

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

SOLAS OLED LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2021-00591
U.S. Patent No. 7,868,880

EXPERT DECLARATION OF THOMAS CREDELLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND	1
II.	EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT BACKGROUND	1
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED	6
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS FOR ANTICIPATION AND OBVIOUSNESS	8
A.	Burden of Proof.....	8
B.	Claim Construction	8
C.	Anticipation.....	9
D.	Obviousness	10
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	11
VI.	BACKGROUND FOR THE '880 PATENT	13
A.	Flat Panel Displays.....	13
B.	Active matrix TFT arrays.....	15
C.	Active-matrix Organic Light-Emitting Diode ("OLED") Displays	16
1.	OLED light emission	16
2.	OLED circuit considerations.....	17
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE '880 PATENT	19
A.	Background of the '880 Patent	19
B.	Elements of the '880 patent	20

1.	Display Apparatus with Expanded Control.....	20
2.	Drive transistor and Storage Capacitor Reset	25
C.	The Prosecution History of the '880 patent	28
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	28
IX.	LACK OF ANTICIPATION OR OBVIOUSNESS	29
A.	Grounds 1/1a: Miyazawa (Claims 1–9, 11–14, and 25–32).....	29
B.	Grounds 2/2a: Morosawa (Claims 1–14 and 25–33)	49
C.	Ground 3: combination of Morosawa and Shirasaki (Claims 18–24 and 34–40).....	86
D.	Ground 4: combination of Morosawa, Shirasaki, and Koyama (Claims 24 and 40)	93
E.	Ground 5: combination of Morosawa and Hector (Claims 15–17)	95
X.	CONCLUSION	97

I. BACKGROUND

1. I have been retained by Patent Owner Solas OLED Ltd. (“Solas” or “Patent Owner”) to provide my opinions with respect to their Response to the Petition for Inter Partes Review in IPR2021-00591 (“Petition”) as to U.S. Patent No. 7,868,880 (“’880 patent,” Exhibit 1001), brought by Petitioners Samsung Display Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Samsung” or “Petitioners”). I have no interest in the outcome of this proceeding and my compensation is in no way contingent on my providing any particular opinions.

2. As a part of this engagement, I have also been asked to provide my technical review, analysis, insights, and opinions regarding the Petition and the supporting declaration of Dr. Miltiadis Hatalis (“Hatalis Declaration” or Ex. 1003) with respect to the challenged claims of the ’880 patent.

3. The statements made herein are based on my own knowledge and opinions.

II. EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT BACKGROUND

4. My complete qualifications and professional experience are described in my Curriculum Vitae, a copy of which can be found in Ex. 2002. The following is a brief summary of my relevant qualifications and professional experience.

5. As shown in my curriculum vitae, I have devoted my career to the research and development and product engineering of flat panel displays and materials/optics/electronics for flat panel displays and touch sensors. I have over 20 years of involvement in active matrix LCD R&D, starting in 1983 at RCA Labs and continuing at GE. I led the product development of active matrix LCDs for notebook computers at Apple in the early 90's and had close collaboration with many LCD developers in Asia. Later in my career, I made significant contributions to the design and implementation of new pixel architectures for LCDs and OLEDs while at Clairvoyante; both efforts involved TFT design modifications to achieve the desired goals of high pixel transmission and reduced circuit complexity. More recently, I have been involved in several patent litigation cases which required a detailed knowledge of TFT design, materials, and processing.

6. I am currently the President of TLC Display Consulting and split my time between technical consulting and patent litigation support.

7. I received my M.S. degree in Electrical Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1970, with an emphasis on Electro Optics and Solid-State Materials. I received my B.S. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1969 from Drexel University.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.