## 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Vernon M. Winters (SBN 130128) Irene Yang (SBN 245464) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104-1715 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 Email: irene.yang@sidley.com Email: vwinters@sidley.com David T. Pritikin ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One South Dearborn Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-7359 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036 Email: dpritikin@sidley.com Jeffrey P. Kushan ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Thomas A. Broughan III ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Hamilton C. Simpson ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8000	Ching-Lee Fukuda ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Sharon Lee ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 839-5300 Facsimile: (212) 839-5599 Email: clfukuda@sidley.com Email: sharon.lee@sidley.com Kelley A. Conaty ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 2021 McKinney Avenue, Suite 2000 Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: (214) 981-3300 Facsimile: (214) 981-3400 Email: kconaty@sidley.com Brooke S. Zarouri (SBN 318372) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 Phone: (213) 896-6633 Fax: (213) 896-6600 Email: bzarouri@sidley.com	
14 15 16	Telephone: (202) 736-8000 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711 Email: jkushan@sidley.com Email: tbroughan@sidley.com Email: hsimpson@sidley.com	Email: bzarouri@sidley.com	
17 18	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter Claimant Apple Inc.		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
21	OAKLAND DIVISION		
22	OMNI MEDSCI, INC.,	) Case No.: 19-cv-05673-YGR	
23	Plaintiff and Counter Defendant,	) STIPULATION AND <mark>[PROPOSED</mark> ] ) ORDER TO STAY PENDING ) RESOLUTION OF APPLE INC.'S	
24 25	v.	) REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE ) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ) STANDING MOTION [ECF 208] AND	
26	APPLE INC,	) INTER PARTES REVIEW PETITIONS	
27 28	Defendant and Counter Claimant.	/ ) )	
20		-	

**DOCKET** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## Case 4:19-cv-05673-YGR Document 219 Filed 11/20/19 Page 2 of 5

1	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-12, Plaintiff Omni MedSci Inc. ("Omni") and Defendant Apple	
2	Inc. ("Apple"), stipulate and agree as follows:	
3	WHEREAS Omni filed its Complaint against Apple in the U.S. District Court for the	
4	Eastern District of Texas ("Texas Court") on October 15, 2018 alleging infringement of U.S.	
5	Patent Nos. 10,098,546 (the "'546 patent"), 9,861,286 (the "'286 patent"), and 9,885,698 (the	
6	"'698 patent"). (Dkt. 1.) On January 28, 2019, Omni filed an Amended Complaint adding	
7	allegations of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,188,299 (the "299 patent"). (Dkt. 42.) On	
8	February 26, 2019, Omni filed a Second Amended Complaint adding allegations of infringement	
9	of U.S. Patent No. 10,213,113 (the "'113 patent").	
10	WHEREAS on June 19, 2019, the parties stipulated to a dismissal with prejudice of all of	
11	Omni's claims and without prejudice of all of Apple's claims pertaining to the '698 patent. (Dkt.	
12	119.) The Texas Court granted the parties' stipulation. (Dkt. 123.)	
13	WHEREAS Omni asserts claims 16 and 19 of the '286 patent, claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 11-13, 15,	
14	16, and 18 of the '546 patent, claims 7 and 10-14 of the '299 patent, and claims 1-6 and 22-25 of	
15	the '113 patent.	
16	WHEREAS on April 10, 2019, Apple filed a request for inter partes review of all asserted	
17	claims of the '286 patent, along with requests for inter partes reviews of patents that Omni is	
18	asserting against Apple in Omni MedSci, Inc. v. Apple Inc., Case No. 19-cv-05924-YGR and are	
19	related to the '546, '299, and '113 patents.	
20	WHEREAS on August 14, 2019, the Texas Court entered a Claim Construction	
21	Memorandum Opinion and Order. (Dkt. 152.) In that Memorandum, the Court determined that	
22	claims 2, 3, 4, and 23 of the '113 patent are indefinite. (Dkt. 152.) Because other asserted claims	
23	of the '113 patent depend on claims 2, 3, 4 or 23, they are also rendered indefinite by the Court's	
24	Order. Accordingly, asserted claims 2-6 and 23-25 of the '113 patent have been held indefinite.	
25	WHEREAS on August 14, 2019, the Texas Court granted Apple's motion to transfer to the	
26	Northern District of California (Dkt. 154), and denied Apple's motion to dismiss for lack of	
27	standing. (Dkt. 151). On August 16, 2019, the Texas Court granted the parties' joint motion to	
28	stay certain deadlines pending transfer to the Northern District of California. (Dkt. 157.)	
	1	

