

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

GUI GLOBAL PRODUCTS, LTD.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00470

U.S. Patent No. 10,259,020

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board’s August 13, 2021, Scheduling Order (Paper 11), Patent Owner, GUI Global Products, Ltd. (“Patent Owner”), requests the opportunity to present oral argument in this matter. The oral argument is scheduled to be held on May 19, 2022. Paper 11 at 11.

Related IPR2021-00471 re U.S. Patent 10,259,021, IPR2021-00472 re U.S. Patent 10,562,077, and IPR2021-00473 re U.S. Patent 10,589,320 are currently pending between the same parties as are involved in this proceeding and Patent Owner is concurrently requesting oral argument in these related proceedings, which also have a hearing date scheduled for May 19, 2022. The issues presented for review in IPRs 2021-00471, 2021-00472, and 2021-00473 are substantially similar as those presented for review in this proceeding. Therefore, Patent Owner requests that a single, consolidated hearing for all of these proceedings be conducted for convenience of the parties and the Board. Patent Owner requests a total of 90 minutes per side argument time for the single, consolidated hearing. Alternatively, if the Board does not desire a single, consolidated hearing, then Patent Owner requests a total of 60 minutes per side for the hearing on this IPR 2021-00470.

Issues to be addressed during oral argument:

1. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot and Gundlach render claims 1-3, 5-7, 10, 16, and 19 obvious.
2. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot,

Gundlach, and Nishikawa render claim 2 obvious.

3. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot, Gundlach, and Li render claims 4, 18, and 19 obvious.
4. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot, Gundlach, and Stevinson render claims 8 and 9 obvious.
5. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot, Gundlach, and Rosener render claim 10 obvious.
6. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that that Bohbot, Gundlach, Stevinson, and Iio render claim 17 obvious.
7. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot, Gundlach, and Diebel render claims 1-3, 5-7, 10, 16, and 19 obvious.
8. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel, and Nishikawa render claim 2 obvious.
9. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that 4, 18, 19 §103: Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel, and Li render claims 4, 18 and 19 obvious.
10. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel, and Stevinson render claims 8 and 9 obvious.
11. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot,

Gundlach, Diebel, and Rosener render claim 10 obvious.

12. Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving that Bohbot, Gundlach, Diebel, Stevinson, and Iio render claim 17 obvious.
13. Any other issues raised in Petitioner's Petition.
14. Any issues raised in Patent Owner's Response.
15. Whether any matters asserted in Petitioner's Reply that were not asserted in Petitioner's Petition should be considered by the Board. Subject to the foregoing, any issues raised in Patent Owner's Sur-Reply.
16. Patent Owner's and Petitioner's Motions to Exclude Evidence, if any;
17. Rebuttal of any arguments made by Petitioner;
18. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification; and
19. Response to any issues Petitioner raises in its Request for Oral Argument.

Patent Owner respectfully reserves the right to supplement and/or amend the foregoing statement of issues to the extent the Rules permit.

Petitioner is the party with the burden of proof and will present first at the oral hearing. Patent Owner will address any issues raised by Petitioner, or questions raised by the Board during presentation.

Patent Owner understands it is likely that oral argument may be conducted remotely by video or telephone in view of the ongoing COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic. In the event that oral argument is conducted in-person, Patent Owner requests the use of audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of a projector and screen.

Date: April 4, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/ John J. Edmonds /

John J. Edmonds, Reg. No. 56,184
ad Counsel for Patent Owner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.