

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC
Petitioner

v.

NavBlazer, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. – Not Yet Assigned
U.S. Patent No. 9,075,136

DECLARATION OF DR. MICHAEL S. BRAASCH

I declare that all statements made herein on my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

By: 

Michael S. Braasch, Ph.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Professional Background.....	3
II.	Relevant Legal Standards.....	8
III.	Technology Background.....	12
IV.	The '136 Patent.....	14
	A. Overview of the '136 Patent.....	14
	B. Prosecution History of the '136 Patent.....	16
V.	Level of Skill in the Art.....	18
VI.	Claim Construction.....	18
VII.	the challenged claims are unpatentable.....	20
	A. Overview of the Prior Art.....	20
	<i>i.</i> <i>Behr</i>	20
	<i>ii.</i> <i>Schreder</i>	21
	<i>iii.</i> <i>Suman</i>	23
	<i>iv.</i> <i>Hanchett</i>	24
	B. Proposed Grounds of Unpatentability.....	26
	C. Grounds 1-4.....	27
	<i>i.</i> Ground 1: <i>Behr</i> in view of <i>Schreder</i> renders obvious claims 23, 24, 35-44, and 51-54.....	28
	<i>ii.</i> Ground 2: <i>Schreder</i> in view of the knowledge of a PHOSITA renders obvious claims 55, 56, 60-62, 69-71, 75-77, 82, 86, 89, 91, 93-95, and 98.....	72
	<i>iii.</i> Ground 3: <i>Schreder</i> in view of <i>Behr</i> renders obvious claims 63, 66, 78, 79, 85, 96, and 97.....	90
	<i>iv.</i> Ground 4: <i>Schreder</i> in view of <i>Suman</i> renders obvious claims 64, 67, 80, 87, and 88.....	101
	D. Grounds 5-8.....	108
VIII.	Conclusion.....	112

1. I am making this declaration at the request of Google LLC (“Google”) in the matter of the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,075,136 (“the ’136 Patent”).

2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at my standard hourly rate of \$500 for consulting services. My compensation in no way depends on the outcome of this proceeding.

3. In preparing this Declaration, I considered all materials cited in the body of this Declaration, which includes but is not limited to the following:

- a. the ’136 Patent (Ex. 1001) and its file history (excerpts of the file history attached at Ex. 1002);
- b. Petitions for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,075,136 (“Petitions”)¹;
- c. U.S. Patent No. 5,808,566 to Behr, *et al.* (“Behr”) (Ex. 1004);
- d. U.S. Patent No. 5,504,482 to Schreder (“Schreder”) (Ex. 1005);
- e. U.S. Patent No. 6,028,537 to Suman (“Suman”) (Ex. 1007);
- f. U.S. Patent No. 5,396,429 to Hanchett (“Hanchett”) (Ex. 1008);
- g. U.S. Patent No. 6,161,002 to Migliaccio, *et al.* (“Migliaccio”) (Ex. 1010);
- h. Defendants’ Proposed Claim Constructions, dated October 2, 2020 (Ex. 1011);

¹ I understand that because of word-limit constraints two petitions were filed by Google, each challenging different claims of the ’136 patent. This Declaration is in support of both petitions.

- i. NavBlazer’s Proposed Claim Constructions, dated October 2, 2020 (Ex. 1012);
- j. French, Robert L., “Land Vehicle Navigation and Tracking,” Global Positioning System: Theory and Applications Vol. II, Volume 164 Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics (1996) (“*French*”) (Ex. 1014);
- k. Caskey, David L., “The Potential of Intelligent Vehicle Highway Systems for Enhanced Traveler Security,” 1993 International Carnahan Conference on Security Technology, Ottawa, Canada (October 13-15, 1993) (“*Caskey*”) (Ex. 1015);
- l. Inman, V., *et al.*, TravTek Evaluation Yoked Driver Study, Publication No. FHWA-RD-94-139 (October 1995) (“*Inman*”) (Ex. 1016);
- m. Blumentritt, C., *et al.*, TravTek System Architecture Evaluation, Publication No. FHWA-RD-94-141 (July 1995) (“*Blumentritt*”) (Ex. 1017);
- n. Kaysi, Isam, *et al.*, “Integrated Approach to Vehicle Routing and Congestion Prediction for Real-Time Driver Guidance,” Transportation Research Record No. 1408: Intelligent Vehicle Highway Systems (1993) (“*Kaysi*”) (Ex. 1018);
- o. Roozmond, Danko A., “Forecasting Travel Times Based on Actuated and Historic Data,” Transactions on the Built Environment vol. 30 (1997) (“*Roozmond*”) (Ex. 1019);
- p. Garnto, Ira W., System Performance Test Report from the Independent Evaluation of the Atlanta Driver Advisory System (ADAS) (September 1997) (“*Garnto*”) (Ex. 1020);
- q. Davies, Peter, *et al.*, “Standards for the Radio Data System—Traffic Message Channel,” SAE Technical Paper Series No. 891684, Future

- Transportation Technology Conference and Exposition, Vancouver, BC, Canada (August 7-10, 1989) (“*Davies*”) (Ex. 1021);
- r. IEEE Standard Dictionary of Terms (1999) (“*IEEE*”) (Ex. 1022);
 - s. Zavoli, W., *et al.*, “Map Matching Augmented Dead Reckoning,” IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (May 1986) (“*Zavoli*”) (Ex. 1023);
 - t. Umeda, Yukihiko, *et al.*, “Development of the New Toyota Electro-Multivision,” SAE Technical Paper Series No. 920601, International Congress & Exposition, Detroit, Michigan (February 24-28, 1992) (“*Umeda*”) (Ex. 1024);
 - u. Hirata, Toru, *et al.*, “The Development of a New Multi-AV System Incorporating an On-Board Navigation Function,” SAE Technical Paper Series No. 930455, International Congress and Exposition, Detroit, Michigan (March 1-5, 1993) (“*Hirata*”) (Ex. 1025);
 - v. U.S. Patent No. 5,592,470 to Rudrapatna, *et al.* (“*Rudrapatna*”) (Ex. 1026);
 - w. any other documents referenced in this Declaration.

I. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

4. I am currently a Professor with tenure in the School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at Ohio University.

5. I received my Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in Electrical Engineering from the Ohio University in 1988 and 1989 respectively. In 1992, I received a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering also from Ohio University. During that time, my post-baccalaureate and doctoral work focused on navigation systems.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.