

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION**

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS INC. and LG
ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-CV-0034-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-CV-0034-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**EXPERT REPORT OF SUZANNE BARBER REGARDING INVALIDITY OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,411,941**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	2
III. DOCUMENTS AND OTHER MATERIALS RELIED UPON.....	5
IV. RELEVANT PATENT LAW AND LEGAL STANDARDS	6
A. Date of Invention	6
B. Anticipation.....	7
C. Obviousness	7
D. Indefiniteness	10
E. Standard of Proof.....	10
F. Qualification as Prior Art.....	10
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	14
VI. RELEVANT FIELD AND LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	17
VII. OVERVIEW OF THE '941 PATENT.....	18
VIII. BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE '941 PATENT PROSECUTION HISTORY	26
A. First Office Action and Applicants' Response	26
B. Second Office Action and Applicants' Response.....	27
C. Third Office Action and Applicant's Response.....	27
D. Notice of Allowance	28
E. Patent Owner's Preliminary Response ("POPR") in IPR2020-01184.....	29
F. Litigation History.....	32
IX. OVERVIEW OF THE STATE OF ART AT THE TIME OF THE PURPORTED INVENTION OF THE '941 PATENT	32
A. Architecture of PCs at the Time the '941 Patent Was Filed.....	33
B. Computer Software and Programs at the Time the '941 Patent Was Filed	35
C. Software for PCs, BIOS, and BIOS Memory Areas at the Time the '941 Patent Was Filed	36
D. Symmetric vs. Public-Key Encryption Systems.....	40
E. Cryptographic Algorithms	43

F.	Unique vs. Pseudo-Unique Numbers and Keys At The Time The '941 Patent Was Filed	47
G.	Problems and Prior Art Solutions	52
X.	THE '941 PATENT IS NOT ENTITLED TO A PRIORITY DATE EARLIER THAN OCTOBER 2, 1997.....	53
XI.	OVERVIEW OF THE ARBAUGH PATENT	56
XII.	THE ARBAUGH PATENT IS PRIOR ART	61
A.	The Arbaugh Patent Is, On its Face, Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a).....	61
B.	The Arbaugh Provisional Shows the Arbaugh Patent Qualifies as Prior Art to the '941 Patent at Least as Early as October 2, 1997.	61
C.	Even Assuming the '941 Patent Were Entitled to a Priority Date Earlier Than October 2, 1997, Arbaugh Conceived of His Patent Before March 1997	72
XIII.	THE ARBAUGH PATENT INVALIDATES ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '941 PATENT	78
A.	Claim 1 is Anticipated or Rendered Obvious by the Arbaugh Patent	79
B.	Claim 2 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	104
C.	Claim 3 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	109
D.	Claim 6 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	121
E.	Claim 7 is Anticipated or Rendered Obvious by the Arbaugh Patent	122
F.	Claim 8 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	128
G.	Claim 9 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	129
H.	Claim 10 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	133
I.	Claim 11 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	135
J.	Claim 12 is Anticipated or Rendered Obvious by the Arbaugh Patent	136
K.	Claim 13 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	138
L.	Claim 14 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	139
M.	Claim 16 is Anticipated by the Arbaugh Patent.....	141
XIV.	OVERVIEW OF THE JABLON PATENT.....	143
XV.	THE JABLON PATENT IS PRIOR ART	147
XVI.	THE JABLON PATENT INVALIDATES ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '941 PATENT	147
A.	Claim 1 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	148

B.	Claim 2 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	164
C.	Claim 3 is Anticipated or Rendered Obvious by the Jablon Patent	166
D.	Claim 6 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	176
E.	Claim 7 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	178
F.	Claim 8 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	180
G.	Claim 9 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	182
H.	Claim 10 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	185
I.	Claim 11 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	186
J.	Claim 12 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	186
K.	Claim 13 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	187
L.	Claim 14 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	187
M.	Claim 16 is Anticipated by the Jablon Patent	189
XVII.	OVERVIEW OF THE CHOU PATENT (U.S. PATENT NO. 5,892,906).....	191
XVIII.	THE CHOU PATENT IS PRIOR ART	192
XIX.	THE COMBINATION OF THE ARBAUGH PATENT AND THE CHOU PATENT INVALIDATES ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '941 PATENT	192
XX.	THE COMBINATION OF THE JABLON PATENT AND THE CHOU PATENT INVALIDATES ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '941 PATENT	195
XXI.	OVERVIEW OF THE MIROV PATENT (U.S. PATENT NO. 6,138,236).....	197
XXII.	THE MIROV PATENT IS PRIOR ART	198
XXIII.	THE COMBINATION OF THE ARBAUGH PATENT AND THE MIROV PATENT INVALIDATES ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '941 PATENT	198
XXIV.	THE COMBINATION OF THE JABLON PATENT AND THE MIROV PATENT INVALIDATES ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '941 PATENT	200
XXV.	OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,153,835 TO SCHWARTZ.....	202
XXVI.	OVERVIEW OF “USING SECURE COPROCESSORS,” B. YEE, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, 1994	204
A.	Claim 1 is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	207
B.	Claim 2 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee.....	219
C.	Claim 6 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee.....	220
D.	Claim 7 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee.....	221
E.	Claim 8 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	221

F.	Claim 9 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	222
G.	Claim 10 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	223
H.	Claim 11 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	224
I.	Claim 12 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	224
J.	Claim 13 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	225
K.	Claim 14 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	226
L.	Claim 16 Is Rendered Obvious by the Combination of Schwartz and Yee	226
M.	Motivation to Combine Schwartz and Yee and/or POSITA knowledge	227
XXVII.	OVERVIEW OF THE CHRISTESON PATENT	239
XXVIII.	OVERVIEW OF THE HELLMAN PATENT	240
XXIX.	HELLMAN IN COMBINATION WITH CHOU OR CHRISTESON INVALIDATES CLAIMS 1, 2, 6, 7, AND 11-13 OF THE '941 PATENT AS OBVIOUS	246
A.	Claim 1 Is Rendered Obvious by Hellman in Combination with Chou or Christeson	246
B.	Claim 2 Is Rendered Obvious by Hellman in Combination with Chou or Christeson	258
C.	Claim 6 Is Rendered Obvious by Hellman in Combination with Chou or Christeson	259
D.	Claim 7 Is Rendered Obvious by Hellman in Combination with Chou or Christeson	260
E.	Claim 11 Is Rendered Obvious by Hellman in Combination with Chou or Christeson	261
F.	Claim 12 Is Rendered Obvious by Hellman in Combination with Chou or Christeson	261
G.	Claim 13 Is Rendered Obvious by Hellman in Combination with Chou or Christeson	262
XXX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	262
XXXI.	INCREMENTAL VALUE OF THE ALLEGED INVENTION OF THE '941 PATENT	266
XXXII.	CONCLUSION.....	271

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.