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

## Case 4:19-cv-05673-YGR Document 219 Filed 11/20/19 Page 3 of 5

1	WHEREAS on September 11, 2019, the transferred case was received in the Northern	
2	District of California (Dkt. 167). The case was assigned to this Court on September 25, 2019.	
3	(Dkt. 173). On October 29, 2019, this Court granted Apple's motion to relate this case with co-	
4	pending case, Omni MedSci, Inc. v. Apple Inc., Case No. 19-cv-05924-YGR. (Dkts. 315, 316.) A	
5	case management conference is scheduled for December 16, 2019 at 2:00 p.m. (Dkt. 174.)	
6	WHEREAS between October 17, 2019 and November 6, 2019, the Patent Trial and Appeal	
7	Board ("PTAB") issued decisions to institute inter partes review on the '286 patent and patents	
8	that Omni is asserting against Apple in Omni MedSci, Inc. v. Apple Inc., Case No. 19-cv-05924-	
9	YGR that are related to the '546, '299, and '113 patents. See Exhibits 1-3. On October 17, 2019,	
10	Apple filed a request for inter partes review of all asserted claims of the '546 patent. Apple	
11	intends to file requests for inter partes review of all asserted claims of the'299 and '113 patents.	
12	WHEREAS on November 8, 2019, Apple filed a Civil Local Rule 7-9 Request for Leave to	
13	File Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Subject-Matter Jurisdiction (Dkt. 208) and a Motion to	
14	Stay Pending Resolution of Apple's Standing Motion (ECF 208) (Dkt. 209).	
15	NOW THEREFORE, in light of the PTAB's decisions to institute inter partes review on all	
16	asserted claims of the '286 patent and patents related to the other asserted patents, and Apple's	
17	intention to file requests for inter partes review on the remaining asserted patents, the parties by	
18	and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree that:	
19	a) This case should be stayed in its entirety except for the Court's consideration of	
20	Apple's pending Request for Leave to File a Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Subject-	
21	Matter Jurisdiction (Dkt. 208) and, if the Court grants Apple's Request for Leave, except for	
22	proceedings related to that Request.	
23	b) If the Court does not dismiss this case based on subject-matter jurisdiction, the case	
24	should continue to be stayed until final resolution, including appeals, of all inter partes review	
25	proceedings in which the asserted patents are at issue.	
26	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
27		
28		
	2	
<b>DOCKET</b> <b>A L A R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		

1 Dated: November 19, 2019

2 3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- By: <u>/s/ Thomas A Lewry</u>
- Thomas A. Lewry (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) 4 tlewry@brookskushman.com
- John S. LeRoy (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) 5 jleroy@brookskushman.com
- Christopher C. Smith, State Bar No. 238882 6 csmith@brookskushman.com
- John M. Halan (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) 7 Jhalan@brookskushman.com
- BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 8 1000 Town Center, Twenty-Second Floor
- Southfield, MI 48075 9 Tel. (248) 358-4400; Fax (248) 358-3351
- 10 William E. Thomson, Jr., State Bar No. 47195 wthomson@brookskushman.com 11 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 601 S. Figueroa St., Suite 2080 12
- Los Angeles, CA 90017-5726
- Tel. (213) 622-3003; Fax (213) 622-3053 13
- Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant 14 Omni MedSci, Inc.

## By: /s/ Irene Yang

Irene Yang (SBN 245464) Vernon M. Winters (SBN 130128) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104-1715 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 Email: irene.yang@sidley.com Email: vwinters@sidley.com

David T. Pritikin (Pro Hac Vice) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One South Dearborn Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-7359 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036 Email: dpritikin@sidley.com

Jeffrey P. Kushan (Pro Hac Vice) Thomas A. Broughan III (*Pro Hac Vice*) Hamilton C. Simpson (Pro Hac Vice) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8000 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711 Email: jkushan@sidley.com Email: tbroughan@sidley.com Email: hsimpson@sidley.com

Ching-Lee Fukuda (Pro Hac Vice) Sharon Lee (Pro Hac Vice) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 839-5300 Facsimile: (212) 839-5599 Email: clfukuda@sidley.com Email: sharon.lee@sidley.com

Kelley A. Conaty (*Pro Hac Vice*) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 2021 McKinney Avenue, Suite 2000 Dallas, TX 75201

3

	Case 4:19-cv-05673-YGR Document 219 Filed 11/20/19 Page 5 of 5		
1	Telephone: (214) 981-3300		
2	Facsimile: (214) 981-3400 Email: kconaty@sidley.com		
3	Brooke S. Zarouri (SBN 318372)		
4	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Phone: (213) 896-6633/Fax: (213) 896-6600 Email: bzarouri@sidley.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter		
7	Claimant Apple Inc.		
8 9	<b>CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 5-1(i)(3)</b>		
9 10	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that concurrence in the filing of this		
11	document has been obtained from the signatories for whom a signature is indicated by a conformed		
12	signature (/s/). I have on file records to support this concurrence for production for the Court if so		
13	ordered.		
14	Dated: November 19, 2019 /s/ Irene Yang		
15	Irene Yang		
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:		
17			
18	Omni MedSci, Inc. v. Apple Inc., Case No. 19-cv-05673-YGR, is hereby STAYED until		
19 20	resolution of Apple's Request for Leave to File a Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Subject-		
20 21	Matter Jurisdiction (Dkt. 208) and, if the Court grants Apple's Request for Leave, the Court's		
21 22	decision on the Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Subject-Matter Jurisdiction.		
22	If this case is not dismissed based on subject-matter jurisdiction, this case will continue to		
23	be STAYED until final resolution of all of the <i>inter partes</i> review proceedings in which the		
25	asserted patents are at issue.		
26	Dated: November 20, 2019 By: Drene Hypelflice		
27	United States District Court Judge		
28			
	4		

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.