. Broadcom Corp.</i> , IPR2019-00737, Paper 38 (PTAB Aug. 28, 2020)..... | 22 |
| <i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , IPR2021-00546, Paper 10 (PTAB Sept. 7, 2021)..... | 2, 5, 8 |
| <i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , IPR2021-00626, Paper 10 (PTAB Sept. 30, 2021)..... | 2, 5, 8 |
| <i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , IPR2022-00053, Paper 10 (PTAB April 4, 2022) | 2 |
| <i>Chemours Co. v. Daikan Indus., Ltd.</i> , 4 F.4th 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2021) | 26 |
| <i>FOX Factory, Inc. v. SRAM LLC</i> , 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019) | 23, 24, 25 |
| Statutes | |
| 35 U.S.C. § 311(b) | 11 |
| Other Authorities | |
| PTAB Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019) | 11, 12 |

EXHIBIT LISTING

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|--|
| KOSS-2001 | Docket Report, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA (W.D. Tex.) (accessed June 15, 2021) |
| KOSS-2002 | Joint Claim Construction Statement, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 68 (W.D. Tex. April 14, 2021) |
| KOSS-2003 | Docket Report, <i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , Case No. 4:20-cv-05504-JST (N.D. Cal.) (accessed June 15, 2021) |
| KOSS-2004 | Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Transfer, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA. Dkt. 76 (redacted/public version) (W.D. Tex. April 22, 2021) |
| KOSS-2005 | Order Granting Motion to Transfer, <i>Apple Inc. v. Koss Corp.</i> , Case No. 4:20-cv-05504-JST, Dkt. 72 (N.D. Cal. May 12, 2021) |
| KOSS-2006 | Joint Motion to Consolidate Cases, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 84 (W.D. Tex. June 8, 2021) |
| KOSS-2007 | Order Setting Markman Hearing, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 58 (W.D. Tex. March 24, 2021) |
| KOSS-2008 | Claim Construction Order, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA, Dkt. 83 (W.D. Tex. June 2, 2021) |
| KOSS-2009 | U.S. Pub. 2008/0194209 A1 to Haupt et al. ("Haupt '209") |
| KOSS-2010 | Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case, W.D. Tex., Waco Division, Judge Albright, Feb. 23, 2021 |
| KOSS-2011 | Petition for <i>Inter Partes Review</i> , IPR2021-00255, November 25, 2020 |

| Exhibit | Description |
|-----------|--|
| KOSS-2012 | Petition for <i>Inter Partes Review</i> , IPR2021-00600, March 7, 2021 |
| KOSS-2013 | Defendant Apple Inc.'s Invalidation Contentions, <i>Koss Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:20-cv-00665-ADA (W.D. Tex.), Jan. 15, 2021 |
| KOSS-2014 | U.S. Pub. 2009/0029743 A9 to Lair et al. ("Lair") |
| KOSS-2015 | U.S. Pub. 2005/0136839 A1 to Seshadri et al. ("Seshadri '839") |
| KOSS-2016 | U.S. Pub. 2006/008388 A1 to Rothschild ("Rothschild") |
| KOSS-2017 | U.S. Patent 8,190,203 |
| KOSS-2018 | U.S. Patent 8,571,544 |
| KOSS-2019 | U.S. Patent 8,655,420 |
| KOSS-2020 | U.S. Patent 9,049,502 |
| KOSS-2021 | U.S. Patent 9,438,987 |
| KOSS-2022 | U.S. Patent 9,497,535 |
| KOSS-2023 | U.S. Patent 9,729,959 |
| KOSS-2024 | U.S. Patent 9,986,325 |
| KOSS-2025 | U.S. Patent 10,206,025 |
| KOSS-2026 | U.S. Patent 10,368,155 |
| KOSS-2027 | R. Davis, "Albright Says He'll Very Rarely Put Cases On Hold For PTAB," Law360, May 11, 2021 (www.law360.com/articles/1381597/print?section=ip) (accessed June 14, 2021) |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.