

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Mayfield et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 10,259,020 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0028IP1
Issue Date: April 16, 2019
Appl. Serial No.: 15/851,952
Filing Date: December 22, 2017
Title: APPARATUS FOR CLEANING VIEW SCREENS AND
LENSES AND METHOD FOR THE USE THEREOF

DECLARATION OF DR. JEREMY COOPERSTOCK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	5
II.	QUALIFICATIONS	6
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED	8
IV.	SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	12
V.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES	14
	A. Obviousness	14
VI.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	15
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE '020 PATENT	16
VIII.	GROUND 1A—Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10, 16, and 19 are obvious in view of Bohbot and Gundlach.....	19
	A. Overview of Bohbot.....	19
	B. Overview of Gundlach.....	21
	C. The Bohbot-Gundlach Combination.....	24
	D. Analysis of Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10, 16, and 19	28
	1. Claim 1.....	28
	2. Claim 2.....	60
	3. Claim 3.....	64
	4. Claim 5.....	67
	5. Claim 6.....	69
	6. Claim 7.....	72
	7. Claim 10.....	75
	8. Claim 16.....	80
	9. Claim 19.....	82
IX.	GROUND 1B: Claim 2 is obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, and Nishikawa.....	86
	A. Overview of Nishikawa	86
	B. The Bohbot-Gundlach-Nishikawa Combination	87
	C. Analysis of Claim 2	88

1. Claim 2.....	88
X. GROUND 1C: Claims 4, 18, and 19 are obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, and Li.....	89
A. Overview of Li.....	89
B. The Bohbot-Gundlach-Li Combination.....	91
C. Analysis of Claims 4, 18, and 19.....	100
1. Claims 4 and 18.....	100
2. Claim 19.....	102
XI. GROUND 1D: Claims 8 and 9 are obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, and Stevinson.....	105
A. Overview of Stevinson.....	105
B. The Bohbot-Gundlach-Stevinson Combination.....	108
C. Analysis of Claims 8 and 9.....	112
XII. GROUND 1E: Claim 10 is obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, and Rosener.....	113
A. Overview of Rosener.....	113
B. The Bohbot-Gundlach-Rosener Combination.....	114
C. Analysis of Claim 10.....	118
XIII. GROUND 1F: Claim 17 is obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, Stevinson, and Iio.....	120
A. Overview of Iio.....	120
B. The Bohbot-Gundlach-Stevinson-Iio Combination.....	121
C. Analysis of Claim 17.....	126
XIV. GROUND 2A: Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10, 16, and 19 are obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, and Diebel.....	127
A. Overview of Diebel.....	128
B. The Bohbot-Gundlach-Diebel Combination.....	129
C. Analysis of 1[f].....	132
XV. GROUND 2B: Claim 2 is obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel, and Nishikawa.....	133
XVI. GROUND 2C: Claims 4, 18, and 19 are obvious in view of Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel, and Li.....	133

XVII. GROUND 2D: Claims 8 and 9 are obvious based on Bohbot, Gundlach,
Diebel and Stevinson. 134

XVIII. GROUND 2E: Claim 10 is obvious based on Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel,
and Rosener..... 134

XIX. GROUND 2F: Claim 17 is obvious based on Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel,
Stevinson, and Iio..... 135

XX. CONCLUSION 135

I, Jeremy Cooperstock, of Montreal, Canada, declare that:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Fish & Richardson, P.C., on behalf of Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”), as an independent expert consultant in this *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”).

2. I have been asked by Petitioner’s counsel (“Counsel”) to consider whether certain references teach or suggest the features recited in Claims 1-10 and 16-19 of U.S. Patent No. 10,259,020 (“the ’020 patent”). My opinions and the bases for my opinions are set forth below. My opinions are based on my education and experience.

3. In writing this Declaration, I have considered the following: my own knowledge and experience, including my teaching and work experience in the above fields; and my experience of working with others involved in those fields.

4. I have no financial interest in either party or in the outcome of this proceeding. I am being compensated for my work as an expert on an hourly basis, for all tasks involved. My compensation is not dependent on the outcome of these proceedings or on the content of my opinions.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.