

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

OMNI MEDSCI, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

U.S. Patent No. 10,188,299

IPR Case No.: IPR2020-00175

---

**DECLARATION OF DUNCAN L. MACFARLANE, Ph.D., P.E.  
IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Exhibits .....	3
I. Summary of My Opinions.....	5
II. Qualifications and Professional Experience .....	5
III. Relevant Legal Standards.....	7
IV. Qualifications of one of ordinary skill in the art.....	8
V. Summary of the ‘299 Patent.....	9
VI. Challenged claims of the ‘299 Patent .....	14
VII. Claim Construction .....	16
A. District Court Claim Constructions .....	16
B. “the system configured to increase signal-to-noise ratio by . . . increasing a pulse rate” .....	16
VIII. Overview of the prior art.....	18
A. U.S. Patent No. 9,241,676 to Lisogurski .....	18
1. Lisogurski’s Modulation Techniques .....	23
a. “Cardiac Cycle Modulation” .....	24
b. “Drive Cycle Modulation” .....	27
c. Varying Lisogurski’s “firing rate”.....	31
d. “Conventional Servo Algorithms” .....	31
B. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0049468 to Carlson .....	31
IX. Opinions .....	34
A. Lisogurski does not disclose a “system . . . configured to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by . . . increasing a pulse rate . . . from an initial pulse rate” .....	35
B. Carlson does not disclose a “system . . . configured to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by . . . increasing a pulse rate” .....	44
C. Lisogurski and Carlson, taken together, do not render the challenged claims obvious .....	50
X. Conclusion .....	59

**List of Exhibits**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
2101-2103	<i>Reserved</i>
2104	U.S. Patent No. 9,651,533 to Islam, issued May 16, 2017, (“the ‘533 Parent Patent”)
2105	U.S. Patent No. 9,757,040 to Islam, issued September 12, 2017, (“the ‘040 Related Patent”)
2106-2119	<i>Reserved</i>
2120	PCT Application Serial No. PCT/US2013/075767 (Publication No. WO/2014/143276)
2121	U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 14/109,007 (Publication No. 2014/0236021)
2122	Declaration of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E.
2123	Curriculum Vitae of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E.
2124	Board’s Institution Decision, IPR2019-000916, Paper 16, October 18, 2019 (“916 DI”)
2125	Omni MedSci Patent Owner Preliminary Response, IPR2019-00916, Paper 23, January 31, 2020
2126	Apple Exhibit P, <i>Omni MedSci, Inc., v. Apple Inc.</i> , EDTX Case No. 2:18cv134 (“Lisogurski Claim Charts”)
2127	Apple Exhibit N, <i>Omni MedSci, Inc., v. Apple Inc.</i> , EDTX Case No. 2:18cv134 (“Carlson Claim Charts”)
2128	Apple Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims, <i>Omni MedSci, Inc., v. Apple Inc.</i> , EDTX Case No. 2:18cv134 (Dkt. 38, July 19, 2018)
2129	Apple Exhibit Y, <i>Omni MedSci, Inc., v. Apple Inc.</i> , EDTX Case No. 2:18cv134 (“Park Claim Charts”)
2130	Best Practices and FAQs for Filing Requests for Reexamination Compliant with 37 CFR 1.510 and 1.915, PTAB, May 2010
2131	Declaration of Duncan L. MacFarlane, Ph.D., P.E. in Support of Patent Owner’s Response to Petition

I, Duncan L. MacFarlane, declare as follows:

1. I am making this declaration at the request of Patent Owner, Omni MedSci, Inc., in the matter of *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,188,299 (“the ‘299 Patent”) to Omni MedSci, Inc.

2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at a rate of \$425/hour. My compensation in no way depends on the outcome of this proceeding.

3. In preparation of this declaration, I have reviewed:

- Apple’s petition for *inter partes* review, the challenged patents and claims, the prior art cited in Apple’s petition, Dr. Anthony’s declaration supporting Apple’s petition, the Board’s Institution Decision in IPR2019-000916 (“916 DI”), the other documents cited in these documents, and other documents cited in my analysis below.
- The relevant legal standards, including the standard for obviousness provided in *KSR International Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398 (2007);
- The Board’s Institution Decision (“DI”) in this IPR; and
- My knowledge and experience based upon my work and study in this area as described below.

## **I. Summary of My Opinions**

4. The Board correctly determined in the DI that Lisogurski does not disclose a “system . . . configured to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by . . . increasing a pulse rate . . . from an initial pulse rate.”

5. Carlson does not disclose a “system . . . configured to increase the signal-to-noise ratio by . . . increasing a pulse rate . . . from an initial pulse rate.”

6. Lisogurski and Carlson, when taken together, neither disclose nor render obvious the challenged claims of the ‘299 patent.

## **II. Qualifications and Professional Experience**

7. I have provided my full background in my curriculum vitae. (Ex. 2123.) The following provides an overview of some of my experience that is relevant to the matters set forth in this declaration.

8. I am a Professor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at The Bobby B. Lyle School of Engineering at Southern Methodist University (SMU) in Dallas, Texas. At SMU, I am the Associate Dean for Engineering Entrepreneurship and the Bobby B. Lyle Centennial Chair in Engineering Entrepreneurship. I previously served as Acting Executive Director of the Hart Center for Engineering Leadership at SMU. I am Executive Director of the Hart Institute for Technology, Innovation and Entrepreneurship.

9. I am also Professor Emeritus of Electrical Engineering at The Erik

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.