

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

OMNI MEDSCI, INC.,
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 10,517,484

IPR Case No.: IPR2021-00453

**DECLARATION OF DUNCAN L. MACFARLANE, Ph.D., P.E.
IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	4
I. Summary of My Opinions.....	6
II. Qualifications and Professional Experience	6
III. Relevant Legal Standards.....	9
IV. Qualifications of one of ordinary skill in the art.....	10
V. Summary of the ‘484 Patent.....	10
VI. Challenged claims of the ‘484 Patent	14
VII. Claim Construction	17
A. District Court Claim Constructions	17
B. “the system configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio by ... increasing a pulse rate from an initial pulse rate” (all claims)	17
C. “to identify an object” (claims 3, 8)	19
VIII. Overview of the prior art.....	20
A. U.S. Patent No. 9,241,676 to Lisogurski	21
1. Lisogurski’s Modulation Techniques	26
a. “Cardiac Cycle Modulation”	27
b. “Drive Cycle Modulation”	30
c. Varying Lisogurski’s “firing rate”	34
d. “Conventional Servo Algorithms”	34
B. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0049468 to Carlson	35
IX. Opinions	37
A. Lisogurski does not disclose a “system ... configured to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by ... increasing a pulse rate ... from an initial pulse rate”	38
1. Apple’s first Lisogurski-alone argument depends on CCM.....	47
2. Apple’s second Lisogurski-alone argument depends on CCM.....	48
B. Carlson does not disclose a “system ... configured to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by ... increasing a pulse rate”	52
C. Lisogurski and Carlson, taken together, do not render the challenged claims obvious	58

D.	Knowing a sensor is disconnected is not <i>identifying</i> [or <i>detecting</i>] <i>an object</i> as the claims require.....	67
X.	Conclusion.....	70

UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS

No.	Description
<i>2101-2119</i>	<i>Reserved</i>
2120	PCT Application Serial No. PCT/US2013/075767 (Publication No. WO/2014/143276)
2121	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 14/109,007 (Publication No. 2014/0236021)
2122	<i>Reserved</i>
2123	Curriculum Vitae of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E.
2124	Board's Institution Decision, IPR2019-000916, Paper 16, October 18, 2019 ("DI")
2125	Board's Final Written Decision, IPR2019-00916, Paper 39, October 14, 2020
<i>2126-2130</i>	<i>Reserved</i>
2136	Declaration of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E. in Support of Patent Owner's Response to Petition, IPR2020-00175, September 10, 2020
2132	Excerpt of Record of Oral Hearing held March 25, 2021, IPR2020-00175, Paper 25, April 14, 2021
2133	Board's Institution Decision, IPR2020-00175, Paper 11, June 17, 2020
2134	Definitions of IDENTIFY in The Free Dictionary
2135	Definitions of DETECT in The Free Dictionary
2136	Declaration of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E. in Support of Patent Owner's Response, November 12, 2021

I, Duncan L. MacFarlane, declare as follows:

1. I am making this declaration at the request of Patent Owner, Omni MedSci, Inc., in the matter of *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,517,484 (“the ‘484 Patent”) to Omni MedSci, Inc.

2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at a rate of \$425/hour. My compensation in no way depends on the outcome of this proceeding.

3. In preparation of this declaration, I have reviewed:

- Apple’s petition for *inter partes* review, the challenged patents and claims, the prior art cited in Apple’s petition, Dr. Anthony’s declaration supporting Apple’s petition, the Board’s Institution Decision in IPR2021-00453 (“DI”), the other documents cited in these documents, and other documents cited in my analysis below.
- The relevant legal standards, including the standard for obviousness provided in *KSR Intern’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398 (2007).
- My knowledge and experience based upon my work and study in this area as described below.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.