

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**


VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,
Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00057-ADA

JURY VERDICT FORM


3/2/21
1235

10917761

JURY VERDICT FORM

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

As used herein, “VLSI” means VLSI Technology, LLC, and “Intel” means “Intel Corporation.” As used herein, “373 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,523,373 and “759 Patent” refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,725,759.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them as our verdict in this case:

I. LITERAL INFRINGEMENT

Directions – Question Nos. 1 & 2

In answering the Questions below, please check “Yes” or “No” for each listed asserted claim in the space provided.

Question No. 1: Has VLSI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Intel has literally infringed the following asserted claims of the '373 Patent? “Yes” is in favor of VLSI, and “No” is in favor of Intel.

'373 Patent

Claim 1: Yes No
Claim 5: Yes No
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
Claim 11: Yes No

Question No. 2: Has VLSI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Intel has literally infringed the following asserted claims of the '759 Patent? “Yes” is in favor of VLSI, and “No” is in favor of Intel.

'759 Patent

Claim 14: Yes No
Claim 17: Yes No
Claim 18: Yes No
Claim 24: Yes No

If you have selected “No” for any claim of the ’759 Patent listed in Question 2, please proceed to **Question No. 3** for those claims only.

If you have selected “Yes” for all claims of the ’759 Patent in Question 2, do not answer Question 3. Please proceed directly to **Question No. 4**.

II. **INFRINGEMENT UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS**

Directions – Question No. 3

In answering the Question below, please check “Yes” or “No” for each listed asserted claim in the space provided.

<p>Question No. 3: Answer the following question for each claim of the ’759 Patent for which you answered “No” in Question 2 above. <u>Do not answer and leave the form blank for any claim where you answered “yes” in Question No. 2 and found that there was infringement.</u></p>		
<p>Has VLSI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Intel has infringed the following asserted claims of the ’759 Patent under the doctrine of equivalents? “Yes” is in favor of VLSI, and “No” is in favor of Intel.</p>		
<p><u>’759 Patent</u></p>		
Claim 14:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 17:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 18:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Claim 24:	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Please proceed to **Question No. 4**.

III. WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

Directions – Question No. 4

In answering the Question below, please check “Yes” or “No” for each listed asserted patent in the space provided.

Question No. 4: Answer the following question for each patent for which you found at least one claim infringed in Questions 1, 2 and/or 3 above. Has VLSI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Intel’s infringement was willful? “Yes” is in favor of VLSI, and “No” is in favor of Intel.

'373 Patent: Yes _____ No

'759 Patent: Yes _____ No

Please proceed to **Question No. 5.**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.