

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Walter G. Mayfield, et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 10,589,320 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0031IP1  
Issue Date: March 17, 2020  
Appl. Serial No.: 16/698,223  
Filing Date: November 27, 2019  
Title: SYSTEM COMPRISING A PORTABLE SWITCHING  
DEVICE FOR USE WITH A PORTABLE ELECTRONIC  
DEVICE

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 10,589,320 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR—37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	1
A.	Standing—37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	1
B.	The Challenge and Relief Requested—37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	1
II.	SUMMARY OF THE '320 PATENT .....	3
A.	Brief Description.....	3
B.	Claimed Subject Matter .....	4
C.	Prosecution History.....	5
III.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL .....	6
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	6
V.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	7
A.	GROUND 1A—Gundlach and Lee render claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11 obvious.....	7
1.	Overview of Gundlach .....	7
2.	Overview of Lee.....	10
3.	The Gundlach-Lee Combination.....	12
4.	Element-by-Element Analysis.....	17
B.	GROUND 1B—Grundlach, Lee, and Nishikawa render claims 2 and 8 obvious.....	48
1.	Overview of Nishikawa.....	48
2.	The Gundlach-Lee-Nishikawa Combination .....	49
3.	Element-By-Element Analysis.....	49
C.	GROUND 1C—Gundlach, Lee, and Rosener render claim 11 obvious. 50	
1.	Overview of Rosener.....	50
2.	The Gundlach-Lee-Rosener Combination .....	51
3.	Element-by-Element Analysis.....	52
D.	GROUND 1D—Gundlach, Lee, and Brown render claims 3 and 7 obvious.....	53
1.	Overview of Brown.....	53
2.	The Gundlach-Lee-Brown Combination.....	54
3.	Element-by-Element Analysis.....	56
E.	GROUND 1E—Gundlach, Lee, and Mak-Fan render claims 4, 5, 10, 12, and 13 obvious.....	58
1.	Overview of Mak-Fan .....	58
2.	The Gundlach-Lee-Mak-Fan Combination.....	59
3.	Element-by-Element Analysis.....	62

F.	GROUND 2A—Gundlach, Lee, and Kim render claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11 obvious.....	65
1.	Overview of Kim.....	66
2.	The Gundlach-Lee-Kim Combination .....	66
3.	Element-by-Element Analysis.....	68
G.	GROUND 2B—Gundlach, Lee, Kim, and Nishikawa render claims 2 and 8 obvious.....	69
H.	GROUND 2C—Gundlach, Lee, Kim, and Rosener render claim 11 obvious.....	69
I.	GROUND 2D—Gundlach, Lee, Kim, and Brown render claims 3 and 7 obvious.....	70
J.	GROUND 2E— Gundlach, Lee, Kim, and Mak-Fan render claims 4, 5, 10, 12, and 13 obvious.....	70
VI.	DISCRETION SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION.....	71
A.	Relevant Facts.....	71
B.	The Advanced Bionics Test Favors Institution—§ 325(d).....	73
C.	The General Plastic Factors Favor Institution—§ 314(a).....	73
1.	Factor 1: Petitioner Apple and Samsung Are Separate, Unrelated Petitioners.....	74
2.	Factors 2 & 4: Petitioner Apple Filed This Petition Promptly Following Samsung’s Petition, After Searching Revealed the Applied Art.....	75
3.	Factor 3: This Petition Does Not Implicate Road-Mapping Concerns.....	76
4.	Factor 5: Petitioner Diligently Prepared Its Petition in the Intervening Month.....	76
5.	Factors 6 and 7: Institution would Efficiently Promote Patent Quality .....	76
6.	Additional Factor: Institution Denial would Potentially Prejudice Petitioner .....	77
D.	The Fintiv Factors Favor Institution—§ 314(a) .....	77
1.	Factor 1: Either Party May Request Stay .....	77
2.	Factor 2: With No Set Trial Date, The Board’s Final Written Decision Will Likely Issue Many Months in Advance of Trial....	78
3.	Factor 3: Petitioner’s Diligence and Investment in IPR Outweighs the Parties’ Minimal Investment in Litigation .....	79
4.	Factor 4: The Petition’s Grounds Are Materially Different From Any That Might Be Raised in Litigation.....	80
5.	Factor 5: Institution Would Promote Judicial Efficiency .....	81

6. Factor 6: The Merits of this Petition Strongly Favor Institution ..81

VII. PAYMENT OF FEES—37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	82
VIII. MANDATORY NOTICES—37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1) .....	82
A. Real Party-In-Interest—37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	82
B. Related Matters—37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	82
C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel—37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	83
D. Service Information .....	83

## EXHIBITS

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,589,320 to Walter G. Mayfield, et al.
APPLE-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '320 patent
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Dr. Jeremy Cooperstock
APPLE-1004	Reserved
APPLE-1005	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0132293 to Gundlach, et al.
APPLE-1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,548,040 to Lee, et al.
APPLE-1007	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2011/0117851 to Kim
APPLE-1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,631,811 to Brown
APPLE-1009	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2009/0124308
APPLE-1010	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0012706 to Mak-Fan, et al.
APPLE-1011	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/515,752
APPLE-1012	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/555,310
APPLE-1013	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/561,087
APPLE-1014	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/568,031
APPLE-1015	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/569,093
APPLE-1016	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/576,834
APPLE-1017	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/592,344
APPLE-1018	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/619,229
APPLE-1019	U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/661,090
APPLE-1020	<i>Wireless charging mats and receivers for your iPhone, iPod, BlackBerry and other devices, Powermat,</i> <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20110103055034/http://www.powemat.com/">https://web.archive.org/web/20110103055034/http://www.powemat.com/</a>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.