IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. and LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., Petitioners

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-00581 Patent No. 6,411,941

PATENT OWNER ANCORA'S SUR-REPLY OPPOSING PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR JOINDER

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Table of Contents

		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PETITIONER DOES NOT CONTRADICT PATENT OWNER'S SHOWING THAT THE <i>GENERAL PLASTIC</i> AND <i>FINTIV</i> FACTORS OVERWHELMINGLY FAVOR DISCRETIONARY DENIAL	
III.	THE BOARD HAS DISCRETION AND GOOD REASON TO DENY PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER	4
IV.	CONCLUSION	7



Table of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
Ex. 2001	RESERVED
Ex. 2002	RESERVED
Ex. 2003	RESERVED
Ex. 2004	Apple Inc.'s N.D. Cal. Patent L.R. 3-3 Disclosures
	(Invalidity Disclosures)
Ex. 2005	Defendants HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation's
	Preliminary Non-Infringement and Invalidity Contentions
Ex. 2006	RESERVED
Ex. 2007	Email requesting permission to file motion to terminate
Ex. 2008	Ancora v. Samsung Fourth Amended Scheduling Order
Ex. 2009	Expert Report of Suzanne Barber Regarding Invalidity of
	U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941
Ex. 2010	Ancora v. HTC Order Setting Patent Case Schedule
Ex. 2011	Samsung and LG Invalidity Contentions and Select Invalidity
	Charts
Ex. 2012	Ancora v. LG Affidavit of Service
Ex. 2013	IAM Article – Judge Albright Interview
Ex. 2014	VLSI v. Intel Jury Verdict Form
Ex. 2015	Ancora v. LG Rebuttal Report of David Martin



Table of Authorities

Page(s)
Cases
BMW v. Paice LLC, Case No. IPR2020-01386, paper 13 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2021)2, 3
Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Ancora Techs Inc., Case No. IPR2021-00583, paper 11 (PTAB Apr. 9, 2021)6
Shenzhen Silver Star Intelligent Tech. Co., Ltd. v. iRobot Corp., Case No. IPR2018-00761, paper 15 (PTAB Sep. 5, 2018)
Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp., Case No. IPR2020-01019, paper 12 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2020)4
ZTE (USA) LLC v. Seven Networks, LLC, Case No. IPR2019-00460, paper 18 (PTAB Jun. 6, 2019)4
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)
Other Authorities
PTAB Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019), https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated



I. INTRODUCTION

Instituting this proceeding and joining it with IPR2020-01609 would unnecessarily expend the Board's limited resources and prolong this latest serial challenge to the '941 patent. Petitioner does not refute that both the *General Plastic* and *Fintiv* factors overwhelmingly favor exercising the Board's discretion to deny this petition. The discretionary denial issues are now fully briefed and ripe for the Board's decision; the Petition can be denied immediately. The Board can alternatively wait until after Patent Owner files its preliminary response, on April 23, 2021, to evaluate the merits of the Petition.

Even if trial is instituted, the Board should deny Petitioner's motion for joinder in view of settlement in the original IPR2020-01609. The long history of challenges to the '941 patent justify denying joinder here. Delay while IPR2020-01609 waits for decisions in this proceeding also justifies denying joinder. That proceeding has languished since February. The delay will continue while this proceeding runs its natural course with the Patent Owner's preliminary response. This will set the IPR2020-01609 proceeding back by more than two months, even if the Board decides to institute on the day after Patent Owner's preliminary response. Petitioner cannot refute this fact, and the resulting delay would require the parties and the Board to expend additional resources to finish the proceeding before the statutory twelve-month statutory deadline on February 16, 2022.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

