

**Preliminary Constructions**  
*Omni MedSci, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*,  
 Case No. 2:18-cv-00134-RWS

	<b>Disputed Term</b>	<b>Preliminary Construction</b>
<b>A</b>	“beam” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ’533 Patent Claims 5, 13</li> <li>• ’040 Patent Claim 1</li> <li>• ’286 Patent Claim 1</li> <li>• ’698 Patent Claim 1</li> </ul>	photons or light transmitted to a particular location in space <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A beam does not include randomly directed light</li> <li>• A beam is not necessarily collimated or focused</li> </ul>
	“a plurality of lenses” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ’533 Patent Claims 5, 13</li> </ul>	plain and ordinary meaning <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• reject “used to collimate (make parallel) or focus rays of light”</li> <li>• reject that a lens can be other than transparent</li> </ul>
<b>B</b>	“one or more lenses” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ’040 Patent Claim 1</li> <li>• ’286 Patent Claim 16</li> <li>• ’698 Patent Claim 1</li> </ul>	
<b>C</b>	“modulating at least one of the LEDs” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ’040 Patent Claim 1</li> <li>• ’286 Patent Claim 16</li> <li>• ’698 Patent Claim 1</li> </ul>	varying the amplitude, frequency, or phase of the light produced by at least one of the LEDs to include information
	“modulating of at least one of the LEDs” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ’286 Patent Claim 19</li> </ul>	varying of the amplitude, frequency, or phase of the light produced by at least one of the LEDs to include information
<b>D</b>	“wherein the receiver is configured to use a lock-in technique that detects the modulation frequency” ’698 Patent Claim 1	plain and ordinary meaning <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The “lock-in technique” is not any technique that “lessens noise outside the modulation frequency”</li> </ul>