1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2		STERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION
3	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	*
4	VS.	* January 26, 2021
5	LG ELECTRONICS, INC., ET A	AL *
6	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., I	CIVIL 11C11011 110 . 110 20 CV 34
7		ALAN D ALBRIGHT, JUDGE PRESIDING RY HEARING (via Zoom)
9	APPEARANCES:	
10		Charles L. Ainsworth, Esq. Parker, Bunt & Ainsworth, P.C.
11		Tyler, TX 75702
12		Andres Healy, Esq.
13		Nicholas S. Crown, Esq. Susman Godfrey L.L.P.
14		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, WA 98101
15	For Defendant LG:	Elizabeth M. Chiaviello, Esq.
16		Winstol D. Carter, Jr., Esq. Thomas R Davis, Esq.
17		Morgan Lewis and Bockius LLP 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000
18		Houston, TX 77002
19	1	Collin W. Park, Esq. Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP
20		1111 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20004-2541
22	_	Robert T. Haslam, Esq. Covington & Burling LLP
23		3000 El Camino Real 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor
24		Palo Alto, CA 94306
25		



1 2		Eric T. O'Brien, Esq. Covington & Burling LLP 850 Tenth Street, NW, One City Center Washington, DC 20001-4956
3		Melissa Richards Smith, Esq.
4		Gillam & Smith, LLP 303 South Washington Avenue
5		Marshall, TX 75670
6		Andrew Thompson Gorham, Esq. Gillam & Smith LLP
7		102 N. College, Suite 800 Tyler, TX 75702
8		Jared Frisch, Esq.
9		Covington & Burling LLP One CityCenter, 850 Tenth Street, NW
10		Washington, DC 20001-4956
11	Court Reporter:	Kristie M. Davis United States District Court
12		PO Box 20994 Waco, Texas 76702-0994
13		
14	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript	
15	produced by computer-aided transcription.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



(January 26, 2021, 2:35 p.m.) 02:35 1 DEPUTY CLERK: Discovery hearing in Civil Action 02:36 1:20-CV-34, styled Ancora Technologies, Incorporated versus 3 02:36 LG Electronics, Incorporated, and others. 02:36 If I could have announcements from counsel 5 THE COURT: 02:36 02:36 starting with plaintiff. MR. HEALY: Thank you. This is Mr. Healy on behalf of 7 02:36 Ancora. 02:36 MS. CHIAVIELLO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 9 02:36 have Elizabeth Chiaviello from Morgan Lewis on behalf of LG. 02:36 10 With me I have Winn Carter, Collin Park, Tom Davis, and 02:36 11 02:36 12 observing from Morgan Lewis is Melissa Navin. 02:36 1.3 THE COURT: And who will be speaking today? 02:36 14 MS. CHIAVIELLO: Today you actually have me, Your Honor. 02:36 15 THE COURT: Well, what an honor. That's great. I -- it 02:36 16 certainly was worth coming into the office to get to do that. 02:37 17 So we have a couple of issues to take up. The first one we need to take up is defendant is seeking relief with respect 02:37 18 to the OTA update. I'm happy to hear from LG on that. 02:37 MR. HEALY: And, Your Honor, it's actually plaintiff 02:37 20 that's seeking relief, but I'm happy to go in whatever order 21 02:37 02:37 22 you like. THE COURT: Plaintiff's seeking relief and defendant is 02:37 23 24 opposing. I'm sorry. I got that backwards. 02:37 02:37 25 Happy to hear -- let me hear then from the plaintiff as to



02:37 1 what it is that you want, and I'll hear from the defendant as
02:37 2 to why you should not get it.

MR. HEALY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

And I know we have limited time so I'll try and be very brief.

Your Honor knows that we've raised the issue of the download statistics several times with the Court. We've been appreciative of the help that the Court has given us, including most recently ordering LG to produce some ESI and also make some certain witnesses available. And I say that only because at the most recent hearing Your Honor told us, look, go depose the witnesses. Find out if there is statistics to be had, if there are and LG won't give those to you, you know, come back to me and we'll talk about it.

And, frankly, that's the situation we're now in, Your

Honor. We deposed a number of LG witnesses. Two in particular

testified that LG retains OTA statistics of the kind that we're

looking for for at least two years. These are sort of detailed

OTA statistics.

And so they had those for at least two years.

And we also in the course of the ESI have located various documents that show, you know, they're called take-rate reports that were being sent by LG to various U.S. carriers that include similar information.

So we said to LG, "Look, your own witnesses, your own



3

5

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

02:37

02:37

02:37

02:37

02:37

02:37

02:37

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38

02:38 1 documents show that you have this data for at least two years.
02:38 2 Please produce that data at least to us."

LG said, "Well, why is it relevant, you know. If we go back two years from today, you know, we're outside of the infringement period," and we said, "Fair enough."

You know, again, our understanding is that LG is going to argue in this case, you know, that we have an obligation to show some -- provide information, you know, evidence with respect to the frequency of which the updates were actually installed or not installed. And so we said, "Look, you know, your position is you don't have any of this information, you know, back beyond December of 2018. If you're, nevertheless, going to make this argument, you know, we'd like whatever information you have so that our expert can look at it. He can compare it to the -- you know, the few examples of within infringing time period evidence we have and see if he can do some sort of analysis to come up with a historical take rate or historical OTA update rate."

You know, there's also publicly-available information that their expert's been relying on. So he just wanted to compare, you know, that general information with whatever specific information we could get and see if we can, you know, come up with the best evidence we can under the circumstances, you know, again accepting LG's representations at face value.

We also told LG: If you're not intending to make this



3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

02:38

02:38

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

02:39

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

