## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

GUI GLOBAL PRODUCTS, LTD., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00473 Patent 10,589,320 B1

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTI	RODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS 1				
II.	BACKGROUND					
	A.	The '320 Patent				
	B.		n Construction and the Level of Ordinary Skill in the	. 10		
	C.	Related Proceedings				
	D.	The	IPR Petition	. 13		
III.	THE PETITION FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM IS UNPATENTABLE 1					
	A.		The Challenged Claims are Not Unpatentable in View of Gundlach and Lee			
		1.	Overview of Gundlach	. 19		
		2.	Overview of Lee	. 21		
		3.	A POSITA Would Not Have Combined the Teachings Gundlach and Lee			
		4.	The Combination of Gundlach and Lee Does Not Teach Suggest the Requirements of Claim 1			
IV.		E OFFICE'S FRAMEWORK FOR HANDLING IPRs IS RUCTURALLY BIASED AGAINST PATENT OWNERS4				
V.	THE JUDGES HANDLING THIS CASE WERE APPOINTED IN VIOLATION OF THE APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE					
VI.	CON	ICLUS	SION	. 50		



# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

## **CASES**

Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019)
CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Intern. Corp., 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)
<i>DePiero v. City of Macedonia</i> , 180 F.3d 770 (6th Cir. 1999)48
Dugan v. Ohio, 277 U.S. 61 (1928)48
Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc., 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)
Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc., 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
Hartness Int'l. Inc. v. Simplimatic Engineering Co., 819 F.2d 1100 (Fed. Cir. 1987)
In re Gordon, 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984)
<i>In re Peterson</i> , 315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003)
In re Ratti, 270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959)17, 32
In re Warner, 379 F.2d 1011 (CCPA 1967)16
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)



550 U.S. 398 (2007)	16, 18
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	10
Rose v. Village of Peninsula, 875 F. Supp. 442 (N.D. Ohio 1995)	48
SAS Inst. Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)	16
Sawai USA, Inc. v. Astellas Pharma Inc., IPR2018-00079 (PTAB May 4, 2018)	10
Smithkline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex Corp., 439 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	10
Thryv, Inc. v. Click-to-Call Techs., LP, 140 S. Ct. 1367 (2020)	48
Tumey v. Ohio, 273 U.S. 510 (1927)	48, 49
Ward v. Village of Monroeville, 409 U.S. 57 (1972)	48
STATUTES	
5 U.S.C. § 7513(a)	49
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)	15, 44, 46
35 U.S.C. § 314	46
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)(2)	46



37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.108	46
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	44
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
112 Cong. Rec. S1375 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011)	15
Changes to the Claim Construction Standard for Interpreting Claims in Trial Proceedings Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, 83 Fed. Reg. 51,340 (Oct. 11, 2018)	10



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

