

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

BROADBAND ITV, INC. \* March 26, 2020  
\*  
\*  
VS. \* CIVIL ACTION NOS.  
\*  
AT&T SERVICES, INC., ET AL \* W-19-CV-712  
DIRECTV, LLC \* W-19-CV-714  
DISH NETWORK, LLC \* W-19-CV-716

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT, JUDGE PRESIDING  
TELEPHONIC SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Jeremiah A. Armstrong, Esq.  
Robert F. Kramer, Esq.  
Feinberg Day Kramer Alberti Lim  
Tonkovich & Beloli  
577 Airport Blvd., Suite 250  
Burlingame, CA 94010

Jack Wesley Hill, Esq.  
Ward Smith & Hill, PLLC  
1507 Bill Owens Pkwy  
Longview, TX 75604

Andrea L. Fair, Esq.  
Ward, Smith & Hill, PLLC  
PO Box 1231  
Longview, TX 75606

For Defendants AT&T & DirecTV:

Roger J. Fulghum, Esq.  
Baker Botts LLP  
One Shell Plaza, 910 Louisiana  
Houston, TX 77002

Timothy S. Durst, Esq.  
Baker Botts L.L.P.  
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600  
Dallas, TX 75201-2980

1 For Defendant Dish Network:

2 John P. Palmer, Esq.  
3 Naman Howell Smith & Lee  
4 P.O. Box 1470  
5 Waco, TX 76703-1470

6 Clement Seth Roberts, Esq.  
7 Alyssa Caridis, Esq.  
8 Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP  
9 405 Howard Street  
10 San Francisco, CA 94105

11 Court Reporter: Kristie M. Davis  
12 United States District Court  
13 PO Box 20994  
14 Waco, Texas 76702-0994

15

16

17 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript  
18 produced by computer-aided transcription.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (March 26, 2020, 3:42 p.m.)

03:42 2 THE COURT: Gentlemen, this is Alan Albright. Let me  
03:42 3 start.

03:42 4 Mr. Hill, are you going to be speaking on behalf of  
03:42 5 plaintiff?

03:42 6 MR. HILL: Yes, sir, Your Honor. I will be. And if I  
03:42 7 need assistance with something, Mr. Kramer may speak up as  
03:42 8 well.

03:42 9 THE COURT: Okay. And then I heard the warm, comforting  
03:42 10 voice of Robert Fulghum in there, which I haven't heard in way  
03:42 11 too long. Good -- good to hear from you and Mr. Durst again,  
03:42 12 and so welcome aboard. Mr. Fulghum, who will speaking on  
03:42 13 behalf of AT&T?

03:42 14 MR. FULGHUM: Judge, thanks for that warm welcome. And  
03:42 15 I'll be speaking for AT&T and DirectTV.

03:42 16 THE COURT: And then, Mr. Palmer, will you or Mr. Roberts  
03:42 17 be speaking?

03:43 18 MR. ROBERTS: I'll be speaking, Your Honor. This is  
03:43 19 Mr. Roberts.

03:43 20 THE COURT: Mr. Roberts, you are the first person I've met  
03:43 21 ever, I think, that has the same first name as my grandfather  
03:43 22 did. So I just -- you know, I look forward to having you in my  
03:43 23 court.

03:43 24 So I apologize. I'm going to -- did I get everyone who  
03:43 25 might be speaking? I hope so.

03:43 1           Okay. I'll take that as a yes.

03:43 2           I'm looking at my cheat sheet that Josh prepared for me,  
03:43 3 and it looks like in this case that if I'm correct -- good  
03:43 4 gosh. Let me see just what we've got here. I apologize.  
03:43 5 We've run over a little bit. So -- but what I have down here  
03:43 6 is that there is some desire to have early discovery on behalf  
03:43 7 of defendants; is that correct?

03:43 8           MR. HILL: Your Honor, Wesley Hill for the plaintiff.  
03:44 9 Actually, it's some early discovery on behalf of the plaintiff.

03:44 10          THE COURT: Oh, okay. Then I misread Josh's notes.  
03:44 11 Mr. Hill, if you would be so kind as to tell me what it is that  
03:44 12 you need.

03:44 13          MR. HILL: Yes, Your Honor. What we're interested in is  
03:44 14 pursuing some third-party document discovery related to the  
03:44 15 operation of the accused products. These would -- this would  
03:44 16 be material in the possession of third parties that we think  
03:44 17 that in a case where it was in possession of a defendant would  
03:44 18 be something that was responsive to their obligation to produce  
03:44 19 materials sufficient to show the operation of an accused  
03:44 20 product with their invalidity contentions.

03:44 21          In our case that material in some instances is going to be  
03:44 22 in the possession of a third party. There's five of them in  
03:44 23 particular. We provided a list of those to Mr. Yi before the  
03:44 24 call and had discussed those with the defendants. And our  
03:44 25 hope, Your Honor, was that we could take this third-party

03:44 1 document discovery regarding the technical operation of the  
03:45 2 product in parallel with the defendants also producing that  
03:45 3 information with their invalidity contentions or their portion  
03:45 4 of that information and then we would have the full set of  
03:45 5 information a plaintiff would normally have, pre-Markman,  
03:45 6 post-invalidity contentions, to prepare for the claim  
03:45 7 construction process and get ready to move forward with the  
03:45 8 case thereafter. And so that is the discovery we seek.

03:45 9 THE COURT: Okay. And tell me -- help me out just a  
03:45 10 little bit more about when you say you'd like third-party  
03:45 11 discovery. What -- are you talking subpoenaing documents, or  
03:45 12 are you talking depositions? What is it that you'd like to get  
03:45 13 done?

03:45 14 MR. HILL: Yes, Your Honor. We're looking at document  
03:45 15 subpoenas. So our focus is on the document subpoenas. Now,  
03:45 16 you know, obviously there could -- a situation could arise  
03:45 17 where a deposition became necessary, but in the first instance  
03:45 18 we're looking for document subpoenas. And if there was any  
03:45 19 bigger issue where a depo was necessary, we would raise that  
03:45 20 with defendant and the third party and of course come back to  
03:46 21 the Court if we couldn't resolve that by agreement.

03:46 22 THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from Mr. Fulghum.

03:46 23 MR. FULGHUM: To start with, Mr. Roberts is going to start  
03:46 24 for us.

03:46 25 THE COURT: Mr. Roberts.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.